Stormwater Management Plan

Town of Hingham, MA
EPA NPDES Permit #MAR041038
Project #3652180189

Prepared for:

Town of Hingham
25 Bare Cove Park Drive, Hingham, MA 02043

June 25, 2019

Stormwater Management Plan

Town of Hingham, MA
Project #3652180189

Prepared for:

Town of Hingham
25 Bare Cove Park Drive, Hingham, MA 02043

Prepared by:

Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.
271 Mill Road
Chelmsford, MA, 01824
United States
T: 978-692-9090

June 25, 2019

Prepared and Reviewed by:

Ilana Ton
Project Scientist

Jean Haggerty
Water Resources Senior Associate

Copyright and non-disclosure notice

The contents and layout of this report are subject to copyright owned by Wood (© Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.) save to the extent that copyright has been legally assigned by us to another party or is used by Wood under license. To the extent that we own the copyright in this report, it may not be copied or used without our prior written agreement for any purpose other than the purpose indicated in this report. The methodology (if any) contained in this report is provided to you in confidence and must not be disclosed or copied to third parties without the prior written agreement of Wood. Disclosure of that information may constitute an actionable breach of confidence or may otherwise prejudice our commercial interests. Any third party who obtains access to this report by any means will, in any event, be subject to the Third Party Disclaimer set out below.

Third-party disclaimer

Any disclosure of this report to a third party is subject to this disclaimer. The report was prepared by Wood at the instruction of, and for use by, our client named on the front of the report. It does not in any way constitute advice to any third party who is able to access it by any means. Wood excludes to the fullest extent lawfully permitted all liability whatsoever for any loss or damage howsoever arising from reliance on the contents of this report. We do not however exclude our liability (if any) for personal injury or death resulting from our negligence, for fraud or any other matter in relation to which we cannot legally exclude liability.

Table of contents

1.0 Introduction 1
1.1 Regulatory Background and Requirements 1
1.2 Eligibility Determination 2
1.3 Special Eligibility Determination 2
1.4 Permit Year Definitions 3
2.0 Town of Hingham 4
2.1 Impaired Waterbodies 5
3.0 Best Management Practices for Minimum Control Measures 8
3.1 Enhanced BMPs for Impaired Waterbodies 8
3.2 MCM 1: Public Education and Outreach 8
3.2.1 BMPs 8
3.2.1.1 BMP 1A Brochures for Residences and Businesses 10
3.2.1.2 BMP 1B Displays for Businesses, Institutions, and Commercial Facilities 10
3.2.1.3 BMP 1C Brochures for Developers 10
3.2.1.4 BMP 1D Brochures for Industrial Facilities 10
3.2.1.5 BMP 1E Press Releases for General Public 11
3.2.1.6 BMP 1F Website Updates for General Public 11
3.2.1.7 BMP 1G Brochures for Dog Owners and Residents 11
3.2.1.8 BMP 1H Brochures for Septic Tank Owners 11
3.2.1.9 BMP 1I Stormwater TV Broadcasts for General Public 12
3.2.1.10 Effectiveness Assessment Surveys 12
3.3 MCM 2: Public Involvement and Participation 12
3.3.1 BMPs 12
3.3.1.1 BMP 2A SWMP Public Review 14
3.3.1.2 BMP 2B Catch Basin Stenciling 14
3.3.1.3 BMP 2C Reporting Issues 14
3.3.1.4 BMP 2D Shoreline and Waterbody Cleanup Events 14
3.3.1.5 BMP 2E Household Hazardous Waste/Used Oil Collection Event 14
3.4 MCM 3: Illicit Discharge and Detection Elimination 15
3.4.1 BMPs 15
3.4.1.1 BMP 3A SSO Inventory 17
3.4.1.2 BMP 3B Storm Sewer System Map 17
3.4.1.3 BMP 3C Written IDDE Program 17
3.4.1.4 BMP 3D Implement IDDE Program 19
3.4.1.5 BMP 3E Employee Training 19
3.4.1.6 BMP 3F Conduct Dry Weather Screening 19
3.4.1.7 BMP 3G Conduct Wet Weather Screening 20
3.4.1.8 BMP 3H Ongoing Screening 20
3.5 MCM 4: Construction Site Runoff Control 20
3.5.1 BMPs 21
3.5.1.1 BMP 4A Site Inspection and Enforcement of ESC Measures 23
3.5.1.2 BMP 4B Site Plan Review 23
3.5.1.3 BMP 4C Erosion and Sediment Control 23
3.5.1.4 BMP 4D Waste Control 24
3.5.1.5 BMP 4E Construction Site Inspections 24
3.6 MCM 5: Post-Construction Stormwater Management 24
3.6.1 BMPs 24

3.6.1.1 BMP 5A As-Built Plans for On-Site Stormwater Control 26
3.6.1.2 BMP 5B Target Properties to Reduce Impervious Areas 26
3.6.1.3 BMP 5C Allow Green Infrastructure 26
3.6.1.4 BMP 5D Street Design and Parking Lot Guidelines 26
3.6.1.5 BMP 5E Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Compliance 27
3.6.1.6 BMP 5F Inspection 27
3.7 MCM 6: Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping 27
3.7.1 BMPs 27
3.7.1.1 BMP 6A O&M Procedures 29
3.7.1.2 BMP 6B Inventory Town Facilities 29
3.7.1.3 BMP 6C Infrastructure O&M 29
3.7.1.4 BMP 6D SWPPP 29
3.7.1.5 BMP 6E Catch Basin Cleaning 29
3.7.1.6 BMP 6F Street Sweeping Program 30
3.7.1.7 BMP 6G Road Salt Optimization Program 30
3.7.1.8 BMP 6H Stormwater Treatment Structures Inspections 30
4.0 Program Evaluation, Record Keeping, and Reporting 31
4.1 Program Evaluation 31
4.2 Record Keeping 31
4.3 Annual Reports 31
5.0 Certification 33
Appendix A - 2016 General Permit with Appendices
Appendix B - Stormwater Management Plan Revision Tracking Form
Appendix C - Endangered Species Act and National Historic Preservation Act Review
Appendix D - MS4 Permit-Related Standard Procedures

List of figures

Figure 1: Regulated Areas of Hingham 4
Figure 2: Impaired Waterbodies 7

List of tables

Table 1: 303d Listed Waterbodies 5
Table 2: MCM1 BMPs and Implementation Actions 9
Table 3: MCM2 BMPs and Implementation Actions 13
Table 4: MCM3 BMPs and Implementation Actions 16
Table 5: MCM4 BMPs and Implementation Actions 22
Table 6: MCM5 BMPs and Implementation Actions 25
Table 7: MCM6 BMPs and Implementation Actions 28

List of acronyms

BMP	Best Management Practices
DPW	Department of Public Works
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESC	Erosion and Sediment Control
GPS	Global Positioning System
IDDE	Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination
MCM	Minimum Control Measures
MEP	Maximum Extent Practicable
MS4	Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems
MSGP	Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges with Industrial Activities
NHPA	National Historic Properties Preservation Act
NOI	Notice of Intent
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NSRWA	North and South Rivers Watershed Association
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
SOP	Standard Operating Procedures
SSO	Sanitary Sewer Overflow
SVF	System Vulnerability Factors
SWMP	Stormwater Management Plan
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
T & E	Threatened and Endangered
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
U.S.	United States
UA	Urbanized Areas

1.0 Introduction

The Town of Hingham (Town or Hingham) has developed a Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) as part of an on-going effort to minimize stormwater runoff impacts to local waterbodies. The SWMP is a requirement of the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) in Massachusetts, issued on April 4, 2016 and effective on July 1, 2018 (2016 MS4 GP). A copy of the 2016 MS4 GP is provided in **Appendix A**. The SWMP will serve as the Town's framework which describes and details the activities and measures that will be implemented to meet the requirements of the 2016 MS4 GP. The SWMP will be updated and or modified during the permit term as the Town's activities are modified, changed, or updated to meet the requirements. An amendment log and summary of modifications template is provided in **Appendix B**.

Prior to the development and implementation of the SWMP, the Town filed a Notice of Intent (NOI) with EPA in September 2018 to obtain the authorization to discharge stormwater under the 2016 MS4 GP. The NOI and documentation supporting Endangered Species Act (ESA) and National Historic Properties eligibility criteria determinations are provided in **Appendix C**.

1.1 Regulatory Background and Requirements

The EPA nationally regulates the discharge of stormwater runoff that is conveyed to local waterbodies via MS4s through the NPDES program. In 1990, EPA enacted rules establishing Phase I of the NPDES stormwater program which requires operators of medium and large MS4s, those that generally serve populations of 100,000 or greater, to implement a stormwater management program to control polluted discharges from these MS4s. In 1999 EPA enacted the Phase II Rule to expand the existing Phase I Program and the initial Phase II permits were issued in 2003. On a nationwide basis, the Phase II Rule automatically covers "small" MS4s located in urbanized areas (UA) as defined by the Bureau of the Census. The rule also defines a small MS4 stormwater management program as a program comprising of six program elements called Minimum Control Measures (MCM) intended to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 to the maximum extent practicable (MEP). These MCMs are listed and described below:

- **Public Education and Outreach:** Distribute educational materials and perform outreach to inform citizens about stormwater management and the impacts polluted stormwater runoff can have on water quality.
- **Public Participation/Involvement:** Provide opportunities for local citizens and businesses to participate in program activities, including publicizing public hearings and encouraging input on the SWMP.
- **Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE):** Develop and implement a plan to detect and eliminate illicit discharges to the storm sewer system.
- **Construction Site Runoff Control:** Develop, implement, and enforce an erosion and sediment control program for construction activities that disturb one or more acres of land.
- **Post-Construction Runoff Control:** Develop, implement, and enforce a program to address discharges of post-construction stormwater runoff from new development and redevelopment areas.
- **Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping:** Develop and implement a program with the goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff from municipal operations. The program will include municipal staff training on pollution prevention measures and techniques.

On May 1, 2003, EPA Region 1 issued its General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (2003 MS4 GP) that covers traditional and non-traditional MS4 operators located in the states of Massachusetts and New Hampshire. The Town of Hingham was originally authorized to discharge stormwater under the 2003 MS4 GP. This permit expired on May 1, 2008 but remained in effect for authorized MS4 operators until the 2016 MS4 GP became effective on July 1, 2018.

1.2 Eligibility Determination

Section 1.2 of the 2016 MS4 GP requires that the small MS4 meet the eligibility requirements for authorization under this permit. Section 1.2.1 of the 2016 MS4 Permit authorizes the discharge of stormwater from small MS4s if the MS4 is determined to meet the general eligibility criteria:

- A small MS4 within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts;
- Not a large or medium MS4 as defined in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(4) or (7);
- Located either fully or partially within an urbanized area as determined by the latest 2010 Census; or
- Located in a geographic area designated by EPA as requiring a permit.

The Town of Hingham has been determined to be a small MS4 and most of the town is located within an urbanized area as determined by the 2010 Unites States (U.S.) Census.

1.3 Special Eligibility Determination

Section 1.9 of the 2016 MS4 GP requires special eligibility requirements for authorization to discharge under the 2016 MS4 GP, including eligibility regarding endangered species and historic properties. The MS4 must be assessed for the potential impacts of stormwater discharges and discharge-related activities on federally listed threatened and endangered (T&E) species and designated critical habitat under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), as well as the effect on historic properties that are either listed on, or are eligible for listing on, the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under the National Historic Properties Preservation Act (NHPA). The NOI and documentation supporting Endangered Species Act (ESA) and National Historic Properties eligibility criteria determinations are provided in **Appendix C**.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) has provided a framework to streamline Section 7 ESA consultations when actions may affect the statewide listed Northern long-eared bat (NLEB) but not cause prohibited take. For projects that are deemed to have "no affect" on the NLEB or its habitat typically no further consultation is required. During the course of the permit term, installation of any structural BMPs on the University's campus will be screened for impacts to federal T&E species and the USFWS will be consulted if activities "may affect" or are "not likely to adversely affect" T&E species (see **Appendix C** for more information).

Certain activities such as the construction and/or installation of stormwater control measures that involve subsurface disturbance of less than one acre of land may have the potential to effect historic properties. For these activities the University will need to ensure that historic properties will not be impacted or that they are in compliance with a written agreement with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs), or other tribal representative that outlines all measures the University will carry out to mitigate or prevent any adverse effects on historic properties. Note that ground disturbance greater than one acre requires coverage under the USEPA NPDES Construction General Permit (CGP), which contains the same permit eligibility requirements with respect to the NHPA. **Appendix C** contains more information about potential future consultation with the SHPO and THPO.

1.4 Permit Year Definitions

Throughout this document, certain milestones and dates will be defined by permit year (PY) dates as follows:

- PY1: July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019
- PY2: July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2020
- PY3: July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021
- PY4: July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022
- PY5: July 1, 2022 to June 30, 2023
- PY7: July 1, 2024 to June 30, 2025
- PY10: July 1, 2027 to June 30, 2028

2.0 Town of Hingham

The Town is in the South Shore of Massachusetts, in Plymouth County, approximately 23 miles southeast of Boston. It is surrounded by the Town of Hull to the north, the Towns of Cohasset and Scituate to the east, the Towns of Norwell and Rockland to the south, and the Town of Weymouth to the west. There are approximately 4.1 square miles of water within 26.3 square miles of the Town's total area. According to the 2010 U.S. Census, Hingham's population was 22,154. The Town's regulated areas, based on the 2000 and 2010 urbanized areas (UAs), are shown in **Figure 1**. The SWMP will be implemented within the Town's UA.



Figure 1: Regulated Areas of Hingham

2.1 Impaired Waterbodies

The following waterbodies listed in **Table 1** and shown in **Figure 2** are classified as impaired under the Final Massachusetts Year 2014 Integrated List of Impaired Waters. There are currently no waterbodies within the Town's Boundary that have established total maximum daily load (TMDL) requirements. The SWMP includes strategies to target sources of pollutants cause by stormwater runoff, as required by the 2016 MS4 GP.

Table 1: 303d Listed Waterbodies

Name	Segment ID	Impairment	Sources
Hingham Bay	MA70-06	Fecal Coliform	Unspecified Urban Stormwater and Discharges from MS4
		Other	Discharges from Biosolids (SLUDGE) Storage, Application or Disposal, Upstream Source, Contaminated Sediments, Wet Weather Discharges (Point Source and Combination of Stormwater, SSO or CSO)
		PCB in Fish Tissue	
Hingham Bay	MA70-07	Fecal Coliform	Unspecified Urban Stormwater and Discharges from MS4
		Other	Discharges from Biosolids (SLUDGE) Storage, Application or Disposal, Upstream Source, Contaminated Sediments, Wet Weather Discharges (Point Source and Combination of Stormwater, SSO or CSO)
		PCB in Fish Tissue	
Hingham Harbor[1]	MA74-18	Fecal Coliform	Source Unknown
		Other	
		PCB in Fish Tissue	
Hull Bay	MA70-09	Fecal Coliform	Unspecified Urban Stormwater and Discharges from MS4
		Other	Discharges from Biosolids (SLUDGE) Storage, Application or Disposal, Upstream Source, Contaminated Sediments, Wet Weather Discharges (Point Source and Combination of Stormwater, SSO or CSO)
		PCB in Fish Tissue	
Accord Brook	MA74-16	Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments	Source Unknown
Crooked Meadow River	MA74-01	Nutrient/ Eutrophication Biological Indicators	Source Unknown
Weir River	MA74-02	Low flow alterations[2]	Baseflow Depletion from Groundwater Withdrawals
		Fecal Coliform[3]	Source Unknown
		Nutrient/ Eutrophication Biological Indicators	
		Sedimentation/ Siltation	

Name	Segment ID	Impairment	Sources
Weir River	MA74-11	Fecal Coliform	Source Unknown
		Other	
		PCB in Fish Tissue	
Weymouth Back River	MA74-13	Fecal Coliform	Source Unknown
		Other	
		PCB in Fish Tissue	
Old Swamp River[4]	MA74-03	Fecal Coliform	Unspecified Urban Stormwater and Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO)

Note(s):

1. *E. coli* is listed as an impairment in Draft Year 2016 Integrated List
2. TMDL not required (Non-pollutant)
3. Fecal Coliform is removed from impairment list in Draft Year 2016 Integrated List
4. One outfall from the Town of Hingham's MS4 discharges into Old Swamp River



Figure 2: Impaired Waterbodies

3.0 Best Management Practices for Minimum Control Measures

The following sections provide the objectives from the 2016 MS4 GP, outline the selected best management practices (BMPs) provided in the NOI, describe the implementation plan for each BMP, identify the parties responsible for the implementation, and describe how the effectiveness of the program will be measured and evaluated. This section also provides a schedule showing the timeline for development, implementation, and completion of each BMP. The BMPs must be developed, implemented, and completed by certain permit years as required by the 2016 MS4 GP, but some require on-going activities to maintain compliance with the MCM's program.

3.1 Enhanced BMPs for Impaired Waterbodies

In addition to the requirements to reduce the discharge of pollutants as outlined below, the Town is required to ensure that discharges from the MS4 do not cause or contribute to exceedances of water quality standards, to the maximum extent practicable (MEP). Due to the MS4 discharging to impaired waters, the Town is subject to meet the requirements of Section 2.2.2 and Appendix H of the 2016 MS4 GP. The compliance plans for BMP 1G and BMP 1H, described below, include the enhanced BMPs from Appendix H of the 2016 MS4 GP that will be implemented to address the pathogens impairment.

3.2 MCM 1: Public Education and Outreach

The public education and outreach MCM objective in Section 2.3.2 of the 2016 MS4 GP is: '*The permittee shall implement an education program that includes educational goals based on stormwater issues of significance within the MS4 area. The ultimate objective of a public education program is to increase knowledge and change behavior of the public so that pollutants in stormwater are reduced.*'

3.2.1 BMPs

The Town will develop and implement the public education program which will: define educational goals, express specific messages, and target audiences for each message. To comply with this requirement the Town will join the North and South Rivers Watershed Association's (NSRWA) WaterSmart program, sponsored by Aquarion Water Company, which is a regional effort that provides various education materials to the Town. The educational materials will be provided in many forms including: press releases, social media posts, flyer, brochures, pamphlets, and NSRWA website and WaterSmart page updates.

The education activities are meant to reach several different audiences. The goal of targeting the residents is to promote stormwater pollution prevention awareness, green landscaping techniques, pet waste management, and septic tank maintenance. The goal of targeting commercial, institutional and industrial facilities is to educate their operators on proper management of waste materials and dumpsters, and parking lot maintenance. The goal of targeting construction managers and developers is to educate and have them on proper sediment and erosion control management, and low impact development.

Below are the BMPs outlining the targeted audience, educational message, means of distribution of materials, tracking and reporting requirements, and how the tracked information will be used to assess the public education program's effectiveness.

The measurable goals from this program are listed in **Table 2** below for each BMP, and the effectiveness of this program will be measured by the continued development and implementation of this program as well as the increase of knowledge about stormwater pollution prevention and behavior changes over time that will be tracked using public feedback and surveys.

Table 2: MCM1 BMPs and Implementation Actions

BMP ID	BMP Media/ Category	BMP Description	Targeted Audience	Responsible Department and Personnel	Measurable Goal	PY1	PY2	PY3	PY4	PY5
1A	Brochure/ Pamphlets	Educational fact sheets on stormwater pollution control	Residents and Businesses	Department of Public Works (DPW) in partnership with NSRWA	Prepare and distribute appropriate stormwater management messages annually		First message		Second message	
1B	Displays/ Posters/ Kiosks	Posters and displays to educate business and industry on proper stormwater management	Businesses, institutions, and commercial facilities	DPW Superintendent and Conservation Commission – Senior Planner	Update posters and displays with appropriate non-residential stormwater pollution control messages annually	Develop display	Display in Town Hall and update as needed			
1C	Brochure/ Pamphlets	Brochures to educate developers on the stormwater pollution and proper management of new and redevelopment	Developers (construction)	DPW Superintendent, Planning Board – Director of Community Planning, Building Department – Building Commissioner, and NSRWA	Prepare and distribute appropriate stormwater management messages to local development community every 2 years			First message		Second message
1D	Brochure/ Pamphlets	Brochures to educate industrial facility staff on required stormwater regulations and use of appropriate BMPs	Industrial facilities	DPW and NSRWA	Prepare and distribute appropriate stormwater management messages targeting industrial operations every 2 years			First message		Second message
1E	Newspaper Articles/ Press Releases	Publish articles on stormwater in local newspapers or websites	General public	DPW Superintendent and Conservation Commission – Senior Planner	Submit one press release each spring and fall to highlight ongoing stormwater activities	Press release in spring and fall for events or tips	Press release in spring and fall for events or tips	Press release in spring and fall for events or tips	Press release in spring and fall for events or tips	Press release in spring and fall for events or tips
1F	Webpage	Update website with stormwater management topics and contacts	General public	DPW Superintendent and Website Manager	Promote stormwater awareness by posting key stormwater information on regulations and activities on the Town's website. Track hits with goals of increasing hits annually	Post SWMP and include revisions	Post SWMP and include revisions	Post SWMP and include revisions	Post SWMP and include revisions	Post SWMP and include revisions
1G	Brochure/ Pamphlets	Pamphlet to be developed encouraging proper pet waste management	Residents/ dog owners	Town Clerk and NSRWA	Update and disseminate with dog license information annually and posts at public locations				Message released to dog owners	Message released to dog owners
1H	Brochure/ Pamphlets	Pamphlet to be developed on proper maintenance of septic systems	Owners of septic systems	Board of Health – Health Clerk and NSRWA	Update and distribute biannually to septic system owners	Message released to septic owners	Message released to septic owners	Message released to septic owners	Message released to septic owners	Message released to septic owners
1I	Broadcast stormwater presentations	Broadcast applicable selectmen meetings, videos, and other stormwater related announcements on local cable TV	General Public	DPW Superintendent and Town Officials	Continue broadcasting stormwater meetings, tips, and videos on local cable TV at least twice a year	TV Broadcast (twice-yearly & Selectmen meetings (as applicable)	TV Broadcast (twice-yearly) & Selectmen meetings (as applicable)	TV Broadcast (twice-yearly) & Selectmen meetings (as applicable)	TV Broadcast (twice-yearly) & Selectmen meetings (as applicable)	TV Broadcast (twice-yearly) & Selectmen meetings (as applicable)
Effectiveness Assessment	Survey	Conduct a survey to assess effectiveness of educational materials	All Audiences	DPW Superintendent	Survey results to be interpreted and assessed to determine the effectiveness of the educational materials			Conduct survey		Conduct survey
		Develop, implement, and complete BMP by the end of permit year to fulfill requirements								
		Continue to implement BMP								
		Continue to implement BMP annually								
		Continue to implement BMP bi-annually								
		Conduct task for effectiveness assessment								

3.2.1.1 BMP 1A Brochures for Residences and Businesses

The Town will provide educational materials to residents to educate them on green landscaping techniques. To comply with this requirement, NSRWA will provide the Town with a digital brochure educating residents on greenscape techniques to help reduce pesticide pollutants. The DPW Superintendent will oversee the printing and distribution of brochures and make them available at Town Hall and the Town Public Library. The DPW Superintendent will track the number of brochures mailed and taken from each public facility, and digital downloads to determine how many brochures were distributed.

The Town will provide educational materials to educate businesses on proper waste management and parking lot maintenance. To comply with this requirement, NSRWA will provide the Town with brochures, press releases, and social media updates educating businesses, institutions, and commercial facilities on proper waste management staring in PY2 and parking lot maintenance starting in PY4. The DPW Superintendent will distribute the brochures to the facilities and coordinate with local newspapers to publish the press release. Social media posts will be developed and posted by NSRWA to their Facebook page as well as the Town's Facebook page. The DPW Superintendent will track the number of brochures mailed to the facilities, the number to hits on the social media posts, and digital downloads to determine how many brochures were distributed in each permit year.

3.2.1.2 BMP 1B Displays for Businesses, Institutions, and Commercial Facilities

The Town will put up displays for businesses, institutions, and commercial facilities in Town Hall to educate their operators about stormwater pollution. To comply with this requirement, the Conservation Commission – Senior Planner will continue to maintain the display at Town Hall and will revise the messages to include information on proper management of waste materials and dumpsters and proper parking lot maintenance by the end of PY1.

3.2.1.3 BMP 1C Brochures for Developers

The Town will provide brochures to construction developers to educate them on low impact development, and sediment and erosion control management practices. To comply with this requirement, NSRWA will provide the Town with brochures, press releases, and social media updates educating developers on low impact development staring in PY3 and proper sediment and erosion control management starting in PY5. The DPW Superintendent will provide the brochures to the Planning Board and the Building Department to distribute to developers during a permit request and provide these on their counters. The number of brochures distributed by the Planning Board and the Building Department and taken from the counters will be tracked and reported to DPW Superintendent.

3.2.1.4 BMP 1D Brochures for Industrial Facilities

The Town will provide educational materials to industrial facilities to educate them on proper management of waste materials and dumpster and parking lot maintenance. To comply with this requirement, the NSRWA will provide the Town with brochures, press releases, and social media updates educating industrial facilities on proper management of waste materials staring in PY3 and dumpsters and proper parking lot maintenance starting in PY5. DPW will distribute the brochures to the facilities and coordinate with local newspapers to publish the press release. Social media posts will be developed and posted by NSRWA to their Facebook page as well as the Town's Facebook page. The DPW Superintendent will track the number of brochures mailed to the facilities and the number to hits on the social media posts.

3.2.1.5 BMP 1E Press Releases for General Public

The Town will advertise the Town's events to invite and engage the general public in the events. The NSRWA hosts a rain barrel sale, a gardening green expo, and Water All Around You school program events annually throughout each permit year. The DPW in coordination with the Conservation Commission will host and/or sponsor events for catch basin stenciling, beach and waterbody cleanups, and an annual event for household hazardous waste collection (BMPs 2B, 2D, and 2E).

NSRWA will develop the press release for their hosted events for the DPW Superintendent to then coordinate with the local newspapers to publish. The DPW Superintendent will also coordinate with the Town Website Manager to advertise these events on the Town's website (BMP 1F) and social media pages. The Town Website Manager will track the number of hits to their website and social media posts.

This BMP is ongoing, and progress made towards the development and distribution of the materials will be reported in the annual report.

3.2.1.6 BMP 1F Website Updates for General Public

The Town will update their stormwater webpage with information for the general public to access. To comply with this requirement The DPW Superintendent will coordinate with the Town Website Manager to update the Town's stormwater webpage annually to include the brochures targeting the residents, developers, commercial, and industrial facilities. The webpage will also include links to the EPA website about the MS4 permit to promote information about the regulations. The website will also be updated to include an electronic copy of the draft SWMP for comment (BMP 2A) as well as the revised SWMP. The annual reports will be made available online. The Town's website will also be updated to promote the events hosted by the Town and NSRWA in BMP 1E. The Town Website Manager will track the hits to the page and number of digital downloads of the brochures, SWMP, and annual reports.

This BMP is ongoing, and progress made towards updating the website and changes will be reported in the annual report.

3.2.1.7 BMP 1G Brochures for Dog Owners and Residents

The Town will provide educational materials to dog owners to educate them on proper pet waste handling. To comply with this requirement, NSRWA will provide the Town with brochures, press releases, and social media updates educating residents on proper pet waste handling starting in PY4. The Town Clerk will distribute the brochures to the residents and coordinate with local newspapers to publish the press release. Social media posts will be developed and posted by NSRWA to their Facebook page as well as the Town's Facebook page.). The DPW Superintendent will provide veterinary offices and pet stores with these brochures to make available to pet owners. The Town Clerk with assistance from the Town Website Manager, will track the number of brochures distributed, taken, and digitally downloaded and report this to the DPW Superintendent.

3.2.1.8 BMP 1H Brochures for Septic Tank Owners

The Town will provide educational materials to septic system owners to educate them on proper septic tank maintenance. To comply with this requirement, NSRWA will provide the Town with brochures, press releases, and social media updates educating septic tank owners on proper septic tank maintenance starting PY1 to be distributed twice a year. The Board of Health – Health Clerk will distribute the brochures to the owners and coordinate with local newspapers to publish the press release. Social media posts will be developed and posted by NSRWA to their Facebook page as well as the Town's Facebook page. The Health Clerk, with assistance from the Town's Website Manager, will track the number of brochures

mailed to the owners and the number to hits on the social media posts and report this information to the DPW Superintendent.

This BMP is ongoing, and progress made towards the development and distribution of the materials will be reported in the annual report.

3.2.1.9 BMP 1I Stormwater TV Broadcasts for General Public

The Town will broadcast applicable selectmen meetings, videos, and other stormwater related announcements on local cable television. To comply with this requirement the DPW Superintendent will continue to broadcast stormwater educational tips on local cable network and change the topic throughout the year and be broadcasted at least twice a year. The Town Officials will continue to broadcast applicable selectmen meetings. The DPW Superintendent will document the broadcast airing dates and topics.

This BMP is ongoing, and progress made towards the broadcasting of the meetings and stormwater tips and the air dates will be reported in the annual report.

3.2.1.10 Effectiveness Assessment Surveys

Towards the end of PY3 and PY5, the DPW Superintendent will manage the surveys asking residents, business owners, institutions, commercial, and industrial facility managers, developers, and the general public the following questions to determine if the message was distributed and effectively communicated:

- If they received or saw the brochures, displays, or information on the Town's webpage;
- If they were aware of these stormwater pollution prevention techniques prior to obtaining the materials; and
- If they had implemented any practices before or after the materials were distributed.

The information in the survey along with the number of distributed materials will be used to evaluate how effective these are as a means of promoting stormwater pollution prevention and how well the message came across to the various audiences. The DPW Superintendent will document the message, method of distribution, measures/methods used to assess the effectiveness of the messages, and measures/methods used to assess the overall program effectiveness and include it in the annual report. If activities are found to be ineffective, alternative messages or distribution means will be proposed.

3.3 MCM 2: Public Involvement and Participation

The public involvement and participation MCM objective in Section 2.3.3 of the 2016 MS4 GP is: *'The permittee shall provide opportunities to engage the public to participate in the review and implementation of the permittee's SWMP.'*

3.3.1 BMPs

The measurable results from this program are listed in **Table 3** below for each BMP, and the effectiveness of this program will be measured by the continued development of this program as well as the increased participation of the public.

Table 3: MCM2 BMPs and Implementation Actions

BMP ID	BMP Media/ Category	BMP Description	Responsible Department and Personnel	Measurable Goal	PY1	PY2	PY3	PY4	PY5
2A	Public review	Posting and public SWMP review	DPW Superintendent and Town Website Manager	Post on-line and notify the public of availability of SWMP for annual review. Address comments and update SWMP yearly	Post SWMP by May 2019 and include revisions	Post SWMP and include revisions	Post SWMP and include revisions	Post SWMP and include revisions	Post SWMP and include revisions
2B	Public Participation	Catch Basin Stencil/markers	DPW Superintendent	Continue to mark and maintain catch basin/storm drain stenciling in cooperation with local volunteer groups	Host catch basin stenciling events	Host catch basin stenciling events	Host catch basin stenciling events	Host catch basin stenciling events	Host catch basin stenciling events
2C	Public Participation	Hotline/web line - reporting problems/violations	DPW Superintendent and Town Website Manager	Continue to track and respond to hotline calls. Track and report annually	Continually track reported issues and follow-up	Continually track reported issues and follow-up	Continually track reported issues and follow-up	Continually track reported issues and follow-up	Continually track reported issues and follow-up
2D	Public Participation	Cleanups-Shoreline/Waterbody	DPW Superintendent and Conservation Commission – Senior Planner	Continue to promote and coordinate with volunteers on wetland and waterbody cleanups with the goal of at least 2 events per year	Host clean up events, at least twice a year	Host clean up events, at least twice a year	Host clean up events, at least twice a year	Host clean up events, at least twice a year	Host clean up events, at least twice a year
2E	Public Participation	Household hazardous waste/used oil collection	DPW Superintendent	Promote and participate in annual household hazardous waste event	Host household hazardous waste collection event	Host household hazardous waste collection event	Host household hazardous waste collection event	Host household hazardous waste collection event	Host household hazardous waste collection event

	Develop, implement, and complete BMP by the end of permit year to fulfill requirements
	Continue to implement BMP
	Continue to implement BMP annually
	Continue to implement BMP bi-annually
	Conduct task for effectiveness assessment

3.3.1.1 BMP 2A SWMP Public Review

The Town will make the SWMP available to the public for review and comment. To comply with this requirement, the DPW Superintendent will coordinate with the Town's Website manager to post the draft SWMP on the Town's stormwater webpage before June 30, 2019. After the public review and comment period the DPW Superintendent will revise the SWMP if needed and post it to the Town's stormwater webpage by the end of PY1. The DPW Superintendent with assistance from the Town Website manager will notify the public of its availability online via social media posts on the DPW Facebook page. Appropriate comments and revisions will be incorporated in the SWMP by the DPW Superintendent annually during each permit year. Modifications, changes, or updates will be recorded in an amendment log and summary of modifications template as provided in **Appendix A**.

The SWMP will be posted and revised as needed based on comments from the public.

3.3.1.2 BMP 2B Catch Basin Stenciling

The Town will provide opportunities for public involvement through the catch basin stenciling events. To comply with this requirement, the DPW Superintendent will seek opportunities to coordinate with local volunteer groups to mark and remark storm drains. The DPW Superintendent will track which drains have been marked or remarked in a database and provide this information in the annual report.

This BMP is ongoing, and activities occurring during the events will be reported in the annual report.

3.3.1.3 BMP 2C Reporting Issues

The Town will provide the public a means of reporting problems and violations. To comply with this requirement, the Town will continue to utilize the current hotline number provided on the Town's stormwater website for illicit discharges. The DPW Superintendent in coordination with the Town Website Manager will continue to track the number of phone calls and work orders, address reported issues, and provide this information in the annual report.

This BMP is ongoing, and issues reported and resolved will be reported in the annual report.

3.3.1.4 BMP 2D Shoreline and Waterbody Cleanup Events

The Town will provide opportunities for public involvement through cleanup events. To comply with this requirement, the DPW Superintendent will coordinate with the Conservation Commission – Senior Planner and volunteers to perform cleanup activities and will continue these efforts. Notifications of cleanup events will be posted on the Town's Facebook page and advertised to the local schools to recruit more volunteers. The Town will complete at least two clean up events in each permit year, record the dates of activities, and provide this information in the annual report.

This BMP is ongoing, and activities occurring during the events will be reported in the annual report.

3.3.1.5 BMP 2E Household Hazardous Waste/Used Oil Collection Event

The Town will provide opportunities for the public involvement though the household hazardous waste collections. The DPW will hosts a household waste collection event in May or June of each permit year and promote it via flyers to residents, announcement on the Hingham Journal, Town's stormwater webpage, and social media pages. The DPW Superintendent will document the date of the event and track the quantity and type of waste collected during the Town's hosted event and provide this information in the annual report.

The DPW hosted a Hazardous Waste Day at Plymouth River High School on May 12, 2019 and information about the amount of waste collected will be reported in the annual report.

3.4 MCM 3: Illicit Discharge and Detection Elimination

The IDDE MCM objective provided in Section 2.3.4 of the 2016 MS4 GP is: *'The permittee shall implement an IDDE program to systematically find and eliminate sources of non-stormwater discharges to its municipal separate storm sewer system and implement procedures to prevent such discharges.'*

3.4.1 BMPs

The measurable results from this program are listed in **Table 4** below for each BMP, and the effectiveness of this program will be measured by the progress made in the implementation of this program and how effective it is at identifying and eliminating illicit discharges.

Table 4: MCM3 BMPs and Implementation Actions

BMP ID	BMP Media/ Category	BMP Description	Responsible Department and Personnel	Measurable Goal	PY1	PY2	PY3	PY4	PY5	PY7	PY10
3A	SSO Inventory	Develop SSO inventory in accordance of permit conditions	DPW Superintendent and Board of Health – Health Agent	Complete within 1 year of effective date of the permit	SSO inventory	Update inventory as SSOs are detected and reported					
3B	Stormwater sewer system map	Create map and update during IDDE program completion	DPW Superintendent	Complete updated drainage map within 2 years of effective date of permit and complete full system map 10 year after effective date of permit		System map with updates for Phase I	Update as information is discovered, work on updates required for Phase II				Complete system map with updates for Phase II
3C	Written IDDE program	Update written IDDE program and procedures to address local water quality/nutrient issues	DPW Superintendent, Board of Health – Health Agent, and Conservation Commission – Senior Planner	Complete within 1 year of the effective date of the permit and update as required	IDDE program plan	Update IDDE program plan					
3D	Implement IDDE program	Implement catchment investigations according to program and permit conditions	DPW Superintendent, Board of Health – Health Agent, and Conservation Commission – Senior Planner	Investigate 30% of problem catchments by year 2. Complete 10 years after effective date of the permit	See specific BMP implementation in BMP 3A, 3B, 3E, 3F, 3G, 3H						
3E	Employee training	Train employees on IDDE implementation	DPW Superintendent	Train annually	Train staff in IDDE program plan and implementation						
3F	Conduct dry weather screening	Develop screening & sampling procedures and conduct in accordance with outfall screening procedure and permit conditions	DPW Superintendent	Complete 3 years after effective date of the permit		Begin screening high and low priority outfalls after initial ranking and inventory is completed		Update inventory as screening and investigations continue			
						Begin catchment investigations for Problem outfalls (30% by end of PY2)	Continue catchment investigations			Complete catchment investigations of Problem and suspected sewage outfalls	Complete all catchment investigations
3G	Conduct wet weather screening	Conduct in accordance with outfall screening procedure	DPW Superintendent	Begin sampling catchments with identified System Vulnerability Factors (SVF). Complete 10 years after effective date of the permit		Begin catchment investigations for Problem outfalls (30% by end of PY2)	Continue catchment investigations			Complete catchment investigations of Problem and suspected sewage outfalls	Complete all catchment investigations
3H	Ongoing screening	Conduct dry weather and wet weather screening (as necessary)	DPW Superintendent	Continue and report annually on ongoing outfall screening upon completion of IDDE program	Ongoing screening at a frequency of once every five years will begin once all catchment investigations are completed by PY10.						

	Develop, implement, and complete BMP by the end of permit year to fulfill requirements
	Continue to implement BMP
	Continue to implement BMP annually
	Continue to implement BMP bi-annually
	BMP implementation outside of five-year permit term

3.4.1.1 BMP 3A SSO Inventory

The Town currently tracks and reports SSOs to the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) and will develop an inventory of these SSOs to provide information to the IDDE Program. To comply with this requirement, the DPW Superintendent will identify and compile locations and other required data on any SSOs that have discharged into the MS4 within the last five years and develop an inventory by the end of PY1. The DPW Superintendent and the Board of Health – Health Agent will then update and maintain the inventory annually as any additional SSOs are identified. The DPW Superintendent will develop a database of identified SSOs and include the information required in Section 2.3.4.4.b. of the 2016 MS4 GP.

The inventory has been developed and will be updated with SSOs as they are reported.

3.4.1.2 BMP 3B Storm Sewer System Map

The Town will develop an updated and more detailed system map of their MS4. To comply with this requirement the DPW Superintendent will manage the gathering of additional data on interconnections and open conveyances and updating of the current system map. The Town currently has a storm drain system map, including 329 outfall locations. The drainage map and geographic information system (GIS) data will continue to be added based on field inspections and verification conducted by the DPW Field Staff. The system map updates will be completed in two phases.

The first phase will require updates to the system map to be managed by the DPW Superintendent, with the information listed in Section 2.3.4.5.a of the 2016 MS4 GP by the end of the PY2.

The second phase of the updates to the system map will occur annually as the information is identified and will be managed by the DPW Superintendent. This information in Section 2.3.4.5b. of the 2016 MS4 GP (including data on refined catchment delineations and storm sewer material and sizes) will be included in the map for all outfalls by the end of PY10.

This BMP is ongoing and yearly progress made towards the development of the stormwater sewer system map will be reported in the annual report.

3.4.1.3 BMP 3C Written IDDE Program

The Town will develop a written IDDE program plan that will outline procedures to find, eliminate, and prevent non-stormwater discharges to the MS4, and identify the Town staff responsible for the implementation. This will be completed by DPW Superintendent in consultation with the Board of Health – Health Agent, and the Conservation Commission – Senior Planner by the end of PY1. The following elements will be included in the IDDE program plan:

- Identify the legal authority and regulatory mechanism to prohibit illicit discharges and enforce this prohibition;
  - The Director of Community Planning and the DPW Superintendent will update regulations to include language that will prohibit illicit discharges, investigate alleged illicit discharges, eliminate illicit discharges, and implement enforcement procedures and actions. This will need to be completed prior to the implementation of the IDDE plan by PY1 and will require approval in Town Meeting.
- Detection and inventory of SSOs as detailed in BMP 3A
- Storm system mapping including interconnections with other storm sewer systems and initial catchment delineations as detailed in BMP 3B

- Inventory, assessment, and ranking of outfall/interconnection will be based on information listed in Section 2.3.4.7.a.i. of the 2016 MS4 GP. The inventory and ranking will be managed by the DPW Superintendent and completed by PY1. An updated inventory and ranking will be provided in each annual report thereafter.
  - The outfall/interconnections will be classified into four categories: problem, high priority, low priority, or excluded outfalls. The classification will be based on the characteristics of the initial catchment area to the outfall and interconnection, as listed in Section 2.3.4.7a.iii of the 2016 MS4 GP.
  - Outfalls/interconnections will be reprioritized based off information gathered in dry weather outfall screening and sampling (BMP 3F) and continuously updated and completed by the end of the PY3.
- Dry weather outfall/interconnection screening and sampling procedures for high and low priority outfalls, as detailed in BMP 3F and Section 2.3.4.7.b.1 of the 2016 MS4 GP
- Catchment investigations
  - The DPW Superintendent will develop the procedures to investigate catchment areas for all outfalls and interconnections within 18 months of the permit effective date (January 2020). The catchment investigation procedure will include the procedure listed in Section 2.3.4.8.b of the 2016 MS4 GP, including use of historic information, manhole inspection methodology, and procedures to confirm and isolate illicit discharges.
  - The DPW Superintendent will review each catchment area for SVFs. The presence or absence of an SVF will be documented in the outfall inventory, included in the IDDE program plan, and provided in the annual report.
  - The catchment areas will be investigated by DPW staff once the initial outfall catchment delineations are complete. Investigations will start with the problem outfalls and continue per the reprioritized outfall inventory and SVF designation. Investigations for catchment areas of problem outfalls will begin no later than PY2 and be completed by the end of PY7. All catchment investigations will be completed by PY10.
  - The DPW Superintendent will implement the procedures for the SVF designation and catchment investigation, track the investigations in a database, and provide documentation to be reported in the annual report.
- Indicators of IDDE program progress
  - The IDDE program plan will include indicators for tracking progress, evaluate, and report on the overall effectiveness of the IDDE program in the annual report. The success of the IDDE program will be measured by the IDDE activities completed within the required timelines. The DPW Superintendent will document the following information to provide in the annual report:
    - Number of SSOs and illicit discharges identified and removed;
    - The volume of sewage removed;
    - Number of dry weather outfall inspections/screenings;
    - Number of wet weather outfall inspections/sampling events;
    - Dry and wet weather screening and sampling results;

  - Number and percent of total outfall catchments served by the MS4 evaluated using the catchment investigation procedure;
  - Number of enforcement notices issued; and
  - Number of employees trained annually.
- Ongoing outfall screening as detailed in BMP 3H; and
- Employee training as detailed in BMP 3E;

The DPW Superintendent is reviewing a draft IDDE Plan and implementation of the plan will be reported in the annual report.

3.4.1.4 BMP 3D Implement IDDE Program

Once the IDDE program plan is developed, those named as the responsible staff will implement each task. The SSO inventory and the outfall inventory and initial ranking will be completed by PY1. The procedures for the catchment investigations will also need to be developed during PY1 and completed by January 2020. Catchment areas associated with Problem outfalls will be investigated first and the DPW staff will complete at least 30% by the end of PY2. The outfall dry weather screening for High and Low priority outfalls will be conducted during dry weather months starting in PY2 and all planned investigations will be completed within 10 years of the permit effective date.

The DPW Superintendent is reviewing a draft IDDE Plan and implementation of the plan will be reported in the annual report.

3.4.1.5 BMP 3E Employee Training

The DPW Superintendent will oversee the delivery of training to various Town department staff on how to recognize illicit discharges and SSOs and implement the IDDE program plan. The DPW Superintendent will coordinate implementation of this training annually, document the type of training and names of staff that were trained, and provide this information in the annual report.

This BMP is ongoing and training dates will be documented and reported in the annual report.

3.4.1.6 BMP 3F Conduct Dry Weather Screening

After applicable outfalls/interconnections have been inventoried and ranked, the DPW Superintendent will manage the inspection of high and low priority outfalls for dry weather flows. This inspection will be completed by the end of PY3. Problem, high, and low priority outfalls will also be subject to catchment investigations for illicit discharges. To comply with these requirements the DPW Superintendent will develop and implement the following procedures: (1) a written procedure for outfall screening and sampling for inclusion in the IDDE program plan by the end of PY1, and (2) a procedure to investigate each catchment associated with an outfall/interconnection by January 2020.

Outfall screening and sampling will include documenting the field observations and outfall characteristics listed in Section 2.3.4.7.b.iii.1 of the 2016 MS4 GP.

If dry weather flows are observed discharging from an outfall, samples will be collected by DPW staff and forwarded to a designated lab for analysis of the constituents listed in Section 2.3.4.7.b.iii.4 of the 2016 MS4 GP.

If outfall discharges indicate sewer input, they will be ranked at the top of the high priority outfall category for catchment investigation. Information from the dry weather screening will be utilized in the follow-up outfall rankings which will be managed by the DPW Superintendent and completed by PY3.

Catchment investigations will be conducted for all catchment areas. Catchment investigations will be conducted beginning with problem outfalls/interconnections which have previously been identified during the ranking to have known or suspected illicit discharges. At least 30% of the problem outfalls will be investigated by the end of PY2 and investigations will be completed by the end of PY7. Once high and low priority outfalls/interconnections have been screened and/or sampled, catchment investigations will be conducted for these catchment areas. Investigations associated with high and low priority outfalls will be completed by the end of PY10.

Prior to conducing the catchment investigation, the DPW Superintendent will identify SVF for catchment areas. If an SVF is identified in an outfall catchment area, that outfall will be subjected to wet and dry weather investigations. Dry weather catchment investigations, for problem, low, and high priority outfalls' catchment areas will include:

- Dry weather key junction manhole visual and odor inspections;
- Dry weather flow sampling for ammonia, chloride, and surfactants; and
- Further investigation upstream if there is a suspected illicit discharge or SSO to the nearest two manholes.

Findings and data collected during the screening will be recorded by the DPW Superintendent in a database and will be reported in each annual report as dry weather screenings occur.

Wet weather catchment investigation procedure and implementation is described in BMP 3G below.

3.4.1.7 BMP 3G Conduct Wet Weather Screening

For catchment areas with at least one identified SVF, wet weather sampling and screening will be conducted in addition to the dry weather screening and sampling. The DPW Superintendent will manage investigations during wet weather conditions to determine whether wet weather induced high flows of sanitary sewers or high groundwater in areas served by septic tanks result in sanitary flow discharges to the MS4. Wet weather screening and sampling will occur during or after a storm that produces sufficient stormwater discharge from an outfall. At least one wet weather screening and sampling for the same parameters as during dry weather sampling (BMP 3F) will be conducted per outfall with SVFs identified in a catchment area. Findings and data collected as a part of the catchment investigation will be recorded in a database by the DPW Superintendent and reported in the annual report.

3.4.1.8 BMP 3H Ongoing Screening

Once catchment area investigations are completed, outfalls will be reprioritized for ongoing screening. This ongoing screening and revisions to the outfall/interconnection rankings will be managed by the DPW Superintendent and will be performed once every five years. Dry weather screening and sampling for outfalls will follow the same procedures described in BMP 3F. Wet weather screening and sampling for outfalls with catchment areas identified to have an SVF will follow the sample procedures in BMP 3G. Findings from the screening and sampling and lab data will be reported in the annual report.

3.5 MCM 4: Construction Site Runoff Control

Section 2.3.5 of the 2016 MS4 GP describes: *'The objective of an effective construction stormwater runoff control program is to minimize or eliminate erosion and maintain sediment on site so that it is not transported in stormwater and allowed to discharge to a water of the U.S through the permittee's MS4.'*

3.5.1 BMPs

The measurable results from this program are listed in **Table 5** below for each BMP, and the effectiveness of this program will be measured by the continued implementation of this program and favorable construction site inspections that show the minimization or elimination of erosion.

Table 5: MCM4 BMPs and Implementation Actions

BMP ID	BMP Media/ Category	BMP Description	Responsible Department and Personnel	Measurable Goal	PY1	PY2	PY3	PY4	PY5
4A	Site Inspection and enforcement of Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) measures	Complete written procedures of site inspections and enforcement procedures	DPW, Conservation Commission, Board of Health, Building Commissioner, and Planning Board	Update, as needed, within 1 year of the effective date of permit	Develop procedures Inspection and ESC	Implement procedures			
4B	Site plan review	Complete written procedures of site plan review and begin implementation	Planning Board – Director of Community Planning	Update, as needed, within 1 year of the effective date of permit	Site plan review regulations	Continue to enforce regulations			
4C	Erosion and Sediment Control	Adoption of requirements for construction operators to implement a sediment and erosion control program	DPW Superintendent and Planning Board – Director of Community Planning	Complete within 1 year of the effective date of the permit	ESC regulations	Continue to enforce regulations			
4D	Waste Control	Adoption of requirements to control wastes, including but not limited to, discarded building materials, concrete wash out, chemicals, litter, and sanitary wastes	Planning Board – Director of Community Planning	Complete within 1 year of the effective date of the permit	Waste control regulation	Continue to enforce regulations			
4E	Construction site inspections	Continue inspections in accordance with local bylaws and Planning Board Regulations	DPW, Conservation Commission, Board of Health, Building Commissioner, and Planning Board	Continue to track, enforce, and document inspection - report annually	Conduct construction site and BMP inspections	Conduct construction site and BMP inspections	Conduct construction site and BMP inspections	Conduct construction site and BMP inspections	Conduct construction site and BMP inspections
		Develop, implement, and complete BMP by the end of permit year to fulfill requirements							
		Continue to implement BMP							
		Continue to implement BMP annually							
		Continue to implement BMP bi-annually							

3.5.1.1 BMP 4A Site Inspection and Enforcement of ESC Measures

To comply with the requirements for site inspections and enforce procedures for ESC measures the Land Use Boards (Conservation Commission, Board of Health, Building Commissioner, and Planning Board) will review their current procedures and update them to include: language that will require construction developers to develop ESC measures appropriate for their site; Town staff responsible for inspections; and who has the authority to implement the enforcement procedures. The Town currently conducts site inspections and will modify them as needed and will be completed by the end of PY1.

Currently, construction inspections for erosion controls are conducted by various departments. Complaints are received by all staff at the Land Use Boards. The department under whose jurisdiction the project was approved under or the staff with the appropriate enforcement authority will generally handle the smaller issues. Ongoing issues and more serious complaints are addressed in a coordination meeting held with staff from all the Land Use Boards. For projects approved by the Planning Board, regular construction site inspections are completed by a consultant paid by the developer per the procedure set forth in the Subdivision Rules and regulation. Inspections and enforcement for projects approved by the Building Commissioner will be conducted by Building Department. Inspections and enforcement for projects approved by the Board of Health will be conducted by Board of Health. Inspection and enforcement for projects approved by the Conservation Commission will be conducted by the Conservation Commission.

3.5.1.2 BMP 4B Site Plan Review

The Town will update their procedures for site plan review, inspection, and enforcement. To comply with this requirement in Section 2.3.5.c.v. of the 2016 MS4 GP, the Director of Community Planning will review their current site plan review procedures and modify them to include language to enforce these requirements. Modifications of these regulations will require approval at Town Meeting by the end of PY1. The Director of Community Planning will track the number of site reviews, inspections and enforcement actions in a database and provide inspection forms and documentation of enforcement actions for reporting in the annual report.

The Hingham Planning Board receives Site Plan applications and issues approvals with input and review by other Town Departments. Each application is reviewed for completeness and then uploaded to the software program “Permiteyes” that notifies Town Department heads that their review is required. Each Town Department has access to the program and can enter comments based on their review of the application. Each department can then approve the application once their comments and requests for additional information are addressed by the Applicant or others. The Planning Board does not approve the application until all departments “sign off” on the application. The Town is working on methods to track inspections and enforcement actions in the database.

An article for the modifications to the current regulations was presented in Town meeting and was passed. The inspections and enforcement are ongoing, and progress made towards the implementation will be reported in the annual report.

3.5.1.3 BMP 4C Erosion and Sediment Control

The Planning Board will review requirements for construction site operators that are performing land disturbing activities that result in stormwater discharges to the MS4 to implement an ESC program that includes BMPs for appropriate site conditions. The Director of Community will modify existing regulations to include language to enforce these requirements. Modifications of these regulations will require approval at Town Meeting by the end of PY1.

An article for the modifications to the current regulations was presented in Town meeting and was passed. The inspections and enforcement are ongoing, and progress made towards the implementation will be reported in the annual report.

3.5.1.4 BMP 4D Waste Control

The Town will require construction site operators to control wastes, in addition to what is in the existing Town regulations, as specified in Section 2.3.5.c.i of the 2016 MS4 GP. The Director of Community Planning, in consultation with the Board of Health will modify existing regulations to include language to enforce this requirement. Modifications of these regulations will require approval in Town Meeting by the end of PY1.

An article for the modifications to the current regulations was presented in Town meeting and was passed. The inspections and enforcement are ongoing, and progress made towards the implementation will be reported in the annual report.

3.5.1.5 BMP 4E Construction Site Inspections

The DPW, Conservation Commission, Board of Health, Building Commissioner, and Planning Board will work collaboratively to continue to conduct construction site inspections as needed. They will provide a tracking database of completed inspections, enforcement actions, and the inspection forms to the DPW Superintendent to then report in the annual report.

This BMP is ongoing, and progress and enforcement actions taken will be reported in the annual report.

3.6 MCM 5: Post-Construction Stormwater Management

Section 2.3.6 of the 2016 MS4 GP describes: *'The objective of this control measure is to reduce the discharge of pollutants found in stormwater through the retention or treatment of stormwater after construction on new or redeveloped sites.'*

3.6.1 BMPs

The measurable results from this program are listed in **Table 6** below for each BMP, and the effectiveness of this program will be measured by the increase of: submittals of as-built plans; retrofitted or modified structural BMPs; use of green infrastructure; changes to parking lot and street design to favor more low impact development practices; and use of BMP design guidance's provided by the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The effectiveness of the program will also be determined by favorable post BMP inspections that show how developers understand the importance of reducing the discharge of pollutants found in stormwater by implementing onsite retention or treatment.

Table 6: MCM5 BMPs and Implementation Actions

BMP ID	BMP Media/ Category	BMP Description	Responsible Department and Personnel	Measurable Goal	PY1	PY2	PY3	PY4	PY5
5A	As-built plans for on-site stormwater control	Develop procedures to require submission of as-built drawings and ensure long term operation and maintenance, as part of the SWMP	Planning Board – Director of Community Planning	Require submission of as-built plans and maintenance requirements for completed projects	As-built plan requirements		Continue to require as-builts by 2 years of end of construction		
5B	Target properties to reduce impervious areas	Identify at least 5 permittee-owned properties that could be modified or retrofitted with BMPs to reduce impervious areas	DPW Superintendent	Complete 4 years after effective date of permit. Update annually to maintain inventory and report annually on retrofitted properties	Identify 5 properties				Maintain inventory of 5
5C	Allow green infrastructure	Develop a report assessing local regulations to determine the feasibility of making green infrastructure practices allowable when appropriate site conditions exist	Planning Board – Director of Community Planning	Complete 4 years after effective date of the permit and implement recommendations of report	Develop report				Implement recommendations made in report
5D	Street design and parking lot guidelines	Develop a report assessing requirements that affect the creation of impervious cover. The assessment will help determine if changes to the design standards can be modified to support low impact design options	Planning Board – Director of Community Planning	Complete 4 years after effective date of permit and implement recommendations of report	Develop report				Implement recommendations made in report
5E	Ensure any stormwater controls or management practices for new development and redevelopment meet the retention or treatment requirements of the permit and all applicable requirements of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook	Adoption, amendment, or modification of a regulatory mechanism to meet permit requirements	Planning Board – Director of Community Planning	Complete 2 years after effective date of the permit	Design standards compliance		Enforce design standard requirements		
5F	Inspection	Continue inspections of post-construction BMPs	DPW Superintendent	Continue documenting inspections/corrective actions and report annually	Report and track annually	Report and track annually	Report and track annually	Report and track annually	Report and track annually
		Develop, implement, and complete BMP by the end of permit year to fulfill requirements							
		Continue to implement BMP							
		Continue to implement BMP annually							
		Continue to implement BMP bi-annually							

3.6.1.1 BMP 5A As-Built Plans for On-Site Stormwater Control

The Town will develop procedures to require submission of as-built drawings no later than two years after completion of construction. The procedures will also ensure long term operation and maintenance of stormwater site controls. To comply with this requirement the Director of Community Planning will modify existing regulations to require as-built plans to demonstrate conformance with approved plans to be submitted to the Building Department and the Planning Board no later than two years after completion of construction. The modifications will also include that building permit applicants submit an O&M plan for ongoing post-construction maintenance. Modifications of these regulations will require approval at Town Meeting to be approved by the end of PY2.

An article for the modifications to the current regulations was presented in Town meeting and was passed. The Building Department and Planning Board will enforce these regulations and progress made towards the implementation will be reported in the annual report.

3.6.1.2 BMP 5B Target Properties to Reduce Impervious Areas

The Town will identify at least five Town owned properties that could be modified or retrofitted with BMPs designed to reduce the frequency, volume, and pollutant loads of stormwater discharges to and from its MS4 through the reduction of impervious area. These properties could include parking lots, buildings, maintenance yards, existing street rights-of-way, outfalls and conventional stormwater conveyances and controls. To comply with this requirement, the DPW Superintendent will inventory Town owned properties and determine each property's potential for modification or retrofit based on factors such as: access for maintenance purposes; subsurface geology; depth to water table; proximity to aquifers and subsurface infrastructure including sanitary sewers and septic systems; and opportunities for public use and education. The properties will also be priority ranked based on factors such as: schedules for planned capital improvements to storm and sanitary sewer infrastructure and paving projects; current storm sewer level of service; and control of discharges to water quality limited waters, first or second order streams, public swimming beaches, drinking water supply sources and shellfish growing areas. The inventory will be completed by the end of PY4.

Beginning with PY5 annual report and in each subsequent annual report, the DPW Superintendent will identify additional Town owned sites and infrastructure that could be retrofitted to maintain an inventory with a minimum of five sites. The DPW Superintendent will report on properties that have been modified or retrofitted with BMPs to mitigate impervious area that were inventoried in the annual report.

3.6.1.3 BMP 5C Allow Green Infrastructure

The Town will develop a report assessing the Town's existing regulations to determine the feasibility of making green infrastructure practices allowable when appropriate site conditions exist. To comply with this requirement, the Director of Community Planning will review existing regulations to determine what practices are allowed and not allowed. If practices are not allowed, the Planning Board staff will determine what hinders the use of these practices, what changes in the regulations will be made, and provide a schedule for implementation of recommendations. This task will be completed by the end of PY4. Any findings and progress made towards making the practices allowable will be reported in the annual report.

3.6.1.4 BMP 5D Street Design and Parking Lot Guidelines

The Town will develop a report assessing current street design and parking lot guidelines and other Town requirements that affect the creation of impervious cover. This assessment will be used to provide information to allow the Town to determine if changes to design standards for streets and parking lots can be made to support low impact design options. To comply with this requirement, the Director of

Community Planning will review current design guidelines and determine where changes can be made. If the assessment indicates that changes can be made, the assessment will include recommendations and proposed schedules to incorporate policies and standards into relevant documents and procedures to minimize impervious cover attributable to parking areas and street designs. Planning Board staff will implement recommendations, in accordance with the schedules, contained in the assessment. This task will be completed by the end of PY4. A status of the assessment and any planned or completed changes to the Town regulation will be reported to the DPW Superintendent to include in the annual report.

3.6.1.5 BMP 5E Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Compliance

The DPW and Planning Board will ensure that stormwater controls or management practices for new and redevelopment meet the retention or treatment requirements of the 2016 MS4 GP and applicable requirements in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. To comply with this requirement, the Director of Community Planning from the Planning Board, in consultation with DPW, will modify existing regulations to include language to enforce these requirements. Modifications of these regulations will require approval at Town Meeting and will need to be approved by the end of PY2.

An article for the modifications to the current regulations was presented in Town meeting and was passed. The enforcement is ongoing, and progress made towards the implementation will be reported in the annual report.

3.6.1.6 BMP 5F Inspection

The Town will inspect the post-construction BMPs as identified in the as-built plans to ensure that they are properly operated and maintained. To comply with this requirement, the DPW Superintendent will oversee the post construction BMP inspections, track inspections in a database, conduct follow-up inspections and/or corrective actions and provide a summary of this information for the annual report.

This BMP is ongoing, and progress made during the permit year will be reported in the annual report.

3.7 MCM 6: Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping

The pollution prevention and good housekeeping MCM objective in Section 2.3.7 of the 2016 MS4 GP is: *'The permittee shall implement an operations and maintenance program for permittee-owned operations that has a goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff and protecting water quality from all permittee-owned operations.'*

3.7.1 BMPs

The measurable results from this program are listed in **Table 7** below for each BMP, and the effectiveness of this program will be measured by the increase and continued use of O&M procedures developed in BMP 6A and 6C. The effectiveness of the development of the SWPPPs will be determined by an increase in favorable facility inspections. The effectiveness of catch basin cleaning optimization and street sweeping program will be measured by an increase in the amount of materials removed. The effectiveness of the road salt optimization will be determined by a decrease in the use of salts and an increase in the use of alternatives. Inspection results from the Town owned stormwater treatment structures will be used to improve maintenance and help minimize system failures and resulting runoff.

Table 7: MCM6 BMPs and Implementation Actions

BMP ID	BMP Media/ Category	BMP Description	Responsible Department and Personnel	Measurable Goal	PY1	PY2	PY3	PY4	PY5
6A	Operation and Maintenance (O&M) procedures	Create written O&M procedures including all requirements contained in 2.3.7.a.ii for parks and open spaces, buildings and facilities, and vehicles and equipment	DPW Superintendent	Complete written O&M procedures for municipal activities and implement 2 years after effective date of the permit	Develop O&M procedures		Implement O&M procedures		
6B	Inventory all permittee-owned parks and open spaces, buildings and facilities, and vehicles and equipment	Create inventory	DPW Superintendent	Complete 2 years after effective date of permit and update annually	Develop Town owned facilities inventory		Update inventory as facilities are added and removed		
6C	Infrastructure O&M	Establish and/or document program for repair and rehabilitation of MS4 infrastructure	DPW Superintendent	Complete 2 years after the effective date of the permit	Develop O&M for MS4 infrastructure		Implement O&M procedures		
6D	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)	Create SWPPPs for maintenance garages, transfer stations, or other waste-handling facilities	DPW Superintendent	Complete SWPPPs and implement 2 years after effective date of permit	Develop SWPPPs		Implement SWPPPs		
6E	Catch basin cleaning	Establish schedule for optimizing catch basin cleaning such that each catch basin is no more than 50% full and clean catch basins on that schedule	DPW Superintendent	Document plan in SWMP and clean catch basins on established schedule and report number of catch basins cleaned and removed annually	Develop and implement catch basin cleaning procedures and implement	Implement catch basin cleaning procedures annually	Implement catch basin cleaning procedures annually	Implement catch basin cleaning procedures annually	Implement catch basin cleaning procedures annually
6F	Street sweeping program	Sweep all streets and permittee-owned parking lots in accordance with permit conditions	DPW Superintendent	Sweep all streets and permittee-owned parking lots once per year in the spring	Develop street and parking lot cleaning procedures and implement	Implement street sweeping procedures annually	Implement street sweeping procedures annually	Implement street sweeping procedures annually	Implement street sweeping procedures annually
6G	Road salt use optimization program	Establish and Implement a program to minimize the use of road salt	DPW Superintendent	Implement salt use optimization during deicing season	Develop winter road maintenance procedures and implement	Implement road maintenance procedures annually	Implement road maintenance procedures annually	Implement road maintenance procedures annually	Implement road maintenance procedures annually
6F	Inspections and maintenance of stormwater treatment structures	Establish and implement inspections and maintenance procedures and frequencies	DPW Superintendent	Inspect and maintain all Town-owned treatment structures at least annually	Develop treatment structure inspection procedure and implement	Conduct treatment structure inspections annually	Conduct treatment structure inspections annually	Conduct treatment structure inspections annually	Conduct treatment structure inspections annually
		Develop, implement, and complete BMP by the end of permit year to fulfill requirements							
		Continue to implement BMP							
		Continue to implement BMP annually							
		Continue to implement BMP bi-annually							

3.7.1.1 BMP 6A O&M Procedures

The Town will develop an O&M program for Town owned parks and open spaces, building and facilities, and vehicles and equipment. To comply with this requirement, the DPW Superintendent will prepare updated written O&M procedures for the categories listed in Section 2.3.7.a.ii of the 2016 MS4 GP.

The Town currently implements many of these practices at their DPW Facility including: indoor vehicle storage and maintenance; immediate repair of leaking vehicles; proper handling/storage and disposal of hazardous materials and wastes; covered salt storage, and indoor vehicle washing. These and other procedures will be documented for each facility type and will be completed by the end of PY2.

3.7.1.2 BMP 6B Inventory Town Facilities

The Town will develop an inventory of the following Town owned facilities: parks and open space; building and facilities with pollutants that are exposed to stormwater runoff, including schools, town offices, police, fire stations, municipal pools and parking garages; and storage of vehicles and equipment. To comply with this requirement, the DPW Superintendent will review their previous inventory of municipal facilities and update this utilizing mapping software or satellite mapping and conduct field investigations to confirm locations. This will be completed by the end of PY2 and the status of the development will be reported in the annual report.

3.7.1.3 BMP 6C Infrastructure O&M

The Town will develop a program detailing the activities and procedures for timely MS4 infrastructure maintenance to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4. To comply with this requirement the DPW Superintendent will develop the O&M maintenance procedures on MS4 infrastructure maintenance. This will be completed by the end of PY2.

3.7.1.4 BMP 6D SWPPP

The Town will develop and implement SWPPPs for each of the Town owned or operated facilities: maintenance garages, public works yards, transfer stations, and other waste handling facilities where pollutants are exposed to stormwater as determined by the Town. To comply with the requirements, the DPW Superintendent will identify the listed facilities above that are Town owned and operated and are not covered under the NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges with Industrial Activities (MSGP). The Town's Transfer Station has coverage under the MSGP and a SWPPP that is currently being implemented. SWPPPs for all applicable Town owned and operated facilities will be completed by the end of PY2. SWPPPs will include, at a minimum, the elements listed in Section2.3.7.b.ii of the 2016 MS4 GP.

3.7.1.5 BMP 6E Catch Basin Cleaning

The Town will optimize routine inspections, cleaning, and maintenance of catch basins. To comply with this requirement, the DPW Superintendent will evaluate the current catch basin cleaning schedule and include the elements detailed in Section 2.3.7.a.iii.2 of the MS4 GP.

The DPW Superintendent will document its plan for optimizing catch basin cleaning, inspection plans, or its schedule for gathering information to develop the optimization plan. The DPW Superintendent will maintain a database of catch basins cleaned or inspected and report the total number of catch basins, number inspected, number cleaned, and the total volume or mass of material removed from all catch basins and include this in the annual report. Documentation of inspections and cleaning schedules will be used to determine that the established plan for cleaning and maintenance is optimal for the MS4.

This BMP is ongoing and catch basin cleaning and progress made towards optimizing the cleaning will be reported in the annual report. The Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) for catch basin cleaning are included in **Appendix D**.

3.7.1.6 BMP 6F Street Sweeping Program

The Town will establish and implement procedures for sweeping and/or cleaning streets and Town owned parking lots. To comply with these requirements, the DPW Superintendent will establish procedures for parking lots, and evaluate the current street sweeping procedures to include the elements detailed in Section 2.3.7.a.iii.3 of the MS4 GP.

The DPW currently sweeps the downtown area biweekly or monthly depending on visual inspections and traffic. Environmentally sensitive areas are swept two to three times annually. Transfer stations are swept every one to two weeks as needed. Records of sweeping volumes are currently kept and reported in annual reports. The DPW Superintendent will document its current procedures as well as develop any additional necessary street and parking lot procedures by the end of PY1.

This BMP is ongoing, and street and parking lot sweeping progress will be reported in the annual report. The SOP for street and parking lost sweeping are included in **Appendix D**.

3.7.1.7 BMP 6G Road Salt Optimization Program

The Town will establish and implement procedures for winter road maintenance. To comply with this requirement, the DPW Superintendent will evaluate their current storage and usage of salts and sands and determine where DPW can:

- Minimize the use of sodium chloride and other salts;
- Evaluate opportunities for use of alternative materials; and
- Ensure that snow disposal activities do not result in disposal of snow into receiving waters.

The DPW currently applies sand in the Accord Pond watershed for water supply protection, calibrates salt equipment, and tracks salt/Ice-Ban purchases to evaluate the salt use and reduction effectiveness. Carson Field parking has a water quality treatment structure to capture runoff and snowmelt and was designated a snow storage area. Salt is stored indoors undercover at the DPW Stockyard. The DPW Superintendent will document its current procedures as well as develop any additional necessary practices for winter road maintenance by the end of PY1.

This BMP is ongoing, and progress made towards optimizing the winter road maintenance procedures will be reported in the annual report. The SOP for winter road maintenance is included in **Appendix D**.

3.7.1.8 BMP 6H Stormwater Treatment Structures Inspections

The Town will establish and implement inspection and maintenance frequencies and procedures for Town owned stormwater treatment structures. To comply with this requirement, the DPW Superintendent will develop procedures and conduct inspections of these treatment structures at a minimum frequency of once per year by the end of PY1. These inspections will be tracked in a database and inspections forms will be kept. Information from these inspections, including implementation of required maintenance, will be included in the annual report.

This BMP is ongoing, and progress made during the permit year will be reported in the annual report. The SOP for stormwater treatment structure inspections is included in **Appendix D**.

4.0 Program Evaluation, Record Keeping, and Reporting

4.1 Program Evaluation

The Town will annually self-evaluate its compliance with the terms and conditions of the 2016 MS4 GP and document this in the yearly SWMP update. The evaluation includes the appropriateness of the selected BMPs in achieving the objectives of each control measure and the defined measurable goals. Where a BMP is found to be ineffective the Town will change BMPs. Changes to BMPs will be documented in the SWMP and reported in the Annual Report. Changes to the SWMP will be included in an amendment log and summary of modifications as provided in **Appendix A**.

4.2 Record Keeping

The Town must keep hardcopy or electronic copies of records required by the 2016 MS4 GP for at least five years. Records relating to the 2016 MS4 GP, including the SWMP, will be made available to the public to be viewed during normal business hours or via the Town website. These records may include the following documents, but is not limited to this list:

- Dry and wet weather monitoring results, copies of reports, records of screening, follow-up and elimination of illicit discharges;
- Maintenance records;
- Inspection records; and
- Data used in the development of the MS4 Notice of Intent, SWMP, SWPPP, and annual reports.

4.3 Annual Reports

The DPW Superintendent will submit an annual report at the end of each permit year to the EPA and MassDEP. The reporting term will be a period of one year starting on July 1, 2018 and following anniversaries, except for the first annual report which will be reporting activities from May 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019. The annual report is due 90 days from the last day of the reporting period.

The annual report will include:

- A self-assessment review of compliance with the permit terms and conditions
- An assessment of the appropriateness of the selected BMPs
- The status of any plans or activities required by Section 2.1 and/ or Section 2.2 of the 2016 MS4 GP, including:
  - Identification of all discharges determined to be causing or contributing to an exceedance of water quality standards and description of response including all items required by Section 2.1.1; and
  - For discharges to water quality limited waters a description of each BMP required by Appendix H and any deliverables required by Appendix H.
- An assessment of the progress towards achieving the measurable goals and objectives of each control measure in Section 2.3 of the 2016 MS4 GP including:
  - Evaluation of the public education program including a description of the targeted messages for each audience; method of distribution and dates of distribution; survey results and any changes to the program

- Description of the activities used to promote public participation including documentation of compliance with state public notice regulations
- Description of the activities related to implementation of the IDDE program including:
  - Status of the system map;
  - Status and results of the illicit discharge potential ranking and assessment;
  - Identification of problem catchments;
  - Status of protocols described in IDDE program;
  - Number and identifier of catchments evaluated;
  - Number and identifier of outfalls screened;
  - Number of illicit discharges located, removed, and gallons of flow removed;
  - Identification of tracking indicators and measures of progress based on those indicators; and
  - Employee training.
- Evaluation of the construction runoff management including number of project plans reviewed; number of inspections; and number of enforcement actions
- Evaluation of stormwater management for new development and redevelopment including status of ordinance development (BMP 5E) review and status of the street design assessment (BMP 5D), assessments to barriers to green infrastructure (BMP 5C) and retrofit inventory status (BMP 5B).
- Status of the O&M Programs developed in BMP 6A
- Status of SWPPP development in BMP 6D including inspection results
- Any additional reporting requirements in Section 3.0 (additional requirements for dischargers to surface drinking waters and their tributaries) if it is determined that the MS4 discharges to these waters

- All outfall screening and monitoring data collected by or on behalf of the Town during the reporting period and cumulative for the permit term, including but not limited to all data collected pursuant to the IDDE program plan implementation. The Town will also provide a description of any additional monitoring data received by the Town during the reporting period
- Description of activities for the next reporting cycle
- Description of any changes in identified BMPs or measurable goals
- Description of activities undertaken by any entity contracted for achieving any measurable goal or implementing any control measure

5.0 Certification

Any person signing the SWMP and other documents required under the terms of the 2016 MS4 GP must include the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Printed Name _____ Date _____

Signature _____

Appendix A - 2016 General Permit with Appendices

Minor Permit Modification Summary

The following permit has been modified in accordance with 40 CFR §122.63:

Permit Name: GENERAL PERMITS FOR STORMWATER DISCHARGES FROM SMALL MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS IN MASSACHUSETTS

Issue date: April 4, 2016

Effective Date: July 1, 2018

The following minor modifications were made on November 7, 2018**:**

Page	Modification
2	Table of Contents was updated to reflect the changes below
3	Table of Contents was updated to reflect the changes below
5	Line was added before first bullet point for consistency
6	Line was removed between parts for consistency
8	Lines were added and removed between parts for consistency
8	Typos were fixed
11	Extra word was removed
11	Extra spaces were removed between words for consistency
12	Extra spaces were removed between words for consistency
12	Extra words were removed
12	Text was moved to a bullet point in the last paragraph of part 1.10.2 instead of as part of the 1.10.3 title for consistency
12	Duplicate words and symbols were deleted
13	Bullets were moved to the correct subsection, consistent with other relevant sections of the permit
14	Typos were fixed
15	Extra spaces were removed between words for consistency
16	Extra spaces were removed between words for consistency
27	Extra spaces were removed between words for consistency
27	Duplicate character was removed
29	Typo was fixed
30	Duplicate character was removed
32	Lines were added before bullet points for consistency
33	Lines were added and removed between paragraphs for consistency
34	Line was added before bullet points for consistency
34	Typo was fixed
34	Duplicate spaces were removed
35	Typo was fixed
35	Line was added before bullet points for consistency
36	Lines were added before bullet points and in between parts for consistency
37	Lines were added before bullet points and in between parts for consistency
38	Line was added in between parts for consistency
38	Typos were fixed

39	Line was added in between paragraphs for consistency
39	Typos were fixed
41	Lines were added before bullets for consistency
42	Typos were fixed
43	Typo was fixed
44	Line was added for consistency
46	Typo was fixed
50	Typo was fixed
51	Typo was fixed
54	Line was added for consistency
55	Line was added for consistency
56	Typo was fixed
56	Line was added for consistency
57	Lines were added and removed for consistency

United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)

GENERAL PERMITS FOR STORMWATER DISCHARGES FROM SMALL MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS IN MASSACHUSETTS

AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM

In compliance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act (CWA), as amended (33 U.S.C. §1251 *et seq.*), and the Massachusetts Clean Waters Act, as amended (M.G.L. Chap.21 §§ 26-53), any operator of a small municipal separate storm sewer system whose system:

- Is located in the areas described in part 1.1;
- Is eligible for coverage under part 1.2 and part 1.9; and
- Submits a complete and accurate Notice of Intent in accordance with part 1.7 of this permit and EPA issues a written authorization

is authorized to discharge in accordance with the conditions and the requirements set forth herein.

The following appendices are also included as part of these permits:
Appendix A – Definitions, Abbreviations, and Acronyms;
Appendix B – Standard permit conditions applicable to all authorized discharges;
Appendix C – Endangered Species Act Eligibility Guidance;
Appendix D – National Historic Preservation Act Eligibility Guidance;
Appendix E – Information required for the Notice of Intent (NOI);
Appendix F – Requirements for MA Small MS4s Subject to Approved TMDLs;
Appendix G – Impaired Waters Monitoring Parameter Requirements;
Appendix H – Requirements related to discharges to certain water quality limited waterbodies;

These permits become effective on **July 1, 2017**.

These permits and the authorization to discharge expire at midnight, **June 30, 2022**.

Signed this 4th day of April, 2016

Ken Moraff, Director
Office of Ecosystem Protection
United States Environmental Protection Agency
5 Post Office Square – Suite 100
Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912

Signed this 4th day of April 2016

Douglas E. Fine
Assistant Commissioner for Water Resources
Department of Environmental Protection
One Winter Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02108

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0. INTRODUCTION 4
1.1. AREAS OF COVERAGE 4
1.2. ELIGIBILITY 4
1.2.1. Small MS4s Covered 4
1.3. LIMITATIONS ON COVERAGE 5
1.4. NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGES 6
1.5. PERMIT COMPLIANCE 6
1.6. CONTINUATION OF THIS PERMIT 6
1.7. OBTAINING AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE 7
1.7.1. How to Obtain Authorization to Discharge 7
1.7.2. Notice of Intent 7
1.7.3. Submission of Notice of Intent 7
1.7.4. Public Notice of NOI and Effective Date of Coverage 8
1.8. INDIVIDUAL PERMITS AND ALTERNATIVE GENERAL PERMITS 8
1.9. SPECIAL ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATIONS 9
1.9.1. Documentation Regarding Endangered Species 9
1.9.2. Documentation Regarding Historic Properties 9
1.10. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) 10
1.10.1. Stormwater Management Program Availability 10
1.10.2. Contents and Timelines of the Stormwater Management Program for 2003 permittees 10
1.10.3. Contents and Timelines of the Stormwater Management Program for New Permittees 12

2.0. NON-NUMERIC EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS 14
2.1. WATER QUALITY BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS 14
2.1.1. Requirement to Meet Water Quality Standards 14
2.1.2. Increased Discharges 15
2.2. DISCHARGES TO CERTAIN IMPAIRED WATERS 16
2.2.1. Discharges Subject to Requirements Related to an Approved TMDL 16
2.2.2. Discharges to Certain Water Quality Limited Waters Subject to Additional Requirements 22
2.3. REQUIREMENTS TO REDUCE POLLUTANTS TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PRACTICABLE (MEP) 27
2.3.1. Control Measures 27
2.3.2. Public Education and Outreach 27
2.3.3. Public Involvement and Participation 29
2.3.4. Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Program 30
2.3.5. Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control 42
2.3.6. Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment (Post Construction Stormwater Management) 43
2.3.7. Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations 47

3.0. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR DISCHARGES TO SURFACE DRINKING WATER SUPPLIES AND THEIR TRIBUTARIES 54

4.0. PROGRAM EVALUATION, RECORD KEEPING, AND REPORTING ... 54
4.1. PROGRAM EVALUATION 54
4.2. RECORD KEEPING 55
4.3. OUTFALL MONITORING REPORTING 55
4.4. ANNUAL REPORTS 56

5.0. NON-TRADITIONAL MS4S 58

5.1. REQUIREMENTS FOR NON-TRADITIONAL MS4S 58
5.1.1. Public education 58
5.1.2. Ordinances and regulatory mechanisms 58
5.1.3. Assessment of Regulations 58
5.1.4. New Dischargers 58

6.0 REQUIREMENTS FOR MS4S OWNED OR OPERATED BY TRANSPORTATION AGENCIES 59
6.1 PUBLIC EDUCATION 59
6.2 ORDINANCES AND REGULATORY MECHANISMS 59
6.3 ASSESSMENT OF REGULATIONS 59
6.4 NEW DISCHARGERS 59

1.0. Introduction

This document consists of three (3) general permits listed in part 1.1. Each general permit is applicable to a particular type of municipal system within Massachusetts. Many of the permit terms and conditions are applicable across all regulated entities, and therefore are presented just once in parts 1-2, part 4, and Appendices A through E. Other conditions are applicable to a particular set of authorized entities; these terms and conditions are included in parts 3, and 5 and Appendices F through H. Throughout the permit, the terms "this permit" or "the permit" will refer to the three general permits.

1.1. Areas of Coverage

This permit covers small municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s) located in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts:

- Traditional Cities and Towns (NPDES Permit No. MAR041000)
- State, federal, county and other publicly owned properties (Non-traditional) (MAR042000)
- State transportation agencies (except for MassDOT- Highway Division) (MAR043000)

1.2. Eligibility

The MS4 shall meet the eligibility provisions described in part 1.2.1 and part 1.9 to be eligible for authorization under this permit.

1.2.1. Small MS4s Covered

This permit authorizes the discharge of stormwater from small MS4s as defined at 40 CFR § 122.26(b) (16). This includes MS4s described in 40 CFR §122.32(a) (1) and (a) (2). An MS4 is eligible for coverage under this permit if it is:

- A small MS4 within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts;
- Not a large or medium MS4 as defined in 40 CFR §§122.26(b)(4) or (7);
- Located either fully or partially within an urbanized area as determined by the latest Decennial Census by the Bureau of Census as of the effective date of this permit (the 2010 Census); or
- Located in a geographic area designated by EPA as requiring a permit.

If the small MS4 is not located entirely within an urbanized area, only the portion of the MS4 that is located within the urbanized area is regulated under 40 CFR §122.32(a) (1).

A small municipal separate storm sewer system means all separate storm sewers that are:

- Owned or operated by the United States, a state, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to state law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, stormwater, or other wastes, including special districts under state law such as a sewer district, flood control district or drainage district, or similar entity, or an Indian tribe or an authorized Indian tribal organization, or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to waters of the United States.
- Not defined as large or medium municipal separate storm sewer systems pursuant to 40 CFR § 122.26(b) (4) and (b) (7) or designated under 40 CFR § 122.26(a) (1) (v).
- This term includes systems similar to separate storm sewer systems in municipalities such as systems at military bases, large hospitals or prison complexes, and highways

and other thoroughfares. The term does not include separate storm sewers in very discrete areas, such as individual buildings.

1.3. Limitations on Coverage

This permit does not authorize the following:

a. Stormwater discharges mixed with sources of non-stormwater unless such non-stormwater discharges are:

- Authorized under a separate NPDES permit; or
- A non-stormwater discharge as listed in part 1.4.

b. Stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity as defined in 40 CFR §122.26 (b) (14) (i)-(ix) and (xi).

c. Stormwater discharges associated with construction activity as defined in 40 CFR §122.26(b) (14) (x) or (b) (15).

d. Stormwater discharges currently authorized under another NPDES permit, including discharges covered under other regionally issued general permits.

e. Stormwater discharges or discharge related activities that are likely to adversely affect any species that are listed as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) or result in the adverse modification or destruction of habitat that is designated as critical under the ESA. The permittee shall follow the procedures detailed in Appendix C to make a determination regarding eligibility. The permittee shall certify compliance with this provision on the submitted NOI.

f. Stormwater discharges whose direct or indirect impacts do not prevent or minimize adverse effects on any Essential Fish Habitat.

g. Stormwater discharges, or implementation of a stormwater management program, which adversely affects properties listed or eligible to be listed on the National Register of Historic Places. The permittee shall follow the procedures detailed in Appendix D to make a determination regarding eligibility. The permittee shall certify compliance with this provision on the submitted NOI.

h. Stormwater discharges prohibited under 40 CFR § 122.4.

i. Stormwater discharges to the subsurface subject to state Underground Injection Control (UIC) regulations. Although the permit includes provisions related to infiltration and groundwater recharge, structural controls that dispose of stormwater into the ground may be subject to UIC regulation requirements. Authorization for such discharges shall be obtained from Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Resource Protection, Drinking Water Program, Underground Injection Control, One Winter Street, Boston, MA 02108 – phone 617-292-5859.

j. Any non-traditional MS4 facility that is a "new discharger" as defined in part 5.1.4. and discharges to a waterbody listed in category 5 or 4b on the Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b) due to nutrients (Total Nitrogen or (Total Phosphorus), metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc), solids (TSS or Turbidity), bacteria/pathogens (E. Coli, Enteroccus or Fecal Coliform), chloride (Chloride) or oil and grease

(Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease), or discharges to a waterbody with an approved TMDL for any of those pollutants.

1.4. Non-Stormwater Discharges

The following categories of non-stormwater discharges are allowed under this permit *unless* the permittee, EPA, or the MassDEP identifies any category or individual discharge of non-stormwater discharge in part 1.4.a-r as a significant contributor of pollutants to the MS4, then that category or individual discharge is not allowed under part 1.4, but rather shall be deemed an "illicit discharge" under part 2.3.4.1, and the permittee shall address that category or individual discharge as part of the Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Program described in part 2.3.4 of this permit.

a. Water line flushing
b. Landscape irrigation
c. Diverted stream flows
d. Rising ground water
e. Uncontaminated ground water infiltration (as defined at 40 CFR § 35.2005(20))
f. Uncontaminated pumped ground water
g. Discharge from potable water sources
h. Foundation drains
i. Air conditioning condensation
j. Irrigation water, springs
k. Water from crawl space pumps
l. Footing drains
m. Lawn watering
n. Individual resident car washing
o. Flows from riparian habitats and wetlands
p. De-chlorinated swimming pool discharges
q. Street wash waters
r. Residential building wash waters without detergents

Discharges or flows from firefighting activities are allowed under this permit need only be addressed where they are identified as significant sources of pollutants to waters of the United States.

1.5. Permit Compliance

Non-compliance with any of the requirements of this permit constitutes a violation of the permit and the CWA and may be grounds for an enforcement action and may result in the imposition of injunctive relief and/or penalties.

1.6. Continuation of this Permit

If this permit is not reissued prior to the expiration date, it will be administratively continued in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act and remain in force and effect for discharges that were authorized prior to expiration. If a small MS4 was granted permit authorization prior to the expiration date of this permit, it will automatically remain authorized by this permit until the earliest of:

- Authorization under a reissued general permit following timely and appropriate submittal of a complete and accurate NOI requesting authorization to discharge under the reissued permit; or
- Issuance or denial of an individual permit for the MS4's discharges; or

- Authorization or denial under an alternative general permit.

If the MS4 operator does not submit a timely, appropriate, complete, and accurate NOI requesting authorization to discharge under the reissued permit or a timely request for authorization under an individual or alternative general permit, authorization under this permit will terminate on the due date for the NOI under the reissued permit unless otherwise specified in the reissued permit.

1.7. Obtaining Authorization to Discharge

1.7.1. How to Obtain Authorization to Discharge

To obtain authorization under this permit, a small MS4 shall:

- Be located in the areas listed in part 1.1 of this permit;
- Meet the eligibility requirements in part 1.2 and part 1.9;
- Submit a complete and accurate Notice of Intent (NOI) in accordance with the requirements of part 1.7.2; and
- EPA issues a written authorization.

1.7.2. Notice of Intent

a. Operators of Small MS4s seeking authorization to discharge under the terms and conditions of this permit shall submit a Notice of Intent that contains the information identified in Appendix E. This includes operators of small MS4s that were previously authorized under the May 1, 2003 small MS4 general permit (MS4-2003 permit).

b. The NOI shall be signed by an appropriate official (see Appendix B, Subparagraph B.11, Standard Conditions).

c. The NOI shall contain the following certification: I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, I certify that the information submitted is, to best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Print the name and title of the official, followed by signature and date.

d. The NOI shall be submitted within 90 days of the effective date of the permit. If EPA notifies an MS4 that it is designated under 40 CFR § 122.32(a) (2) or (b), the NOI shall be submitted within 180 days of receipt of notice unless granted a longer period of time by EPA.

1.7.3. Submission of Notice of Intent

a. All small MS4s shall submit a complete and accurate Notice of Intent (suggested form in Appendix E) to EPA-Region 1 at the following address:

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Stormwater and Construction Permits Section (OEP06-1)
Five Post Office Square, Suite 100

Boston, MA 02109

Or submitted electronically to EPA at the following email address: stormwater.reports@epa.gov

b. All small MS4s shall also submit a copy of the NOI to the MassDEP at the following address:

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
One Winter Street -5th Floor
Boston, Massachusetts 02108
ATTN: Frederick Civian, Stormwater Coordinator

c. Late notification: A small MS4 is not prohibited from submitting a NOI after the dates provided in part 1.7.2.d. However, if a late NOI is submitted, authorization is only for discharges that occur after permit authorization is granted. EPA and MassDEP reserve the right to take enforcement actions for any unpermitted discharges. All NOIs submitted after December 21, 2020 must be submitted electronically.

1.7.4. Public Notice of NOI and Effective Date of Coverage

a. EPA will provide a public notice and opportunity for comment on the contents of the submitted NOIs. The public comment period will be a minimum of 30 calendar days.

b. Based on a review of a small MS4's NOI or other information, EPA may grant authorization, extend the public comment period, or deny authorization under this permit and require submission of an application for an individual or alternative NPDES permit. (See part 1.8) A small MS4 will be authorized to discharge under the terms and conditions of this permit upon receipt of notice of authorization from EPA.

c. Permittees whose authorization to discharge under the MS4-2003 permit, which expired on May 1, 2008, has been administratively continued in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act 5 U.S.C. § 558(c) and 40 CFR § 122.6, who wish to obtain coverage under this permit, must submit a new NOI requesting permit coverage in accordance with the requirements of part 1.7 of this permit to EPA within 90 days after the effective date of this permit. Permittees whose authorization to discharge under the expired MS4-2003 permit was administratively continued, who fail to submit a timely, complete and accurate NOI or an application for an individual NPDES permit within 90 days after the effective date of this permit will be considered to be discharging without a permit (see 40 CFR § 122.28(b)(3)(iii)).

1.8. Individual Permits and Alternative General Permits

a. EPA may require a small MS4 to apply for and obtain authorization under either an individual NPDES permit or an alternative NPDES general permit. Any interested person may petition EPA in accordance with the provisions of 40 CFR § 122.26(f) to require a small MS4 to apply for and/or obtain authorization under either an individual NPDES permit or an alternative NPDES general permit. If EPA requires a small MS4 to apply for an individual or alternative NPDES permit, EPA will notify the small MS4 in writing that a permit application is required. This notification will include a brief statement of the reasons for this decision and will provide application information and an application deadline. If a small MS4 is authorized under the MS4-2003 permit or this permit and fails to submit an individual NPDES or an alternative general permit NPDES permit application as required by EPA, then the authorization under the MS4-2003 permit or this permit to the small MS4 is automatically terminated at the end of the date specified by EPA as the deadline

for application submittal. EPA reserves the right to take enforcement action for any unpermitted discharge.

b. A small MS4 may request to be excluded from this general permit by applying for an individual permit or authorization under an alternative general permit. In such a case, a small MS4 shall submit an individual permit application in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR § 122.33(b) (2) (i) or § 122.33(b) (2) (ii), with reasons supporting the request, to EPA at the address listed in part 1.7.3 of this permit. The request may be granted by issuance of an individual permit or authorization under an alternative general permit if EPA determines that the reasons stated by the small MS4 are adequate to support the request. (See 40 CFR § 122.28(b) (3)).

c. When an individual NPDES permit is issued, or a small MS4 is authorized to discharge under an alternative NPDES general permit, authorization under this permit automatically terminates on the effective date of the individual permit or the date of authorization of coverage under the alternative general permit.

1.9. Special Eligibility Determinations

1.9.1. Documentation Regarding Endangered Species

The small MS4 shall certify eligibility regarding endangered species in the NOI required by part 1.7.2. The Stormwater Management Program (SWMP) shall include documentation supporting the permittee's eligibility determination with regard to federal Endangered and Threatened Species and Critical Habitat Protection, including:

- Results of the Appendix C U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service endangered species screening determination; and
- If applicable, a description of the measures the small MS4 shall implement to protect federally listed endangered or threatened species, or critical habitat, including any conditions imposed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. If a permittee fails to document and implement such measures, the permittee's discharges are ineligible for coverage under this permit.

1.9.2. Documentation Regarding Historic Properties

The small MS4 shall certify eligibility regarding historic properties on the NOI required by part 1.7.2. The SWMP shall include documentation supporting the small MS4's eligibility determination with regard to Historic Properties Preservation, including:

- Information on whether the permittee's stormwater discharges, allowable non-stormwater discharges, or stormwater discharge-related activities would have an effect on a property that is listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Properties (NRHP);
- Where such effects may occur, any documents received by the permittee or any written agreements the permittee has made with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO), or other Tribal representative to mitigate those effects;
- Results of the Appendix D historic property screening investigations; and
- If applicable, a description of the measures the permittee shall implement to avoid or minimize adverse impacts on places listed, or eligible for listing, on the NRHP, including any conditions imposed by the SHPO or THPO. If the permittee fails to

document and implement such measures, those discharges are ineligible for coverage under this permit.

1.10. Stormwater Management Program (SWMP)

a. The permittee shall develop and implement a written (hardcopy or electronic) SWMP. The SWMP shall be signed in accordance with Appendix B, Subsection 11, including the date of signature. A signature and date is required for initial program preparation and for any significant revision to the program, which shall be in writing. The written SWMP shall be completed within one (1) year of the effective date of the permit.

The SWMP is the document used by the permittee to describe and detail the activities and measures that will be implemented to meet the terms and conditions of the permit. The SWMP shall accurately describe the permittees plans and activities. The document should be updated and/or modified during the permit term as the permittee's activities are modified, changed or updated to meet permit conditions during the permit term.

b. Permittees authorized by the MS4-2003 permit shall modify or update their existing Best Management Practices (BMPs) and measurable goals to meet the terms and conditions of part 2.3 of this permit within one (1) year of the effective date of the permit. These modifications and updates shall be reflected in the written (hardcopy or electronic) SWMP. Permittees authorized by the MS4-2003 permit shall continue to implement their existing SWMP until the program has been updated.

1.10.1. Stormwater Management Program Availability

a. The permittee shall retain a copy of the current SWMP required by this permit at the office or facility of the person listed as the program contact on the submitted Notice of Intent (NOI). The SWMP shall be immediately available to representatives from EPA, MassDEP, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) at the time of an onsite inspection or upon request.

b. The permittee shall make the SWMP available to the public during normal business hours. The permittee shall also post the SWMP online[1] if the permittee has a website on which to post the SWMP.

1.10.2. Contents and Timelines of the Stormwater Management Program for 2003 permittees

The following information must be included in the SWMP within one (1) year of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Identification of names and titles of people responsible for program implementation. If a position is currently unfilled, list the title of the position and modify the SWMP with the name once the position is filled;
- Documentation of compliance with part 1.9.1;
- Documentation of compliance with part 1.9.2;

[1] Should a permittee not wish to post mapping information included in the SWMP (see part 1.10.2) on their website for public safety reasons, they must state the reason either with or within the online SWMP and provide how the MS4 mapping information can be obtained. The permittee must retain the entire SWMP, including all completed mapping, at a location where it can be made available to the public during normal business hours.

- Documentation of authorization of all new or increased discharges granted by MassDEP in compliance with part 2.1.2;
- Listing of all discharges identified pursuant to part 2.1.1 and description of response;
- Description of practices to achieve compliance with part 2.3 (MEP requirements) identified in the permittee's NOI and any updates to those BMPs within the first year;
  For each permit condition in part 2.3 identify:
  - The person(s) or department responsible for the measure;
  - The BMPs for the control measure or permit requirement;
  - The measurable goal(s) for each BMP. Each measurable goal shall include milestones and timeframes for its implementation and have a quantity or quality associated with its endpoint. Each goal shall have a measure of assessment associated with it;
- Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) inventory including all of the information required in part 2.3.4.4.b;
- Written IDDE Program pursuant to part 2.3.4.6;
- Written procedures for site inspections and enforcement of sediment and erosion control procedures in accordance with part 2.3.5;
- Description of measures to avoid or minimize impacts to surface public drinking water supply sources. The permittee is also encouraged to include provisions to notify public water supplies in the event of an emergency. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Resource Protection, Drinking Water Program, One Winter Street, Boston, MA 02108 – phone 617.292.5770.
- Description of activities to achieve compliance with part 3.0;
- Annual program evaluation (part 4.1). Update annually and maintain copies.

The following information must be included in the SWMP within two (2) years of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Listing of all receiving waterbody segments, their classification under the applicable state water quality standards, any impairment(s) and associated pollutant(s) of concern, applicable TMDLs and WLAs, and number of outfalls from the MS4 that discharge to each waterbody. In addition to the receiving water, the permittee shall document in the SWMP all surface public drinking water sources that may be impacted by MS4 discharges;
- Listing of all interconnected MS4s and other separate storm sewer systems receiving a discharge from the permitted MS4, the receiving waterbody segment(s) ultimately receiving the discharge, their classification under the applicable state water quality standards, any impairment(s) and associated pollutant(s) of concern, applicable TMDLs and WLAs, and the number of interconnections;
- Written procedures to require submission of as-built drawings and ensure long term operation and maintenance in accordance with part 2.3.6.a.iii;
- The map of the separate storm sewer system required by part 2.3.4.5.

The following information must be included in the SWMP within four (4) years of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Report(s) assessing current street design and parking lot guidelines and other local requirements within the municipality that affect the creation of impervious cover.

The following information must be included in the SWMP concurrent with the applicable

deadlines in Appendix F and H and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Description of practices to achieve compliance with part 2.2.1 (TMDL requirements) including:
  - The person(s) or department responsible for the measure;
  - The BMPs for the control measure or permit requirement;
  - The measurable goal(s) for each BMP. Each measurable goal shall include milestones and timeframes for its implementation and have a quantity or quality associated with its endpoint. Each goal must have an associated measure of assessment.
- Description of practices to achieve compliance with part 2.2.2 (discharges to certain water quality limited waters subject to additional requirements) including:
  - The person(s) or department responsible for the measure;
  - The BMPs for the control measure or permit requirement;
  - The measurable goal(s) for each BMP. Each measurable goal shall include milestones and timeframes for its implementation and have a quantity or quality associated with its endpoint. Each goal must have an associated measure of assessment;
- Description of any other practices to achieve compliance with part 2.1 (water quality based requirements)

1.10.3. Contents and Timelines of the Stormwater Management Program for New Permittees

a. Permittees seeking authorization for the first time shall meet all deadlines contained in this permit except the following:

- Timelines for public education requirements in part 2.3.2.c shall be extended by one (1) year and need to include one (1) message to each audience over the permit term;
- The ordinances, by-laws, or other regulatory mechanisms required by parts 2.3.4, 2.3.5 and 2.3.6 shall be completed as soon as possible, but no later than three (3) years from the permit effective date; and
- All other deadlines in part 2.3.4 shall be extended by three (3) years.
- All other deadlines in part 2.3.5, 2.3.6 and 2.3.7 shall be extended by two (2) years.
- All deadlines for discharges to water quality limited waters without a TMDL under part 2.2.2 shall be extended by two (2) years.

b. Contents of the Stormwater Management Program for New Permittees

The following information must be included in the SWMP within one (1) year of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Identification of names and titles of people responsible for program implementation. If a position is currently unfilled, list the title of the position and modify the SWMP with the name once the position is filled;
- Documentation of compliance with part 1.9.1;
- Documentation of compliance with part 1.9.2;
- Documentation of authorization of all new or increased discharges granted by MassDEP in compliance with part 2.1.2;
- Listing of all discharges identified pursuant to part 2.1.1 and description of response;
- Description of practices to achieve compliance with part 2.3 (MEP requirements) identified in the permittee's NOI and any updates to those BMPs within the first year;

For each permit condition in part 2.3 identify:
- The person(s) or department responsible for the measure;
- The BMPs for the control measure or permit requirement;
- The measurable goal(s) for each BMP. Each measurable goal shall include milestones and timeframes for its implementation and have a quantity or quality associated with its endpoint. Each goal shall have a measure of assessment associated with it;

- Description of measures to avoid or minimize impacts to surface public drinking water supply sources. The permittee is also encouraged to include provisions to notify public water supplies in the event of an emergency. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Resource Protection, Drinking Water Program, One Winter Street, Boston, MA 02108 – phone 617.292.5770. Description of activities to achieve compliance with part 3.0;
- Annual program evaluation (part 4.1). Update annually and maintain copies.

The following information must be included in the SWMP within three (3) years of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Written procedures for site inspections and enforcement of sediment and erosion control procedures in accordance with part 2.3.5;

The following information must be included in the SWMP within four (4) years of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Outfall and interconnection inventory;
- Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) inventory including all of the information required in part 2.3.4.4.b;
- Written IDDE Program pursuant to part 2.3.4.6.
- Written operation and maintenance procedures for municipal activities in part 2.3.7.a.ii;
- Written program detailing the activities and procedures the permittee will implement so that the MS4 infrastructure is maintained in a timely manner to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 in accordance with part 2.3.7.a.iii.1;
- Written procedures to require submission of as-built drawings and ensure long term operation and maintenance in accordance with part 2.3.6.a.iii;

The following information must be included in the SWMP within five (5) years of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Phase 1 of the map of the separate storm sewer system required by part 2.3.4.5;
- Listing of all receiving waterbody segments, their classification under the applicable state water quality standards, any impairment(s) and associated pollutant(s) of concern, applicable TMDLs and WLAs, and number of outfalls from the MS4 that discharge to each waterbody. In addition to the receiving water, the permittee shall document in the SWMP all surface public drinking water sources that may be impacted by MS4 discharges;
- Listing of all interconnected MS4s and other separate storm sewer systems receiving a discharge from the permitted MS4, the receiving waterbody segment(s) ultimately receiving the discharge, their classification under the applicable state water quality standards, any impairment(s) and associated pollutant(s) of concern, applicable TMDLs and WLAs, and the number of interconnections;

The following information must be included in the SWMP within six (6) years of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Report(s) assessing current street design and parking lot guidelines and other local requirements within the municipality that affect the creation of impervious cover.

The following information must be included in the SWMP concurrent with the applicable deadlines in Appendix F and H (extended by two (2) years) and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Description of practices to achieve compliance with part 2.2.1 (discharges subject to requirements related to approved TMDLs)including:
  - The person(s) or department responsible for the measure;
  - The BMPs for the control measure or permit requirement;
  - The measurable goal(s) for each BMP. Each measurable goal shall include milestones and timeframes for its implementation and have a quantity or quality associated with its endpoint. Each goal must have an associated measure of assessment.
- Description of practices to achieve compliance with part 2.2.2 (discharges to certain water quality limited waters subject to additional requirements) including:
  - The person(s) or department responsible for the measure;
  - The BMPs for the control measure or permit requirement;
  - The measurable goal(s) for each BMP. Each measurable goal shall include milestones and timeframes for its implementation and have a quantity or quality associated with its endpoint. Each goal must have an associated measure of assessment;
- Description of any other practices to achieve compliance with part 2.1 (water quality based requirements).

2.0. Non-Numeric Effluent Limitations

The permittee shall develop, implement, and enforce a program to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 to the maximum extent practicable; to protect water quality and to satisfy the appropriate water quality requirements of the Clean Water Act and the Massachusetts Water Quality Standards.

2.1. Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations

Pursuant to Clean Water Act 402(p)(3)(B)(iii), this permit includes provisions to ensure that discharges from the permittee's small MS4 do not cause or contribute to an exceedance of water quality standards, in addition to requirements to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable. The requirements found in this part and part 2.2 constitute appropriate water quality based effluent limits of this permit. Requirements to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable are set forth in part 2.3.

2.1.1. Requirement to Meet Water Quality Standards

a. The permittee shall reduce the discharge of pollutants such that the discharges from the MS4 do not cause or contribute to an exceedance of water quality standards.

b. If there is a discharge from the MS4 to a waterbody (or its tributaries in some cases) that is subject to an approved TMDL identified in part 2.2.1, the permittee is subject to the requirements of part 2.2.1 and Appendix F of this permit and the permittee shall comply with all applicable schedules and requirements in Appendix F. A permittee's compliance with all applicable requirements and BMP implementation schedules in Appendix F applicable to it will constitute compliance with part 2.1.1.a. of the Permit.

c. If there is a discharge from the MS4 to a waterbody (or its tributaries in some cases) that is water quality limited (see definition in Appendix A) due to nutrients (Total Nitrogen or Total Phosphorus), metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc), solids (TSS or Turbidity), bacteria/pathogens (E. Coli, Enterococcus or Fecal Coliform), chloride (Chloride) or oil and grease (Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease) and is not subject to an approved TMDL, or the MS4 is located within a municipality listed in part 2.2.2.a.-b., the permittee is subject to the requirements of part 2.2.2 and Appendix H of this permit and the permittee shall comply with all applicable schedules and requirements in Appendix H. A permittee's compliance with all applicable requirements and BMP implementation schedules in Appendix H applicable to it will constitute compliance with part 2.1.1.a. of the Permit.

d. Except where a pollutant of concern in a discharge is subject to the requirements of part 2.2.1 and/or part 2.2.2 of this permit or is the result of an illicit discharge and subject to part 2.3.4 of this Permit, if a pollutant in a discharge from the MS4 is causing or contributing to a violation of applicable water quality criteria[2] for the receiving water, the permittee shall, as expeditiously as possible, but no later than 60 days of becoming aware of the situation, reduce or eliminate the pollutant in its discharge such that the discharge meets applicable water quality criteria.

2.1.2. Increased Discharges

a. Any increased discharge, including increased pollutant loading(s) through the MS4 to waters of the United States is subject to Massachusetts antidegradation regulations at 314 CMR 4.04. The permittee shall comply with the provisions of 314 CMR 4.04 including information submittal requirements and obtaining authorization for increased discharges where appropriate[3]. Any authorization of an increased discharge by MassDEP shall be incorporated into the permittee's SWMP. If an applicable MassDEP approval specifies additional conditions or requirements, then those requirements are incorporated into this permit by reference. The permittee must comply with all such requirements.

b. There shall be no increased discharges, including increased pollutant loading(s) from the MS4 to impaired waters listed in categories 5 or 4b on the most recent Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b) unless the permittee demonstrates that there is no net increase in loading from the MS4 to the impaired water of the pollutant(s) for which the waterbody is impaired. The permittee may demonstrate compliance with this provision by *either:*

i. Documenting that the pollutant(s) for which the waterbody is impaired is not present in the MS4's discharge and retaining documentation of this finding with the SWMP; or

[2] Applicable water quality criteria are part of the state standards that have been federally approved as of the effective date of this permit and are compiled by EPA at http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/standards/wqslibrary/
[3] Contact MassDEP for guidance on compliance with 314 CMR 4.04

ii. Documenting that the total load of the pollutant(s) of concern from the MS4 to any impaired portion of the receiving water will not increase as a result of the activity and retaining documentation of this finding in the SWMP. Unless otherwise determined by the Permittee, USEPA or by MassDEP that additional demonstration is necessary, compliance with the requirements of part 2.2.2 and part 2.3.6 of this Permit, including all reporting and documentation requirements, shall be considered as demonstrating no net increase as required by this part.

c. The requirements of this part are independent of permit conditions requiring reduction in discharges of pollutants as set forth in parts 2.1.1 and 2.2 (water quality based requirements) and 2.3 (requirements to reduce discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable). Permittees remain subject to requirements to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 as set forth in those parts.

2.2. Discharges to Certain Impaired Waters

The permittee shall identify in the SWMP and Annual Reports all MS4 discharges, including both outfalls and interconnections to other MS4s or other separate storm sewer systems, that:

- Are subject to Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) related requirements as identified in part 2.2.1.
- Are subject to additional requirements to protect water quality as identified in part 2.2.2.

The discharge location from an interconnection shall be determined based on the receiving water of the outfall from the interconnected system.

2.2.1. Discharges Subject to Requirements Related to an Approved TMDL

a. "Approved TMDLs" are those that have been approved by EPA as of the date of issuance of this permit.

b. The MS4s specified below discharge to waters within Massachusetts that are subject to TMDLs, or in some cases, to tributaries of such waters, and shall comply with the requirements of Appendix F, part A. Appendix F identifies, by section, the provisions the permittee shall implement to be consistent with the terms of the approved TMDL. Alternatively, EPA may notify the permittee that an individual permit application is necessary in accordance with part 1.8.a.

i. The following is a list of municipalities in the Charles River Watershed:

1.

Arlington	Mendon
Ashland	Milford
Bellingham	Millis
Belmont	Natick
Brookline	Needham
Cambridge	Newton
Dedham	Norfolk

Dover	Sherborn
Foxborough	Walpole
Franklin	Waltham
Holliston	Watertown
Hopedale	Wayland
Hopkinton	Wellesley
Lexington	Weston
Lincoln	Westwood
Medfield	Wrentham
Medway	

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s located in municipalities listed above that discharge to the Charles River or its Tributaries shall meet the requirements of Appendix F, part A.I with respect to the reduction of phosphorus discharges from their MS4.

ii. The following is a list of municipalities that contain a lake or pond subject to an approved lake or pond phosphorus TMDL in the Northern Blackstone Basin, Chicopee Basin, Connecticut Basin, French Basin, Millers Basin or in the watershed of Bare Hill Pond, Flint Pond, Indian Lake, Lake Boon, Lake Quinsigamond, Leesville Pond, Salisbury Pond, Quaboag Pond or Quacumquasit Pond.

1.

Auburn	Millbury
Charlton	Oxford
Dudley	Shrewsbury
Gardner	Spencer
Grafton	Springfield
Granby	Stow
Hadley	Templeton
Harvard	Westminster
Hudson	Winchendon
Leicester	Wilbraham
Ludlow	

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s in the above municipalities that discharge to waterbodies listed on Table F-6 in Appendix F or their tributaries, and any other MS4 that discharges to waterbodies listed on Table F-6 in Appendix F or their tributaries, shall meet the requirements of Appendix F, part A.II with respect to reduction of phosphorus discharges from their MS4.

iii. The following is a list of municipalities that contain waters subject to an approved TMDL for bacteria or pathogens.

1.

Abington	Marshfield
Acushnet	Mashpee
Andover	Mattapoisett
Avon	Medfield
Barnstable	Medway
Bedford	Melrose
Bellingham	Mendon
Belmont	Milford
Berkley	Millis
Beverly	Milton
Billerica	Nahant
Bourne	Natick
Brewster	Needham
Bridgewater	New Bedford
Brockton	Newton
Brookline	Norfolk
Burlington	North Andover
Cambridge	Norton
Canton	Norwell
Chatham	Norwood
Cohasset	Orleans
Concord	Peabody
Danvers	Pembroke
Dartmouth	Plymouth
Dedham	Raynham
Dennis	Rehoboth
Dighton	Revere
Dover	Rockland
Duxbury	Rockport
East Bridgewater	Salem
Eastham	Sandwich
Essex	Saugus
Everett	Scituate
Fairhaven	Seekonk
Fall River	Sharon
Falmouth	Sherborn
Foxborough	Somerset
Franklin	Stoughton

Freetown	Swampscott
Gloucester	Swansea
Hanover	Taunton
Hanson	Tewksbury
Harwich	Wakefield
Holliston	Walpole
Hopedale	Waltham
Hopkinton	Wareham
Ipswich	Watertown
Kingston	Wellesley
Lawrence	Wellfleet
Lexington	West Bridgewater
Lincoln	Weston
Lynn	Westport
Lynnfield	Westwood
Malden	Whitman
Manchester	Wilmington
Mansfield	Winthrop
Marblehead	Yarmouth
Marion	

The operators of MS4s located in municipalities listed above that discharge to a waterbody segment listed on Table F-8 in Appendix F and any other MS4 that discharges directly to a waterbody segment listed on Table F-8 in Appendix F shall meet the requirements of Appendix F, part A.III with respect to reduction of bacteria/pathogens discharges from their MS4.

iv. The following is a list of municipalities located on Cape Cod that contain waters subject to an approved TMDL for nitrogen (Total Nitrogen).

1.

Bourne
Barnstable
Chatham
Falmouth
Harwich
Mashpee
Orleans
Yarmouth

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s located in the municipalities above that discharge to waterbodies found on Table F-9 in Appendix F or their tributaries and any other MS4 that discharges to waterbodies found on Table F-9 in Appendix F or their

tributaries shall meet the requirements of Appendix F, part A.IV with respect to reduction of nitrogen discharges from their MS4.

v. The following is a list of municipalities located in the Assabet River Watershed:

1.

Acton	Hudson
Berlin	Littleton
Bolton	Marlborough
Boxborough	Maynard
Boylston	Northborough
Ca rlisle	Shrewsbury
Clinton	Stow
Concord	Westborough
Grafton	Westford
Harvard	

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s located in the municipalities above that discharge to the Assabet River or its tributaries shall meet the requirements of Appendix F part A.V with respect to reduction of phosphorus discharges from their MS4.

c. The MS4s specified below discharge to waters, or tributaries of waters, that have been identified in an adjacent state's approved TMDL as being impaired due, in part, to MS4 stormwater discharges in Massachusetts, and shall comply with the requirements of Appendix F, part B. Appendix F identifies, by section, the provisions the permittee shall implement to be consistent with the reasonable assumptions related to Massachusetts MS4 discharges. Alternatively, EPA may notify the permittee that an individual permit application is necessary in accordance with part 1.8.a.

i. The following is a list of municipalities in Massachusetts located in the watershed of Long Island Sound, which has an approved TMDL for nitrogen (Total Nitrogen).

1.

Adams	North Adams
Agawam	Northampton
Amherst	Oxford
Ashburnham	Palmer
Ashby	Paxton
Auburn	Pelham
Belchertown	Pittsfield
Charlton	Richmond
Cheshire	Russell
Chicopee	Rutland
Dalton	South Hadley
Douglas	Southampton

Dudley	Southbridge
East Longmeadow	Southwick
Easthampton	Spencer
Gardner	Springfield
Granby	Sturbridge
Hadley	Sutton
Hampden	Templeton
Hatfield	Ware
Hinsdale	Webster
Holyoke	West Springfield
Lanesborough	Westfield
Leicester	Westhampton
Lenox	Westminster
Longmeadow	Wilbraham
Ludlow	Williamsburg
Millbury	Winchendon
Monson	

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s located in the municipalities above that discharge to a water within the Connecticut River Watershed, the Housatonic River Watershed, or the Thames River Watershed shall meet the requirements of Appendix F part B. I with respect to nitrogen discharges from their MS4.

ii. The following is a list of municipalities in Massachusetts identified in a TMDL as containing MS4s contributing phosphorus to waterbody segments that have out of state approved TMDLs for phosphorus:

1.

Attleboro
North Attleborough
Plainville
Rehoboth
Seekonk
Swansea

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s located in the municipalities above that discharge to a waterbody found on Table F-12 in Appendix F or its tributaries shall meet the requirements of Appendix F part B. II with respect to phosphorus discharges from their MS4.

iii. The following is a list of municipalities in Massachusetts identified in a TMDL as containing MS4s contributing bacteria/pathogens to waterbody segments that have out of state approved TMDLs for bacteria/pathogens:

1.

Attleboro

North Attleborough
Plainville
Rehoboth
Seekonk

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s located in the municipalities above that discharge to a waterbody found on Table F-13 in Appendix F or its tributaries shall meet the requirements of Appendix F part B. III with respect to bacteria/pathogens discharges from their MS4.

iv. The following is a list of municipalities in Massachusetts identified in a TMDL as containing MS4s contributing metals (cadmium, lead, aluminum iron) to waterbody segments that have out of state approved TMDLs for metals (cadmium, lead, aluminum, iron):

1.

Attleboro
North Attleborough
Plainville
Seekonk

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s located in the municipalities above that discharge to a waterbody found on Table F-14 in Appendix F or its tributaries shall meet the requirements of Appendix F part B. IV with respect to metals discharges from their MS4.

2.2.2. Discharges to Certain Water Quality Limited Waters Subject to Additional Requirements

For purposes of this permit, a 'water quality limited water body' is any water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards, including but not limited to waters listed in categories 5 or 4b on the Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b).

If there is a discharge from the MS4 to a water quality limited waterbody where pollutants typically found in stormwater (specifically nutrients (Total Nitrogen or Total Phosphorus), solids (TSS or Turbidity), bacteria/pathogens (E. Coli, Enteroccus or Fecal Coliform), chloride (Chloride), metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc) and oil and grease (Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease)) are the cause of the impairment and there is not an approved TMDL, or the MS4 is located in a town listed in part 2.2.2.a.-b, the permittee shall comply with the provisions in Appendix H applicable to it.

In the absence of a defined pollutant reduction target and where no approved TMDL has been established, this permit part and Appendix H define an iterative approach addressing pollutant reductions to waterbodies where the permittee's discharge is causing or contributing to an excursion above water quality standards due to nutrients (Total Nitrogen Total Phosphorus), solids (TSS or Turbidity), bacteria/pathogens (E. Coli, Enteroccus or Fecal Coliform), chloride (Chloride), metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc) or oil and grease (Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease).

a. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where nitrogen (Total Nitrogen) is the cause of the impairment, or their tributaries

i. The requirements of this part are applicable to:

1. Permittees (including traditional and non-traditional MS4s) that own or operate an MS4 in the following municipalities. Discharges from MS4s within these municipalities are to waterbodies that are impaired due to nitrogen (Total Nitrogen), or their tributaries.

Abington	Mattapoisett
Acushnet	Middleborough
Attleboro	New Bedford
Avon	Norton
Barnstable	Peabody
Berkley	Pembroke
Bourne	Plainville
Bridgewater	Plymouth
Brockton	Plympton
Carver	Raynham
Dartmouth	Rehoboth
Dighton	Rochester
East Bridgewater	Salem
Easton	Seekonk
Fairhaven	Sharon
Fall River	Somerset
Foxborough	Stoughton
Freetown	Swansea
Halifax	Taunton
Hanson	Wakefield
Holbrook	Wareham
Kingston	West Bridgewater
Lakeville	Westport
Lynnfield	Whitman
Mansfield	Wrentham
Marion	Yarmouth

2. Any other permittee that, during the permit term, becomes aware that its discharge is to a waterbody that is water quality limited due to nitrogen (Total Nitrogen), or a tributary of such water.

ii. Permittees subject to part 2.2.2.a.i above shall meet the requirements of Appendix H part I with respect to the control of nitrogen discharges from their MS4;

iii. During development of their Notice of Intent, the permittee may determine that all discharges from the regulated area through their MS4 are outside of a watershed that contains a nitrogen (Total Nitrogen) impairment in a downstream segment. The permittee shall retain all documentation used in this determination as part of their NOI and are relieved from the requirements of part 2.2.2.a.i and Appendix H part I.

b. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where phosphorus ("Total Phosphorus") is the cause of the impairment, or their tributaries

i. The requirements of this part are applicable to:

1. Permittees (including traditional and non-traditional MS4s) that own or operate an MS4 in the following municipalities. Discharges from MS4s within these municipalities are to waterbodies that are impaired due to phosphorus (Total Phosphorus), or their tributaries.

Abington	Lynn
Acushnet	Lynnfield
Andover	Malden
Arlington	Mansfield
Ashburnham	Marlborough
Ashland	Mashpee
Auburn	Medfield
Avon	Medford
Ayer	Melrose
Barnstable	Mendon
Bedford	Methuen
Belchertown	Millbury
Belmont	Millville
Billerica	Milton
Blackstone	North Andover
Bolton	Northbridge
Brewster	Norton
Bridgewater	Norwood
Brockton	Oxford
Burlington	Peabody
Cambridge	Pembroke
Canton	Pepperell
Carlisle	Pittsfield
Carver	Quincy
Chelmsford	Randolph
Chelsea	Reading

Clinton	Revere
Concord	Rockland
Dalton	Salem
Dedham	Scituate
Douglas	Seekonk
Dover	Sharon
Dracut	Shirley
Dunstable	Shrewsbury
East Bridgewater	Somerville
Eastham	Southampton
Easthampton	Spencer
Everett	Springfield
Falmouth	Stoneham
Fitchburg	Stoughton
Foxborough	Sudbury
Framingham	Sutton
Gloucester	Taunton
Grafton	Tewksbury
Granby	Townsend
Groton	Tyngsborough
Halifax	Upton
Hanover	Uxbridge
Hanson	Wakefield
Harvard	Walpole
Haverhill	Wareham
Hinsdale	Watertown
Hopkinton	Wayland
Hudson	West Bridgewater
Lancaster	Westfield
Lawrence	Westminster
Leicester	Westwood
Lenox	Whitman
Leominster	Wilmington
Lexington	Winchendon
Littleton	Winchester
Lowell	Winthrop
Lunenburg	Woburn
Lynn	

2. Any other permittee that, during the permit term, becomes aware that its discharge is to a waterbody that is water quality limited due to phosphorus ("Total Phosphorus"), or to a tributary of such water.

ii. The permittees subject to part 2.2.2.b.i. above shall meet all requirements of Appendix H part II with respect to the control of phosphorus discharges from the MS4.

iii. During development of their Notice of Intent, the permittee may determine that all discharges from the regulated area through their MS4 are outside of a watershed that contains a phosphorus ("Total Phosphorus") impairment in a downstream segment. The permittee shall retain all documentation used in this determination as part of their NOI and are relieved from the requirements of part 2.2.2.b.i and Appendix H part II.

c. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where bacteria or pathogens is the cause of the impairment

i. The requirements of this part are applicable to:

1. Any MS4 discharge identified by the permittee on their Notice of Intent as discharging directly to an impaired waterbody on the most recent EPA approved Massachusetts 303(d) list where bacteria or pathogens (E. Coli, Enteroccus or Fecal Coliform) is the cause of the impairment.
2. Any other MS4 that, during the permit term, becomes aware that its discharge is to a waterbody that is water quality limited due to bacteria or pathogens.

ii. The permittees subject to part 2.2.2.c.i. shall meet all requirements of Appendix H part III with respect to reduction of bacteria or pathogens discharges from the MS4.

d. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where chloride (Chloride) is the cause of the impairment

i. The requirements of this part are applicable to:

1. Any MS4 discharge identified by the permittee on their Notice of Intent as discharging directly to an impaired waterbody on the most recent EPA approved Massachusetts 303(d) list where chloride (Chloride) is the cause of the impairment.
2. Any other MS4 that, during the permit term, becomes aware that its discharge is to a waterbody that is water quality limited due to chloride (Chloride).

ii. The permittees subject to part 2.2.2.d.i. shall meet all requirements of Appendix H part IV with respect to reduction of chloride discharges from the MS4.

e. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where oil and grease (Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease), solids (TSS or Turbidity) or metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc) is the cause of the impairment

i. The requirements of this part are applicable to:

1. Any MS4 discharge identified by the permittee on their Notice of Intent as discharging directly to an impaired waterbody on the most recent EPA

approved Massachusetts 303(d) list where oil and grease, solids or metals (Oil and Grease, Petroleum Hydrocarbons TSS, Turbidity, Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc) is the cause of the impairment.

2. Any other MS4 that, during the permit term, becomes aware that its discharge is to a waterbody that is water quality limited due to oil and grease (Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease), solids (TSS or Turbidity) or metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc).

ii. The permittees subject to part 2.2.2.d.i. shall meet all requirements of Appendix H part V with respect to reduction of solids, oil and grease or metals discharges from the MS4.

2.3. Requirements to Reduce Pollutants to the Maximum Extent Practicable (MEP)

The permittee shall reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 to the maximum extent practicable (MEP) as detailed in parts 2.3.2 through 2.3.7.

2.3.1. Control Measures

a. Permittees authorized under the MS4-2003 permit shall continue to implement their existing SWMPs while updating their SWMPs pursuant to this permit. This permit does not extend the compliance deadlines set forth in the MS4-2003 permit.

b. Implementation of one or more of the minimum control measures described in parts 2.3.2- 2.3.7 or other permit requirements may be shared with another entity (including another interconnected MS4) or the other entity may fully implement the measure or requirement, if the following requirements are satisfied:

- The other entity, in fact, implements the control measure.
- The particular control measure or component thereof undertaken by the other entity is at least as stringent as the corresponding permit requirement.
- The other entity agrees to implement the control measure on the permittee's behalf. The annual reports must specify that the permittee is relying on another entity to satisfy some of its permit obligations and specify what those obligations are.
- If the permittee is relying on another governmental entity regulated under 40 CFR §122 to satisfy all of its permit obligations, including the obligation to file annual reports, the permittee shall note that fact in its NOI, but is not required to file annual reports.
- The permittee remains responsible for compliance with all permit obligations if the other entity fails to implement the control measures (or component thereof). The permittee may enter into a legally binding agreement with the other entity regarding the other entity's performance of control measures, but the permittee remains ultimately responsible for permit compliance.

2.3.2. Public Education and Outreach

Objective: The permittee shall implement an education program that includes educational goals based on stormwater issues of significance within the MS4 area. The ultimate objective of a public education program is to increase knowledge and change behavior of the public so that pollutants in stormwater are reduced.

a. The permittee shall continue to implement the public education program required by the MS4-2003 permit by distributing educational material to the MS4 community. The educational program shall define educational goals, express specific messages, define the targeted audience for each message, and identify responsible parties for program implementation. If appropriate for the target audience, materials may be developed in a language other than English. At a minimum, the program shall provide information concerning the impact of stormwater discharges on water bodies within the community, especially those waters that are impaired or identified as priority waters. The program shall identify steps and/or activities that the public can take to reduce the pollutants in stormwater runoff and their impacts to the environment.

b. The educational program shall include education and outreach efforts for the following four audiences: (1) residents, (2) businesses, institutions (churches, hospitals), and commercial facilities, (3) developers (construction), and (4) industrial facilities, unless one of these audiences is not present in the MS4 community. In such a situation, the MS4 must document in both the NOI and SWMP which audience is absent from the community and no educational messages are required to that audience.

c. The permittee shall distribute a minimum of two (2) educational messages over the permit term to each audience identified in part 2.3.2.b. The distribution of materials to each audience shall be spaced at least a year apart. Educational messages may be printed materials such as brochures or newsletters; electronic materials such as websites; mass media such as newspaper articles or public service announcement (radio or cable); targeted workshops on stormwater management, or displays in a public area such as town/city hall. The permittee may use existing materials if they are appropriate for the message the permittee chooses to deliver or the permittee may develop its own educational materials. The permittee may partner with other MS4s, community groups or watershed associations to implement the education program to meet this permit requirement.

Some EPA educational materials are available at: http://cfpub.epa.gov/npstbx/index.html.

d. The permittee shall, at a minimum, consider the topics listed in part 2.3.2.d.i. – iv when developing the outreach/education program. The topics are not exclusive and the permittee shall focus on those topics most relevant to the community.

i. Residential program: effects of outdoor activities such as lawn care (use of pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers and information on Massachusetts Regulation 331 CMR 31 pertaining to proper use of phosphorus containing fertilizers on turf grasses) on water quality; benefits of appropriate on-site infiltration of stormwater; effects of automotive work and car washing on water quality; proper disposal of swimming pool water; proper management of pet waste; maintenance of septic systems. If the small MS4 area has areas serviced by septic systems, the permittee shall consider information pertaining to maintenance of septic systems as part of its education program.

ii. Business/Commercial/Institution program: proper lawn maintenance (use of pesticides, herbicides and fertilizer, and information on Massachusetts Regulation 331 CMR 31 pertaining to proper use of phosphorus containing fertilizers on turf grasses); benefits of appropriate on-site infiltration of stormwater; building maintenance (use of detergents); use of salt or other de-icing and anti-icing materials (minimize their use); proper storage of salt or other de-icing/anti-icing materials (cover/prevent runoff to storm system and contamination to ground water); proper storage of materials (emphasize pollution prevention); proper management of waste materials and dumpsters (cover and pollution

prevention); proper management of parking lot surfaces (sweeping); proper car care activities (washing of vehicles and maintenance); and proper disposal of swimming pool water by entities such as motels, hotels, and health and country clubs (discharges must be dechlorinated and otherwise free from pollutants).

iii. Developers and Construction: proper sediment and erosion control management practices; information about Low Impact Development (LID) principles and technologies; and information about EPA's construction general permit (CGP). This education can also be a part of the Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control measure detailed in part 2.3.5.

iv. Industrial program: equipment inspection and maintenance; proper storage of industrial materials (emphasize pollution prevention); proper management and disposal of wastes; proper management of dumpsters; minimization of use of salt or other de-icing/anti-icing materials; proper storage of salt or other de-icing/anti-icing materials (cover/prevent runoff to storm system and ground water contamination); benefits of appropriate on-site infiltration of stormwater runoff from areas with low exposure to industrial materials such as roofs or employee parking; proper maintenance of parking lot surfaces (sweeping); and requirements for coverage under EPA's Multi-Sector General Permit.

e. The program shall show evidence of focused messages for specific audiences as well as evidence that progress toward the defined educational goals of the program has been achieved. The permittee shall identify methods that it will use to evaluate the effectiveness of the educational messages and the overall education program. Any methods used to evaluate the effectiveness of the program shall be tied to the defined goals of the program and the overall objective of changes in behavior and knowledge.

f. The permittee shall modify any ineffective messages or distribution techniques for an audience prior to the next scheduled message delivery.

g. The permittee shall document in each annual report the messages for each audience; the method of distribution; the measures/methods used to assess the effectiveness of the messages, and the method/measures used to assess the overall effectiveness of the education program.

2.3.3. Public Involvement and Participation

Objective: The permittee shall provide opportunities to engage the public to participate in the review and implementation of the permittee's SWMP.

a. All public involvement activities shall comply with state public notice requirements (MGL Chapter 30A, Sections 18 – 25 – effective 7/10/2010). The SWMP and all annual reports shall be available to the public.

b. The permittee shall annually provide the public an opportunity to participate in the review and implementation of the SWMP.

c. The permittee shall report on the activities undertaken to provide public participation opportunities including compliance with part 2.3.3.a. Public participation opportunities pursuant

to part 2.3.3.b may include, but are not limited to, websites; hotlines; clean-up teams; monitoring teams; or an advisory committee.

2.3.4. Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Program

Objective: The permittee shall implement an IDDE program to systematically find and eliminate sources of non-stormwater discharges to its municipal separate storm sewer system and implement procedures to prevent such discharges.

a. Legal Authority - The IDDE program shall include adequate legal authority to: prohibit illicit discharges; investigate suspected illicit discharges; eliminate illicit discharges, including discharges from properties not owned by or controlled by the MS4 that discharge into the MS4 system; and implement appropriate enforcement procedures and actions. Adequate legal authority consists of a currently effective ordinance, by-law, or other regulatory mechanism. For permittees authorized by the MS4-2003 permit, the ordinance, by-law, or other regulatory mechanism was a requirement of the MS4-2003 permit and was required to be effective by May 1, 2008. For new permittees the ordinance, by-law, or other regulatory mechanism shall be in place within 3 years of the permit effective date.
b. During the development of the new components of the IDDE program required by this permit, permittees authorized by the MS4-2003 permit must continue to implement their existing IDDE program required by the MS4-2003 permit to detect and eliminate illicit discharges to their MS4.

2.3.4.1. Definitions and Prohibitions

The permittee shall prohibit illicit discharges and sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) to its MS4 and require removal of such discharges consistent with parts 2.3.4.2 and 2.3.4.4 of this permit.

An SSO is a discharge of untreated sanitary wastewater from a municipal sanitary sewer.

An illicit discharge is any discharge to a municipal separate storm sewer that is not composed entirely of stormwater, except discharges pursuant to a NPDES permit (other than the NPDES permit for discharges from the municipal separate storm sewer) and discharges resulting from fire fighting activities.

2.3.4.2. Elimination of Illicit Discharges

a. Upon detection of an illicit discharge, the permittee shall locate, identify and eliminate the illicit discharge as expeditiously as possible. Upon identification of the illicit source the MS4 notify all responsible parties for any such discharge and require immediate cessation of improper disposal practices in accordance with its legal authorities. Where elimination of an illicit discharge within 60 days of its identification as an illicit discharge is not possible, the permittee shall establish an expeditious schedule for its elimination and report the dates of identification and schedules for removal in the permittee's annual reports. The permittee shall immediately commence actions necessary for elimination. The permittee shall diligently pursue elimination of all illicit discharges. In the interim, the permittee shall take all reasonable and prudent measures to minimize the discharge of pollutants to and from its MS4.

b. The period between identification and elimination of an illicit discharge is not a grace period. Discharges from an MS4 that are mixed with an illicit discharge are not authorized by this Permit (part 1.3.a) and remain unlawful until eliminated.

2.3.4.3. Non-Stormwater Discharges

The permittee may presume that the sources of non-stormwater listed in part 1.4 of this permit need not be addressed. However, if the permittee identifies any of these sources as significant contributors of pollutants to the MS4, then the permittee shall implement measures to control these sources so they are no longer significant contributors of pollutants, and/or eliminate them entirely, consistent with part 2.3.4.

2.3.4.4. Sanitary Sewer Overflows

a. Upon detection of an SSO the permittee shall eliminate it as expeditiously as possible and take interim mitigation measures to minimize the discharge of pollutants to and from its MS4 until elimination is completed.

b. The permittee shall identify all known locations where SSOs have discharged to the MS4 within the previous five (5) years. This shall include SSOs resulting, during dry or wet weather, from inadequate conveyance capacities, or where interconnectivity of the storm and sanitary sewer infrastructure allows for communication of flow between the systems. Within one (1) year of the effective date of the permit, the permittee shall develop an inventory of all identified SSOs indicating the following information, if available:

    1. Location (approximate street crossing/address and receiving water, if any);
    2. A clear statement of whether the discharge entered a surface water directly or entered the MS4;
    3. Date(s) and time(s) of each known SSO occurrence (i.e., beginning and end of any known discharge);
    4. Estimated volume(s) of the occurrence;
    5. Description of the occurrence indicating known or suspected cause(s);
    6. Mitigation and corrective measures completed with dates implemented; and
    7. Mitigation and corrective measures planned with implementation schedules.

    The permittee shall maintain the inventory as a part of the SWMP and update the inventory annually, all updates shall include the information in part 2.3.4.4.b.1-7.

c. In accordance with Paragraph B.12 of Appendix B of this permit, upon becoming aware of an SSO to the MS4, the permittee shall provide oral notice to EPA within 24 hours. Additionally, the permittee shall provide written notice to EPA and MassDEP within five (5) days of becoming aware of the SSO occurrence and shall include the information in the updated inventory. The notice shall contain all of the information listed in part 2.3.4.4.b. Where common notification requirements for SSOs are included in multiple NPDES permits issued to a permittee, a single notification may be made to EPA as directed in the permittee's wastewater or CSO NPDES permit and constitutes compliance with this part.

d. The permittee shall include and update the SSO inventory in its annual report, including the status of mitigation and corrective measures implemented by the permittee to address each SSO identified pursuant to this part.

e. The period between detection and elimination of a discharge from the SSO to the MS4 is not a grace period. Discharges from an MS4 that are mixed with an SSO are not authorized by this Permit (part 1.3.a) and remain unlawful until eliminated.

2.3.4.5. System mapping

The permittee shall develop a revised and more detailed map than was required by the MS4-2003 permit. This revised map of the MS4 shall be completed in two phases as outlined below. The mapping shall include a depiction of the permittee's separate storm sewer system in the permit area. The mapping is intended to facilitate the identification of key infrastructure and factors influencing proper system operation, and the potential for illicit sanitary sewer discharges.

a. Phase I: The system map shall be updated within two (2) years of the permit effective date to include the following information:

- Outfalls and receiving waters (required by MS4-2003 permit)
- Open channel conveyances (swales, ditches, etc.)
- Interconnections with other MS4s and other storm sewer systems
- Municipally-owned stormwater treatment structures (e.g., detention and retention basins, infiltration systems , bioretention areas, water quality swales, gross particle separators, oil/water separators, or other proprietary systems)
- Waterbodies identified by name and indication of all use impairments as identified on the most recent EPA approved Massachusetts Integrated List of waters report pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b)
- Initial catchment delineations. Any available system data and topographic information may be used to produce initial catchment delineations. For the purpose of this permit, a catchment is the area that drains to an individual outfall or interconnection.

b. Phase II: The system map shall be updated annually as the following information becomes available during implementation of catchment investigation procedures in part 2.3.4.8. This information must be included in the map for all outfalls within ten (10) years of the permit effective date:

- Outfall spatial location (latitude and longitude with a minimum accuracy of +/-30 feet)
- Pipes
- Manholes
- Catch basins
- Refined catchment delineations. Catchment delineations shall be updated to reflect information collected during catchment investigations
- Municipal sanitary sewer system (if available)
- Municipal combined sewer system (if applicable).

c. Recommended elements to be included in the system map as information becomes available:

- Storm sewer material, size (pipe diameter) and age
- Sanitary sewer system material, size (pipe diameter) and age
- Privately-owned stormwater treatment structures
- Where a municipal sanitary sewer system exists, properties known or suspected to be served by a septic system, especially in high-density urban areas
- Area where the permittee's MS4 has received or could receive flow from septic system discharges (e.g., areas with poor soils, or high ground water elevations unsuitable for conventional subsurface disposal systems)
- Seasonal high water table elevations impacting sanitary alignments
- Topography
- Orthophotography

- Alignments, dates and representation of work completed (with legend) of past illicit discharge investigations (e.g., flow isolation, dye testing, CCTV)
- Locations of suspected, confirmed and corrected illicit discharges (with dates and flow estimates).

d. The mapping may be produced by hand or through computer-aided methods (e.g. GIS). The required scale and detail of the map shall be appropriate to facilitate a rapid understanding of the system by the permittee, EPA and the state. In addition, the mapping shall serve as a planning tool for the implementation and phasing of the IDDE program and demonstration of the extent of complete and planned investigations and corrections. The permittee shall update the mapping as necessary to reflect newly discovered information and required corrections or modifications.

e. The permittee shall report on the progress towards the completion of the system map in each annual report.

2.3.4.6. Written Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Program

The IDDE program shall be recorded in a written (hardcopy or electronic) document. The IDDE program shall include each of the elements described in parts 2.3.4.7 and part 2.3.4.8, unless the permittee provides a written explanation within the IDDE program as to why a particular element is not applicable to the permittee.

Notwithstanding the permittee's explanation, EPA may at any time determine that a particular element is in fact applicable to the permittee and require the permittee to add it to the IDDE program. The written (hardcopy or electronic) IDDE program shall be completed within one (1) year of the effective date of the permit and updated in accordance with the milestones of this part. The permittee shall implement the IDDE program in accordance with the goals and milestones contained in this part.

a. The written (hardcopy or electronic) IDDE program shall include a reference or citation of the authority the permittee will use to implement all aspects of the IDDE program.

b. Statement of IDDE Program Responsibilities - The permittee shall establish a written (hardcopy or electronic) statement that clearly identifies responsibilities with regard to eliminating illicit discharges. The statement shall identify the lead municipal agency(ies) or department(s) responsible for implementing the IDDE Program as well as any other agencies or departments that may have responsibilities for aspects of the program (e.g., board of health responsibilities for overseeing septic system construction; sanitary sewer system staff; inspectional services for enforcing plumbing codes; town counsel responsibilities in enforcement actions, etc.). Where multiple departments and agencies have responsibilities with respect to the IDDE program specific areas of responsibility shall be defined and processes for coordination and data sharing shall be established and documented.

c. Program Procedures – The permittee shall include in the written IDDE program all written procedures developed in accordance with the requirements and timelines in parts 2.3.4.7 and 2.3.4.8 below. At a minimum this shall include the written procedures for dry weather outfall screening and sampling and for catchment investigations.

2.3.4.7. Assessment and Priority Ranking of Outfalls/Interconnections

The permittee shall assess and priority rank the outfalls in terms of their potential to have illicit discharges and SSOs and the related public health significance. This ranking will determine the priority order for

screening of outfalls and interconnections pursuant to part 2.3.4.7.b, catchment investigations for evidence of illicit discharges and SSOs pursuant to part 2.3.4.8, and provides the basis for determining permit milestones of this part.

a. Outfall/Interconnection Inventory and Initial Ranking:
An initial outfall and interconnection inventory and priority ranking to assess illicit discharge potential based on existing information shall be completed within one (1) year from the effective date of the permit; an updated inventory and ranking will be provided in each annual report thereafter. The inventory shall be updated annually to include data collected in connection with the dry weather screening and other relevant inspections conducted by the permittee.

i. The outfall and interconnection inventory will identify each outfall and interconnection discharging from the MS4, record its location and condition, and provide a framework for tracking inspections, screenings and other activities under the permittee's IDDE program.

- An outfall means a point source as defined by 40 CFR § 122.2 as the point where the municipal separate storm sewer discharges to waters of the United States. An outfall does not include open conveyances connecting two municipal separate storm sewers or pipes, tunnels or other conveyances that connect segments of the same stream or other waters of the United States and that are used to convey waters of the United States. (40 CFR § 122.26(b)(9)). However, it is strongly recommended that a permittee inspect all accessible portions of the system as part of this process. Culverts longer than a simple road crossing shall be included in the inventory unless the permittee can confirm that they are free of any connections and simply convey waters of the United States.
- An interconnection means the point (excluding sheet flow over impervious surfaces) where the permittee's MS4 discharges to another MS4 or other storm sewer system, through which the discharge is conveyed to waters of the United States or to another storm sewer system and eventually to a water of the United States.

ii. The permittee shall classify each of the permittee's outfalls and interconnections into one of the following categories:

- Problem Outfalls: Outfalls/interconnections with known or suspected contributions of illicit discharges based on existing information shall be designated as Problem Outfalls. This shall include any outfalls/interconnections where previous screening indicates likely sewer input.[4] Problem Outfalls need not be screened pursuant to part 2.3.4.7.b.
- High Priority Outfalls: Outfalls/interconnections that have not been classified as Problem Outfalls and that are:
  - discharging to an area of concern to public health due to proximity of public beaches, recreational areas, drinking water supplies or shellfish beds;
  - determined by the permittee as high priority based on the characteristics listed below or other available information;
- Low Priority Outfalls: Outfalls/interconnections determined by the permittee as low priority based on the characteristics listed below or other available information.
- Excluded outfalls: Outfalls/interconnections with no potential for illicit discharges may be

[4] Likely sewer input indicators are any of the following:
- Olfactory or visual evidence of sewage,
- Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and bacteria levels greater than the water quality criteria applicable to the receiving water, or
- Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and detectable levels of chlorine.

excluded from the IDDE program. This category is limited to roadway drainage in undeveloped areas with no dwellings and no sanitary sewers; drainage for athletic fields, parks or undeveloped green space and associated parking without services; cross-country drainage alignments (that neither cross nor are in proximity to sanitary sewer alignments) through undeveloped land.

iii. The permittee shall priority rank outfalls into the categories above (except for excluded outfalls), based on the following characteristics of the defined initial catchment area where information is available:

- Past discharge complaints and reports.
- Poor receiving water quality- the following guidelines are recommended to identify waters as having a high illicit discharge potential: exceeding water quality standards for bacteria; ammonia levels above 0.5 mg/l; surfactants levels greater than or equal to 0.25 mg/l.
- Density of generating sites- Generating sites are those places, including institutional, municipal, commercial, or industrial sites, with a potential to generate pollutants that could contribute to illicit discharges. Examples of these sites include, but are not limited to, car dealers; car washes; gas stations; garden centers; and industrial manufacturing areas.
- Age of development and infrastructure – Industrial areas greater than 40 years old and areas where the sanitary sewer system is more than 40 years old will probably have a high illicit discharge potential. Developments 20 years or younger will probably have a low illicit discharge potential.
- Sewer conversion – contributing catchment areas that were once serviced by septic systems, but have been converted to sewer connections may have a high illicit discharge potential.
- Historic combined sewer systems – contributing areas that were once serviced by a combined sewer system, but have been separated may have a high illicit discharge potential.
- Surrounding density of aging septic systems – Septic systems thirty years or older in residential land use areas are prone to have failures and may have a high illicit discharge potential.
- Culverted streams – any river or stream that is culverted for distances greater than a simple roadway crossing may have a high illicit discharge potential.
- Water quality limited waterbodies that receive a discharge from the MS4 or waters with approved TMDLs applicable to the permittee, where illicit discharges have the potential to contain the pollutant identified as the cause of the water quality impairment.
- The permittee may also consider additional relevant characteristics, including location-specific characteristics; if so, the permittee shall include the additional characteristics in its written (hardcopy or electronic) IDDE program.

b. Dry Weather Outfall and Interconnection Screening and Sampling
All outfalls/interconnections (excluding Problem and excluded Outfalls) shall be inspected for the presence of dry weather flow within three (3) years of the permit effective date. The permittee shall screen all High and Low Priority Outfalls in accordance with their initial ranking developed at part 2.3.4.7.a.

i. Written procedure: The permittee shall develop an outfall and interconnection screening and sampling procedure to be included in the IDDE program within one (1) year of the permit effective date. This procedure shall include the following procedures for:

- sample collection,
- use of field kits,

- storage and conveyance of samples (including relevant hold times), and
- field data collection and storage.

An example screening and sampling protocol (*EPA New England Bacterial Source Tracking Protocol*) can be found on EPA's website.

ii. Weather conditions: Dry weather screening and sampling shall proceed only when no more than 0.1 inches of rainfall has occurred in the previous 24-hour period and no significant snow melt is occurring.

iii. Screening requirements: For each outfall/interconnection:

1. The permittee shall record all of the following information and include it in the outfall/interconnection inventory and priority ranking:

    - unique identifier,
    - receiving water,
    - date of most recent inspection,
    - dimensions,
    - shape,
    - material (concrete, PVC),
    - spatial location (latitude and longitude with a minimum accuracy of +/-30 feet,
    - physical condition,
    - indicators of potential non-stormwater discharges (including presence or evidence of suspect flow and sensory observations such as odor, color, turbidity, floatables, or oil sheen).

2. If an outfall/interconnection is inaccessible or submerged, the permittee shall proceed to the first accessible upstream manhole or structure for the observation and sampling and report the location with the screening results.

3. If no flow is observed, but evidence of illicit flow exists, the permittee shall revisit the outfall during dry weather within one week of the initial observation, if practicable, to perform a second dry weather screening and sample any observed flow (proceed as in iv. below).

4. Where dry weather flow is found at an outfall/interconnection, at least one (1) sample shall be collected, and:

    a) Samples shall be analyzed at a minimum for:

        - ammonia,
        - chlorine,
        - conductivity,
        - salinity,
        - *E. coli* (freshwater receiving water) or enterococcus (saline or brackish receiving water),
        - surfactants (such as MBAS),
        - temperature, and

- pollutants of concern[5]

b) All analyses with the exception of indicator bacteria and pollutants of concern can be performed with field test kits or field instrumentation and are not subject to 40 CFR part 136 requirements. Sampling for bacteria and pollutants of concern shall be conducted using the analytical methods found in 40 CFR §136, or alternative methods approved by EPA in accordance with the procedures in 40 CFR §136. Sampling for ammonia and surfactants must use sufficiently sensitive methods to detect those parameters at or below the threshold indicator concentrations of 0.5 mg/L for ammonia and 0.25 mg/L for surfactants. Sampling for residual chlorine must use a method with a detection limit of 0.02 mg/L or 20 ug/L.

iv. The permittee may rely on screening conducted under the MS4-2003 permit, pursuant to an EPA enforcement action, or by the state or EPA to the extent that it meets the requirements of part 2.3.4.7.b.iii.4. All data shall be reported in each annual report. Permittees that have conducted substantially equivalent monitoring to that required by part 2.3.4.7.b as part of an EPA enforcement action can request an exemption from the requirements of part 2.3.4.7.b by submitting a written request to EPA and retaining exemption approval from EPA as part of the SWMP. Until the permittee receives formal written approval of the exemption from part 2.3.4.7.b from EPA the permittee remains subject to all requirements of part 2.3.4.7.b.

v. The permittee shall submit all screening data used in compliance with this part in its Annual Report.

c. <u>Follow-up ranking of outfalls and interconnections</u>:

i. The permittee's outfall and interconnection ranking (2.3.4.7.a) shall be updated to reprioritize outfalls and interconnections based on information gathered during dry weather screening (part 2.3.4.7.b).

ii. Outfalls/interconnections where relevant information was found indicating sewer input to the MS4 or sampling results indicating sewer input[6] shall be considered highly likely to contain illicit discharges from sanitary sources, and such outfalls/interconnections shall be ranked at the top of the High Priority Outfalls category for investigation. At this time, permittees may choose to rank other outfalls and interconnections based on any new information from the dry weather screening.

iii. The ranking can be updated continuously as dry weather screening information becomes available, but shall be completed within three (3) years of the effective date of the permit.

2.3.4.8. Catchment Investigations

The permittee shall develop a systematic procedure to investigate each catchment associated with an

[5] Where the discharge is directly into a water quality limited water or a water subject to an approved TMDL as indicated in Appendix F; the sample shall be analyzed for the pollutant(s) of concern identified as the cause of the impairment as specified in Appendix G

[6] Likely sewer input indicators are any of the following:

- Olfactory or visual evidence of sewage,
- Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and bacteria levels greater than the water quality criteria applicable to the receiving water, or
- Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and detectable levels of chlorine.

outfall or interconnection within their MS4 system.

a. Timelines:

- A written catchment investigation procedure shall be developed within 18 months of the permit effective date in accordance with the requirements of part 2.3.4.8.b below.
- Investigations of catchments associated with Problem Outfalls shall begin no later than two (2) years from the permit effective date.
- Investigations of catchments associated with High and Low Priority Outfalls shall follow the ranking of outfalls updated in part 2.3.4.7.c.
- Investigations of catchments associated with Problem Outfalls shall be completed within seven (7) years of the permit effective date
- Investigations of catchments where any information gathered on the outfall/interconnection identifies sewer input[7] shall be completed within seven (7) years of the permit effective date.
- Investigations of catchments associated with all High- and Low-Priority Outfalls shall be completed within ten (10) years of the permit effective date.

*For the purposes of these milestones, an individual catchment investigation will be considered complete if all relevant procedures in part 2.3.4.8.c. and 2.3.4.8.d. below have been completed.

b. A written catchment investigation procedure shall be developed that:

i. **Identifies maps, historic plans and records, and other sources of data**, including but not limited to plans related to the construction of the storm drain and of sanitary sewers, prior work performed on the storm drains or sanitary sewers, board of health or other municipal data on septic system failures or required upgrades, and complaint records related to SSOs, sanitary sewer surcharges, and septic system breakouts. These data sources will be used in identifying system vulnerability factors within each catchment.

ii. **Includes a manhole inspection methodology** that shall describe a storm drain network investigation that involves systematically and progressively observing, sampling (as required below) and evaluating key junction manholes (see definition in Appendix A) in the MS4 to determine the approximate location of suspected illicit discharges or SSOs. The manhole inspection methodology may either start from the outfall and work up the system or start from the upper parts of the catchment and work down the system or be a combination of both practices. Either method must, at a minimum, include an investigation of each key junction manhole within the MS4, even where no evidence of an illicit discharge is observed at the outfall. The manhole inspection methodology must describe the method the permittee will use. The manhole inspection methodology shall include procedures for dry and wet weather investigations.

iii. **Establishes procedures to isolate and confirm sources of illicit discharges** where manhole investigations or other physical evidence or screening has identified that MS4 alignments are influenced by illicit discharges or SSOs. These shall include isolation of the drainage area for implementation of more detailed investigations, inspection of additional manholes along the alignment to refine the location of potential contaminant sources, and methods such as sandbagging key junction manhole inlets, targeted internal plumbing inspections, dye testing,

[7] Likely sewer input indicators are any of the following:

- Olfactory or visual evidence of sewage,
- Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and bacteria levels greater than the water quality criteria applicable to the receiving water, or
- Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and detectable levels of chlorine.

video inspections, or smoke testing to isolate and confirm the sources.

c. Requirements for each catchment investigation associated with an outfall/interconnection:

i. For each catchment being investigated, the permittee shall review relevant mapping and historic plans and records gathered in accordance with Part 2.3.4.8.b.i. This review shall be used to identify areas within the catchment with higher potential for illicit connections. The permittee shall identify and record the presence of any of the following specific **System Vulnerability Factors (SVFs)**:

- History of SSOs, including, but not limited to, those resulting from wet weather, high water table, or fat/oil/grease blockages;
- Common or twin-invert manholes serving storm and sanitary sewer alignments;
- Common trench construction serving both storm and sanitary sewer alignments;
- Crossings of storm and sanitary sewer alignments where the sanitary system is shallower than the storm drain system;
- Sanitary sewer alignments known or suspected to have been constructed with an underdrain system;
- Inadequate sanitary sewer level of service (LOS) resulting in regular surcharging, customer back-ups, or frequent customer complaints;
- Areas formerly served by combined sewer systems;
- Sanitary sewer infrastructure defects such as leaking service laterals, cracked, broken, or offset sanitary infrastructure, directly piped connections between storm drain and sanitary sewer infrastructure, or other vulnerability factors identified through Inflow/Infiltration Analyses, Sanitary Sewer Evaluation Surveys, or other infrastructure investigations.

EPA recommends the permittee include the following in their consideration of System Vulnerability Factors:

- Sewer pump/lift stations, siphons, or known sanitary sewer restrictions where power/equipment failures or blockages could readily result in SSOs;
- Any sanitary sewer and storm drain infrastructure greater than 40 years old;
- Widespread code-required septic system upgrades required at property transfers (indicative of inadequate soils, water table separation, or other physical constraints of the area rather than poor owner maintenance);
- History of multiple Board of Health actions addressing widespread septic system failures (indicative of inadequate soils, water table separation, or other physical constraints of the area rather than poor owner maintenance);

The permittee shall document the presence or absence of System Vulnerability Factors for each catchment, retain this documentation as part of its IDDE program, and report this information in Annual Reports. Catchments with a minimum of one (1) System Vulnerability Factor are subject to wet weather sampling requirements of part 2.3.4.8.c.ii.2.

ii. For each catchment, the permittee must inspect key junction manholes and gather catchment information on the locations of MS4 pipes, manholes, and the extent of the contributing catchment.

1. For all catchments
   a) Infrastructure information shall be incorporated into the permittee's mapping required at part 2.3.4.5; the permittee will refine their catchment delineation based on the field investigation where appropriate.

b) The SVF inventory for the catchment will be updated based on information obtained during the inspection, including common (twin invert) manholes, directly piped connections between storm drains and sanitary sewer infrastructure, common weir walls, sanitary sewer underdrain connections and other structural vulnerabilities where sanitary discharges could enter the storm drain system during wet weather.
    1) **Where a minimum of one (1) SVF is identified based on previous information or the investigation, a wet weather investigation must be conducted at the associated outfall (see below).**

c) During dry weather, key junction manholes[8] shall be opened and inspected systematically for visual and olfactory evidence of illicit connections (e.g., excrement, toilet paper, gray filamentous bacterial growth, or sanitary products present).
    1) If flow is observed, the permittee shall sample the flow at a minimum for ammonia, chlorine and surfactants and can use field kits for these analyses.
    2) Where sampling results or visual or olfactory evidence indicate potential illicit discharges or SSOs, the area draining to the junction manhole shall be flagged for further upstream investigation.

d) Key junction and subsequent manhole investigations will proceed until the location of suspected illicit discharges or SSOs can be isolated to a pipe segment between two manholes. If no evidence of an illicit discharge is found, catchment investigations will be considered complete upon completion of key junction manhole sampling.

2. <u>For all catchments with a minimum of one (1) SVF identified</u>

a) The permittee shall meet the requirements above for dry weather screening

b) The permittee shall inspect and sample under wet weather conditions to the extent necessary to determine whether wet weather-induced high flows in sanitary sewers or high groundwater in areas served by septic systems result in discharges of sanitary flow to the MS4.
    1) The permittee shall conduct at least one wet weather screening and sampling at the outfall that includes the same parameters required during dry weather screening, part 2.3.4.7.b.iii.4.
    2) Wet weather sampling and screening shall proceed during or after a storm event of sufficient depth or intensity to produce a stormwater discharge. EPA strongly recommends sampling during the spring (March through June) when groundwater levels are relatively high.
    3) The permit does not require a minimum rainfall event prior to wet weather screening. However, permittees may incorporate provisions that assist in targeting such discharges, including avoiding sampling during the initial period of discharge ("first flush") and/or identifying minimum storm event intensities likely to trigger sanitary sewer interconnections.

c) This sampling can be done upon completion of any dry weather investigation but must be completed before the catchment investigation is marked as complete.

iii. All data collected as part of the dry and wet weather catchment investigations shall be recorded and reported in each annual report.

[8] Where catchments do not contain junction manholes, the dry weather screening and sampling shall be considered as meeting the manhole inspection requirement. In these catchments, dry weather screenings that indicate potential presence of illicit discharges shall be further investigated pursuant to part 2.3.4.8.d. Investigations in these catchments may be considered complete where dry weather screening reveals no flow; no evidence of illicit discharges or SSOs is indicated through sampling results or visual or olfactory means; and no wet weather System Vulnerability Factors are identified.

d. Identification/Confirmation of illicit source
Where the source of an illicit discharge has been approximated between two manholes in the permittee's MS4, the permittee shall isolate and identify/confirm the source of the illicit discharge using more detailed methods identified in their written procedure (2.3.4.8.b.iii). For outfalls that contained evidence of an illicit discharge, catchment investigations will be considered complete upon confirmation of all illicit sources.

e. Illicit discharge removal
When the specific source of an illicit discharge is identified, the permittee shall exercise its authority as necessary to require its removal pursuant to part 2.3.4.2 or 2.3.4.3.

i. For each confirmed source the permittee shall include in the annual report the following information:

- the location of the discharge and its source(s);
- a description of the discharge;
- the method of discovery;
- date of discovery;
- date of elimination, mitigation or enforcement action OR planned corrective measures and a schedule for completing the illicit discharge removal; and
- estimate of the volume of flow removed.

ii. Within one year of removal of all identified illicit discharges within a catchment area, confirmatory outfall or interconnection screening shall be conducted. The confirmatory screening shall be conducted in dry weather unless System Vulnerability Factors have been identified, in which case both dry weather and wet weather confirmatory screening shall be conducted. If confirmatory screening indicates evidence of additional illicit discharges, the catchment shall be scheduled for additional investigation.

2.3.4.9. Indicators of IDDE Program Progress

The permittee shall define or describe indicators for tracking program success and evaluate and report on the overall effectiveness of the IDDE program in each annual report. At a minimum the permittee shall document in each annual report:

- the number of SSOs and illicit discharges identified and removed,
- the number and percent of total outfall catchments served by the MS4 evaluated using the catchment investigation procedure,
- all dry weather and wet weather screening and sampling results and
- the volume of sewage removed

2.3.4.10 Ongoing Screening

Upon completion of all catchment investigations pursuant to part 2.3.4.8.c and illicit discharge removal and confirmation (if necessary) pursuant to paragraph 2.3.4.8.e, each outfall or interconnection shall be reprioritized for screening in accordance with part 2.3.4.7.a and scheduled for ongoing screening once every five years. Ongoing screening shall consist of dry weather screening and sampling consistent with part 2.3.4.7.b; wet weather screening and sampling shall also be required at outfalls where wet weather screening was required due to SVFs and shall be conducted in accordance with part 2.3.4.8.c.ii. All sampling results shall be reported in the permittee's annual report.

2.3.4.11 Training

The permittee shall, at a minimum, annually provide training to employees involved in IDDE program about the program, including how to recognize illicit discharges and SSOs. The permittee shall report on the frequency and type of employee training in the annual report.

2.3.5. Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control

Objective: The objective of an effective construction stormwater runoff control program is to minimize or eliminate erosion and maintain sediment on site so that it is not transported in stormwater and allowed to discharge to a water of the U.S through the permittee's MS4. The construction site stormwater runoff control program required by this permit is a separate and distinct program from EPA's stormwater construction permit program. (http://cfpub1.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/cgp.cfm)

a. Permittees shall implement and enforce a program to reduce pollutants in any stormwater runoff discharged to the MS4 from all construction activities that result in a land disturbance of greater than or equal to one acre within the regulated area. The permittee's program shall include disturbances less than one acre if that disturbance is part of a larger common plan of development or sale that would disturb one or more acres. Permittees authorized under the MS4-2003 permit shall continue to implement and enforce their existing program and modify as necessary to meet the requirements of this part.

b. The permittee does not need to apply its construction program requirements to projects that receive a waiver from EPA under the provisions of 40 CFR § 122.26(b) (15) (i).

c. The permittee shall develop and implement a construction site runoff control program that includes the elements in Paragraphs i. through v. of this part:

i. An ordinance or regulatory mechanism that requires the use of sediment and erosion control practices at construction sites. In addition to addressing sediment and erosion control, the ordinance must include controls for other wastes on construction sites such as demolition debris, litter and sanitary wastes. Development of an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism was a requirement of the MS4-2003 permit (See part II.B.4 and part IV.B.4).The ordinance or other regulatory mechanism required by the MS4-2003 permit shall have been effective by May 1, 2008.

ii. Written (hardcopy or electronic) procedures for site inspections and enforcement of sediment and erosion control measures. If not already existing, these procedures shall be completed within one (1) year from the effective date of the permit. The procedures shall clearly define who is responsible for site inspections as well as who has authority to implement enforcement procedures. The program shall provide that the permittee may, to the extent authorized by law, impose sanctions to ensure compliance with the local program. These procedures and regulatory authorities shall be documented in the SWMP.

iii. Requirements for construction site operators performing land disturbance activities within the MS4 jurisdiction that result in stormwater discharges to the MS4 to implement a sediment and erosion control program that includes BMPs appropriate for the conditions at the construction site. The program may include references to BMP

design standards in state manuals, such as the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook[9], or design standards developed by the MS4. EPA supports and encourages the use of design standards in local programs. Examples of appropriate sediment and erosion control measures for construction sites include local requirements to:

1. Minimize the amount of disturbed area and protect natural resources;
2. Stabilize sites when projects are complete or operations have temporarily ceased;
3. Protect slopes on the construction site;
4. Protect all storm drain inlets and armor all newly constructed outlets;
5. Use perimeter controls at the site;
6. Stabilize construction site entrances and exits to prevent off-site tracking;
7. Inspect stormwater controls at consistent intervals.

iv. Requirements for construction site operators within the MS4 jurisdiction to control wastes, including but not limited to, discarded building materials, concrete truck wash out, chemicals, litter, and sanitary wastes. These wastes may not be discharged to the MS4.

v. Written procedures for site plan review and inspection and enforcement. If not already existing, the procedures for site plan review and inspection and enforcement shall be completed within one (1) year from the effective date of the permit. The site plan review procedure shall include a pre-construction review by the permittee of the site design, the planned operations at the construction site, planned BMPs during the construction phase, and the planned BMPs to be used to manage runoff created after development. The review procedure shall incorporate procedures for the consideration of potential water quality impacts, and procedures for the receipt and consideration of information submitted by the public. The site plan review procedure shall also include evaluation of opportunities for use of low impact design and green infrastructure. When the opportunity exists, the permittee shall encourage project proponents to incorporate these practices into the site design. The procedures for site inspections conducted by the permittee shall include the requirement that inspections occur during construction of BMPs as well as after construction of BMPs to ensure they are working as described in the approved plans, clearly defined procedures for inspections including qualifications necessary to perform the inspections, the use of mandated inspection forms if appropriate, and procedure for tracking the number of site reviews, inspections, and enforcement actions. This tracking information shall be included as part of each annual report required by part 4.4.

2.3.6. Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment (Post Construction Stormwater Management)

Objective: The objective of this control measure is to reduce the discharge of pollutants found in stormwater through the retention or treatment of stormwater after construction on new or redeveloped sites. For the purposes of this part (2.3.6.), the following definitions apply:

site is defined as the area extent of construction activities, including but not limited to the creation of new impervious cover and improvement of existing impervious cover (e.g. repaving not covered by 2.3.6.a.ii.4.d.)

[9] The handbook is available at: http://www.mass.gov/dep/water/laws/policies.htm#storm

new development is defined as any construction activities or land alteration resulting in total earth disturbances equal to or greater than 1 acre (or activities that are part of a larger common plan of development disturbing greater than 1 acre) on an area that has not previously been developed to include impervious cover.

redevelopment is defined as any construction, land alteration, or improvement of impervious surfaces resulting in total earth disturbances equal to or greater than 1 acre (or activities that are part of a larger common plan of development disturbing greater than 1 acre) that does not meet the definition of new development (see above).

a. Permittees shall develop, implement, and enforce a program to address post-construction stormwater runoff from all new development and redevelopment sites that disturb one or more acres and discharge into the permittees MS4 at a minimum. Permittees authorized under the MS4-2003 permit shall continue to implement and enforce their program and modify as necessary to meet the requirements of this part.

i. The permittee's new development/ redevelopment program shall include sites less than one acre if the site is part of a larger common plan of development or redevelopment which disturbs one or more acre.

ii. The permittee shall develop or modify, as appropriate, an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism within two (2) years of the effective date of the permit to contain provisions that are at least as stringent as the following:

1. Low Impact Development (LID) site planning and design strategies must be used to the maximum extent feasible.

2. The design of treatment and infiltration practices should follow the guidance in Volume 2 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook, as amended, or other federally or State approved[10] BMP design guidance.

3. Stormwater management systems on new development sites shall be designed to:

a) Not allow new stormwater conveyances to discharge untreated stormwater in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard 1;
b) Control peak runoff rates in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard 2[11];
c) Recharge groundwater in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard 3[12];
d) Eliminate or reduce the discharge of pollutants from land uses with higher pollutant loads as defined in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard 5;
e) Protect Zone II or Interim Wellhead Protection Areas of public water supplies in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard 6[13];

[10] State approved includes any state in the United States, including, but not limited to, approved guidance by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts
[11] Requirement necessary for Section 401 water quality certification by Massachusetts
[12] Requirement necessary for Section 401 water quality certification by Massachusetts
[13] Requirement necessary for Section 401 water quality certification by Massachusetts

f) Implement long term maintenance practices in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard 9; and
g) Require that all stormwater management systems be designed to:
    1) Retain the volume of runoff equivalent to, or greater than, one (1.0) inch multiplied by the total post-construction impervious surface area on the site AND/OR
    2) Remove 90% of the average annual load of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) generated from the total post-construction impervious area on the site[14] AND 60% of the average annual load of Total Phosphorus (TP) generated from the total post-construction impervious surface area on the site[14]. Pollutant removal shall be calculated consistent with EPA Region 1's BMP Performance Extrapolation Tool or other BMP performance evaluation tool provided by EPA Region 1, where available. If EPA Region 1 tools do not address the planned or installed BMP performance any federally or State approved[15] BMP design guidance or performance standards (e.g. State stormwater handbooks and design guidance manuals) may be used to calculate BMP performance.

4. Redevelopment Requirements
    a) Stormwater management systems on Redevelopment sites shall meet the following sections of part 2.3.6.a.ii.3 to the maximum extent feasible:
        1) Part 2.3.6.a.ii.3(a) (Massachusetts Stormwater Standard 1);
        2) Part 2.3.6.a.ii.3(b) (Massachusetts Stormwater Standard 2);
        3) Part 2.3.6.a.ii.3(c) (Massachusetts Stormwater Standard 3); and
        4) The pretreatment and structural best management practices requirements of 2.3.6.a.ii.3(d) and 2.3.6.a.ii.3(e) (Massachusetts Stormwater Standards 5 and 6).
    b) Stormwater management systems on Redevelopment sites shall also improve existing conditions by requiring that stormwater management systems be designed to:
        1) Retain the volume of runoff equivalent to, or greater than, 0.80 inch multiplied by the total post-construction impervious surface area on the site AND/OR
        2) Remove 80% of the average annual post-construction load of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) generated from the total post-construction impervious area on the site AND 50% of the average annual load of Total Phosphorus (TP) generated from the total post-construction impervious surface area on the site. Pollutant removal shall be calculated consistent with EPA Region 1's BMP Performance Extrapolation Tool or other BMP performance evaluation tool provided by EPA Region 1 where available. If EPA Region 1 tools do not address the planned or installed BMP performance any federally or State approved BMP design guidance or performance standards (e.g. State stormwater handbooks and design guidance manuals) may be used to calculate BMP performance.
    c) Stormwater management systems on redevelopment sites may utilize offsite mitigation within the same USGS HUC10 as the redevelopment site

[14] The required removal percentage is not required for each storm,it is the average removal over a year that is required
[15] See footnote 14

to meet the equivalent retention or pollutant removal requirements in part 2.3.6.a.ii.4(b).

d) Redevelopment activities that are exclusively limited to maintenance and improvement of existing roadways, (including widening less than a single lane, adding shoulders, correcting substandard intersections, improving existing drainage systems, and repaving projects) shall improve existing conditions where feasible and are exempt from part 2.3.6.a.ii.4(a), part 2.3.6.a.ii.4(b) and part 2.3.6.a.ii.4(c). Roadway widening or improvements that increase the amount of impervious area on the redevelopment site by greater than or equal to a single lane width shall meet the requirements of part 2.3.6.a.ii.4(a) – (c)fully.

iii. The permittee shall require, at a minimum, the submission of as-built drawings no later than two (2) years after completion of construction projects. The as-built drawings must depict all on site controls, both structural and non-structural, designed to manage the stormwater associated with the completed site (post construction stormwater management). The new development/redevelopment program shall have procedures to ensure adequate long-term operation and maintenance of stormwater management practices that are put in place after the completion of a construction project. These procedures may include the use of dedicated funds or escrow accounts for development projects or the acceptance of ownership by the permittee of all privately owned BMPs. These procedures may also include the development of maintenance contracts between the owner of the BMP and the permittee. Alternatively, these procedures may include the submission of an annual certification documenting the work that has been done over the last 12 months to properly operate and maintain the stormwater control measures. The procedures to require submission of as-built drawings and ensure long term operation and maintenance shall be a part of the SWMP. The permittee shall report in the annual report on the measures that the permittee has utilized to meet this requirement.

b. Within four (4) years of the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall develop a report assessing current street design and parking lot guidelines and other local requirements that affect the creation of impervious cover. This assessment shall be used to provide information to allow the permittee to determine if changes to design standards for streets and parking lots can be made to support low impact design options. If the assessment indicates that changes can be made, the assessment shall include recommendations and proposed schedules to incorporate policies and standards into relevant documents and procedures to minimize impervious cover attributable to parking areas and street designs. The permittee shall implement all recommendations, in accordance with the schedules, contained in the assessment. The local planning board and local transportation board should be involved in this assessment. This assessment shall be part of the SWMP. The permittee shall report in each annual report on the status of this assessment including any planned or completed changes to local regulations and guidelines.

c. Within four (4) years from the effective date of the permit, the permittee shall develop a report assessing existing local regulations to determine the feasibility of making, at a minimum, the following practices allowable when appropriate site conditions exist:

i. Green roofs;

ii. Infiltration practices such as rain gardens, curb extensions, planter gardens, porous and pervious pavements, and other designs to manage stormwater using landscaping and structured or augmented soils; and

iii. Water harvesting devices such as rain barrels and cisterns, and the use of stormwater for non-potable uses.

The assessment should indicate if the practices are allowed in the MS4 jurisdiction and under what circumstances are they allowed. If the practices are not allowed, the permittee shall determine what hinders the use of these practices, what changes in local regulations may be made to make them allowable, and provide a schedule for implementation of recommendations. The permittee shall implement all recommendations, in accordance with the schedules, contained in the assessment. The permittee shall report in each annual report on its findings and progress towards making the practices allowable.(Information available at: http://www.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdf/AddressingBarrier2LID.pdf and http://www.mapc.org/resources/low-impact-dev-toolkit/local-codes-lid)

d. Four (4) years from the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall identify a minimum of 5 permittee-owned properties that could potentially be modified or retrofitted with BMPs designed to reduce the frequency, volume, and pollutant loads of stormwater discharges to and from its MS4 through the reduction of impervious area. Properties and infrastructure for consideration shall include those with the potential for reduction of on-site impervious area (IA) as well as those that could provide reduction of off-site IA. At a minimum, the permittee shall consider municipal properties with significant impervious cover (including parking lots, buildings, and maintenance yards) that could be modified or retrofitted. MS4 infrastructure to be considered includes existing street right-of-ways, outfalls and conventional stormwater conveyances and controls (including swales and detention practices) that could be readily modified or retrofitted to provide reduction in frequency, volume or pollutant loads of such discharges through reduction of impervious cover.

In determining the potential for modifying or retrofitting particular properties, the permittee shall consider factors such as access for maintenance purposes; subsurface geology; depth to water table; proximity to aquifers and subsurface infrastructure including sanitary sewers and septic systems; and opportunities for public use and education. In determining its priority ranking, the permittee shall consider factors such as schedules for planned capital improvements to storm and sanitary sewer infrastructure and paving projects; current storm sewer level of service; and control of discharges to water quality limited waters, first or second order streams, public swimming beaches, drinking water supply sources and shellfish growing areas.

Beginning with the fifth year annual report and in each subsequent annual report, the permittee shall identify additional permittee owned sites and infrastructure that could be retrofitted such that the permittee maintains a minimum of 5 sites in their inventory, until such a time as when the permittee has less than 5 sites remaining. In addition, the permittee shall report on all properties that have been modified or retrofitted with BMPs to mitigate IA that were inventoried in accordance with this part. The permittee may also include in its annual report non-MS4 owned property that has been modified or retrofitted with BMPs to mitigate IA.

2.3.7. Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations

Objective: The permittee shall implement an operations and maintenance program for permittee-owned operations that has a goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff and protecting water quality from all permittee-owned operations.

a. Operations and Maintenance Programs

i. Within two (2) years from the effective date of the permit, the permittee shall develop, if not already developed, written (hardcopy or electronic) operations and maintenance

procedures for the municipal activities listed below in part 2.3.7.a.ii. These written procedures shall be included as part of the SWMP.

ii. Within two (2) year of the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall develop an inventory of all permittee owned facilities within the categories listed below. The permittee shall review this inventory annually and update as necessary.

1. Parks and open space: Establish procedures to address the proper use, storage, and disposal of pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers including minimizing the use of these products and using only in accordance manufacturer's instruction. Evaluate lawn maintenance and landscaping activities to ensure practices are protective of water quality. Protective practices include reduced mowing frequencies, proper disposal of lawn clippings, and use of alternative landscaping materials (e.g., drought resistant planting). Establish pet waste handling collection and disposal locations at all parks and open space where pets are permitted, including the placing of proper signage concerning the proper collection and disposal of pet waste. Establish procedures to address waterfowl congregation areas where appropriate to reduce waterfowl droppings from entering the MS4. Establish procedures for management of trash containers at parks and open space (scheduled cleanings; sufficient number). Establish procedures to address erosion or poor vegetative cover when the permittee becomes aware of it; especially if the erosion is within 50 feet of a surface water.

2. Buildings and facilities where pollutants are exposed to stormwater runoff: This includes schools (to the extent they are permittee-owned or operated), town offices, police, and fire stations, municipal pools and parking garages and other permittee-owned or operated buildings or facilities. Evaluate the use, storage, and disposal of petroleum products and other potential stormwater pollutants. Provide employee training as necessary so that those responsible for handling these products know proper procedures. Ensure that Spill Prevention Plans are in place, if applicable, and coordinate with the fire department as necessary. Develop management procedures for dumpsters and other waste management equipment. Sweep parking lots and keep areas surrounding the facilities clean to reduce runoff of pollutants.

3. Vehicles and Equipment: Establish procedures for the storage of permittee vehicles. Vehicles with fluid leaks shall be stored indoors or containment shall be provided until repaired. Evaluate fueling areas owned or operated by the permittee. If possible, place fueling areas under cover in order to minimize exposure. Establish procedures to ensure that vehicle wash waters are not discharged to the municipal storm sewer system or to surface waters. This permit does not authorize such discharges.

iii. Infrastructure Operations and Maintenance

1. The permittee shall establish within two (2) year of the effective date of the permit a written (hardcopy or electronic) program detailing the activities and procedures the permittee will implement so that the MS4 infrastructure is maintained in a timely manner to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4. If the permittee has an existing program to maintain its MS4 infrastructure

in a timely manner to reduce or eliminate the discharge of pollutants from the MS4, the permittee shall document the program in the SWMP.

2. The permittee shall optimize routine inspections, cleaning and maintenance of catch basins such that the following conditions are met:

   - Prioritize inspection and maintenance for catch basins located near construction activities (roadway construction, residential, commercial, or industrial development or redevelopment). Clean catch basins in such areas more frequently if inspection and maintenance activities indicate excessive sediment or debris loadings.
   - Establish a schedule with a goal that the frequency of routine cleaning will ensure that no catch basin at anytime will be more than 50 percent full.
   - If a catch basin sump is more than 50 percent full during two consecutive routine inspections/cleaning events, the permittee shall document that finding, investigate the contributing drainage area for sources of excessive sediment loading, and to the extent practicable, abate contributing sources. The permittee shall describe any actions taken in its annual report.
   - For the purposes of this part, an excessive sediment or debris loading is a catch basin sump more than 50 percent full. A catch basin sump is more than 50 percent full if the contents within the sump exceed one half the distance between the bottom interior of the catch basin to the invert of the deepest outlet of the catch basin.
   - The permittee shall document in the SWMP and in the first annual report its plan for optimizing catch basin cleaning, inspection plans, or its schedule for gathering information to develop the optimization plan. Documentation shall include metrics and other information used to reach the determination that the established plan for cleaning and maintenance is optimal for the MS4. The permittee shall keep a log of catch basins cleaned or inspected.
   - The permittee shall report in each annual report the total number of catch basins, number inspected, number cleaned, and the total volume or mass of material removed from all catch basins.

3. The permittee shall establish and implement procedures for sweeping and/or cleaning streets, and permittee-owned parking lots. All streets with the exception of rural uncurbed roads with no catch basins or high speed limited access highways shall be swept and/or cleaned a minimum of once per year in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding). The procedures shall also include more frequent sweeping of targeted areas determined by the permittee on the basis of pollutant load reduction potential, based on inspections, pollutant loads, catch basin cleaning or inspection results, land use, water quality limited or TMDL waters or other relevant factors as determined by the permittee. The permittee shall report in each annual report the number of miles cleaned or the volume or mass of material removed.

   For rural uncurbed roadways with no catch basins and limited access highways, the permittee shall either meet the minimum frequencies above, or develop and implement an inspection, documentation and targeted sweeping plan within two (2) years of the effective date of the permit, and submit such plan with its year one annual report.

4. The permittee shall ensure proper storage of catch basin cleanings and street sweepings prior to disposal or reuse such that they do not discharge to receiving waters. These materials should be managed in compliance with current MassDEP policies:

   - For catch basins cleanings: http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/recycle/regulations/management-of-catch-basin-cleanings.html
   - For street sweepings: http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/recycle/laws/stsweep.pdf.

5. The permittee shall establish and implement procedures for winter road maintenance including the use and storage of salt and sand; minimize the use of sodium chloride and other salts, and evaluate opportunities for use of alternative materials; and ensure that snow disposal activities do not result in disposal of snow into waters of the United States. For purposes of this MS4 Permit, salt shall mean any chloride-containing material used to treat paved surfaces for deicing, including sodium chloride, calcium chloride, magnesium chloride, and brine solutions.

6. The permittee shall establish and implement inspection and maintenance frequencies and procedures for all stormwater treatment structures such as water quality swales, retention/detention basins, infiltration structures, proprietary treatment devices or other similar structures. All permittee-owned stormwater treatment structures (excluding catch basins) shall be inspected annually at a minimum.

iv. The permittee shall report in the annual report on the status of the inventory required by this part and any subsequent updates; the status of the O&M programs for the permittee-owned facilities and activities in part 2.3.7.a.ii; and the maintenance activities associated with each.

v. The permittee shall keep a written (hardcopy or electronic) record of all required activities including but not limited to maintenance activities, inspections and training required by part 2.3.7.a. The permittee shall maintain, consistent with part 4.2.a, all records associated with maintenance and inspection activities required by part 2.3.7.a.

b. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)

The permittee shall develop and fully implement a SWPPP for each of the following permittee-owned or operated facilities: maintenance garages, public works yards, transfer stations, and other waste handling facilities where pollutants are exposed to stormwater as determined by the permittee. If facilities are located at the same property, the permittee may develop one SWPPP for the entire property. The SWPPP is a separate and different document from the SWMP required in part 1.10. A SWPPP does not need to be developed for a facility if the permittee has either developed a SWPPP or received a no exposure certification for the discharge under the Multi-Sector General Permit or the discharge is authorized under another NPDES permit.

i. No later than two (2) years from the effective date of the permit, the permittee shall develop and implement a written (hardcopy or electronic) SWPPP for the facilities

described above. The SWPPP shall be signed in accordance with the signatory requirements of Appendix B – Subparagraph 11.

ii. The SWPPP shall contain the following elements:

1. Pollution Prevention Team
   Identify the staff on the team, by name and title. If the position is unstaffed, the title of the position should be included and the SWPPP updated when the position is filled. The role of the team is to develop, implement, maintain, and revise, as necessary, the SWPPP for the facility.

2. Description of the facility and identification of potential pollutant sources
   The SWPPP shall include a map of the facility and a description of the activities that occur at the facility. The map shall show the location of the stormwater outfalls, receiving waters, and any structural controls. Identify all activities that occur at the facility and the potential pollutants associated with each activity including the location of any floor drains. These may be included as part of the inventory required by part 2.3.7.a.

3. Identification of stormwater controls
   The permittee shall select, design, install, and implement the control measures detailed in paragraph 4 below to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants from the permittee owned facility.

   The selection, design, installation, and implementation of the control measures shall be in accordance with good engineering practices and manufacturer's specifications. The permittee shall also take all reasonable steps to control or address the quality of discharges from the site that may not originate at the facility.

   If the discharge from the facility is to a water quality limited water and the facility has the potential to discharge the pollutant identified as causing the water quality limitation, the permittee shall identify the control measures that will be used to address this pollutant at the facility so that the discharge does not cause or contribute to a violation of a water quality standard.

4. The SWPPP shall include the following management practices:
   a) Minimize or Prevent Exposure: The permittee shall to the extent practicable either locate materials and activities inside, or protect them with storm-resistant coverings in order to prevent exposure to rain, snow, snowmelt and runoff (although significant enlargement of impervious surface area is not recommended). Materials do not need to be enclosed or covered if stormwater runoff from affected areas will not be discharged directly or indirectly to surface waters or to the MS4 or if discharges are authorized under another NPDES permit.

   b) Good Housekeeping: The permittee shall keep clean all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants, using such measures as sweeping at regular intervals. Ensure that trash containers are closed when not in use, keep storage areas well swept and free from leaking or damaged containers; and store leaking vehicles needing repair indoors.

c) Preventative Maintenance: The permittee shall regularly inspect, test, maintain, and repair all equipment and systems to avoid situations that may result in leaks, spills, and other releases of pollutants in stormwater to receiving waters. Inspections shall occur at a minimum once per quarter.

d) Spill Prevention and Response: The permittee shall minimize the potential for leaks, spills, and other releases that may be exposed to stormwater and develop plans for effective response to such spills if or when they occur. At a minimum, the permittee shall have procedures that include:

- Preventive measures such as barriers between material storage and traffic areas, secondary containment provisions, and procedures for material storage and handling.
- Response procedures that include notification of appropriate facility personnel, emergency agencies, and regulatory agencies, and procedures for stopping, containing, and cleaning up leaks, spills and other releases. Measures for cleaning up hazardous material spills or leaks shall be consistent with applicable Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) regulations at 40 CFR section 264 and 40 CFR section 265. Employees who may cause, detect, or respond to a spill or leak shall be trained in these procedures and have necessary spill response equipment available. If possible, one of these individuals should be a member of the Pollution Prevention Team; and
- Contact information for individuals and agencies that shall be notified in the event of a leak, spill, or other release. Where a leak, spill, or other release containing a hazardous substance or oil in an amount equal to or in excess of a reportable quantity established under 40 CFR section 110, 40 CFR section 117, or 40 CFR section 302, occurs during a 24-hour period, the permittee shall notify the National Response Center (NRC) at (800) 424-8802 in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR section 110, 40 CFR section 117, and 40 CFR section 302 as soon as the permittee has knowledge of the discharge. State or local requirements may necessitate reporting spills or discharges to local emergency, public health or drinking water supply agencies, and owners of public drinking water supplies. Contact information shall be in locations that are readily accessible and available.

e) Erosion and Sediment Control: The permittee shall use structural and non-structural control measures at the facility to stabilize and contain runoff from exposed areas and to minimize or eliminate onsite erosion and sedimentation. Efforts to achieve this may include the use of flow velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and within outfall channels where necessary to reduce erosion.

f) Management of Runoff: The permittee shall manage stormwater runoff from the facility to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants. This may include management practices which divert runoff from areas that are potential sources of pollutants, contain runoff in such areas, or reuse, infiltrate or treat stormwater to reduce the discharge of pollutants.

g) Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt: For storage piles of salt or piles containing salt used for deicing or other purposes (including maintenance of paved surfaces) for which the discharge during precipitation events discharges to the permittee's MS4, any other storm sewer system, or to a Water of the US, the permittee shall prevent exposure of the storage pile to precipitation by enclosing or covering the storage piles. Such piles shall be enclosed or covered within two (2) years of the permit effective date. The permittee shall implement appropriate measures (e.g., good housekeeping, diversions, containment) to minimize exposure resulting from adding to or removing materials from the pile. The permittee is encouraged to store piles in such a manner as not to impact surface water resources, ground water resources, recharge areas, and wells.

h) Employee Training: The permittee shall regularly train employees who work in areas where materials or activities are exposed to stormwater, or who are responsible for implementing activities identified in the SWPPP (e.g., inspectors, maintenance personnel), including all members of the Pollution Prevention Team. Training shall cover both the specific components and scope of the SWPPP and the control measures required under this part, including spill response, good housekeeping, material management practices, any best management practice operation and maintenance, etc. EPA recommends annual training.

The permittee shall document the following information for each training:

- The training date, title and training duration;
- List of municipal attendees;
- Subjects covered during training

i) Maintenance of Control Measures: The permittee shall maintain all control measures, required by this permit in effective operating condition. The permittee shall keep documentation onsite that describes procedures and a regular schedule for preventative maintenance of all control measures and discussions of back-up practices in place should a runoff event occur while a control measure is off-line. Nonstructural control measures shall also be diligently maintained (e.g., spill response supplies available, personnel trained).

iii. The permittee shall conduct the following inspections:

1. Site Inspections: Inspect all areas that are exposed to stormwater and all stormwater control measures. Inspections shall be conducted at least once each calendar quarter. More frequent inspections may be required if significant activities are exposed to stormwater. Inspections shall be performed when the

facility is in operation. At least one of the quarterly inspections shall occur during a period when a stormwater discharge is occurring.

The permittee shall document the following information for each facility inspection:

- The inspection date and time;
- The name of the inspector;
- Weather information and a description of any discharge occurring at the time of the inspection;
- Identification of any previously unidentified discharges from the site;
- Any control measures needing maintenance or repair;
- Any failed control measures that need replacement.
- Any SWPPP changes required as a result of the inspection.

If during the inspections, or any other time, the permittee identifies control measures that need repair or are not operating effectively, the permittee shall repair or replace them before the next anticipated storm event if possible, or as soon as practicable following that storm event. In the interim, the permittee shall have back-up measures in place.

The permittee shall report the findings from the Site Inspections in the annual report.

iv. The permittee must keep a written (hardcopy or electronic) record of all required activities including but not limited to maintenance, inspections, and training required by part 2.3.7.b.The permittee shall maintain all records associated with the development and implementation of the SWPPP required by this part consistent with the requirements of part 4.2.

3.0. Additional Requirements for Discharges to Surface Drinking Water Supplies and Their Tributaries

a. Permittees which discharge to public surface drinking water supply sources (Class A and Class B surface waters used for drinking water) or their tributaries should consider these waters a priority in the implementation of the SWMP.

b. Permittees should provide pretreatment and spill control measures to stormwater discharges to public drinking water supply sources or their tributaries to the extent feasible.

c. Direct discharges to Class A waters should be avoided to the extent feasible.

4.0. Program Evaluation, Record Keeping, and Reporting

4.1. Program Evaluation

a. The permittee shall annually self-evaluate its compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit and submit each self-evaluation in the Annual Report. The permittee shall also maintain the annual evaluation documentation as part of the SWMP.

b. The permittee shall evaluate the appropriateness of the selected BMPs in achieving the objectives of each control measure and the defined measurable goals. Where a BMP is found to be ineffective the permittee shall change BMPs in accordance with the provisions below. In addition, permittees may augment or change BMPs at any time following the provisions below:

- Changes adding (but not subtracting or replacing) components or controls may be made at any time.
- Changes replacing an ineffective or infeasible BMP specifically identified in the SWMP with an alternative BMP may be made as long as the basis for the changes is documented in the SWMP by, at a minimum:
    - An analysis of why the BMP is ineffective or infeasible;
    - Expectations on the effectiveness of the replacement BMP; and
    - An analysis of why the replacement BMP is expected to achieve the defined goals of the BMP to be replaced.

The permittee shall indicate BMP modifications along with a brief explanation of the modification in each Annual Report.

c. EPA or MassDEP may require the permittee to add, modify, repair, replace or change BMPs or other measures described in the annual reports as needed:

- To address impacts to receiving water quality caused or contributed to by discharges from the MS4; or
- To satisfy conditions of this permit

Any changes requested by EPA or MassDEP will be in writing and will set forth the schedule for the permittee to develop the changes and will offer the permittee the opportunity to propose alternative program changes to meet the objective of the requested modification.

4.2. Record Keeping

a. The permittee shall keep all records required by this permit for a period of at least five years. EPA may extend this period at any time. Records include information used in the development of any written (hardcopy or electronic) program required by this permit, any monitoring results, copies of reports, records of screening, follow-up and elimination of illicit discharges; maintenance records; inspection records; and data used in the development of the notice of intent, SWMP, SWPPP, and annual reports. This list provides examples of records that should be maintained, but is not all inclusive.

b. Records other than those required to be included in the annual report, part 4.4, shall be submitted only when requested by the EPA or the MassDEP.

c. The permittee shall make the records relating to this permit, including the written (hardcopy or electronic) stormwater management program, available to the public. The public may view the records during normal business hours. The permittee may charge a reasonable fee for copying requests. The permittee is encouraged to satisfy this requirement by posting records online.

4.3. Outfall Monitoring Reporting

a. The permittee shall monitor and sample its outfalls at a minimum through sampling and testing at the frequency and locations required in connection with IDDE screening under part 2.3.4.7.b. and 2.3.4.8.c.ii.2. The monitoring program may also include additional outfall and interconnection monitoring as determined by the permittee in connection with assessment of SWMP effectiveness pursuant to part 4.1; evaluation of discharges to water quality limited waters pursuant to part 2.2; assessment of BMP effectiveness pursuant to part 2.2 or 2.3; or otherwise.

b. The permittee shall document all monitoring results each year in the annual report. The report shall include the date, outfall or interconnection identifier, location, weather conditions at time of sampling, precipitation in previous 48 hours, field screening parameter results, and results of all analyses. The annual report shall include all of this information and data for the current reporting period and for the entire permit period.

c. The permittee shall also include in the annual report results from any other stormwater or receiving water quality monitoring or studies conducted during the reporting period where that data is being used by the permittee to inform permit compliance or program effectiveness. If such monitoring or studies were conducted on behalf of the permittee, or if monitoring or studies conducted by other entities were reported to the permittee, a brief description of the type of information gathered or received shall be included in the annual report(s) covering the time period(s) the information was received.

4.4. Annual Reports

a. The permittee shall submit annual reports each year of the permit term. The reporting period will be a one year period commencing on the permit effective date, and subsequent anniversaries thereof, except that the first annual report under this permit shall also cover the period from May 1, [year of final permit effective date] to the permit effective date. The annual report is due ninety days from the close of each reporting period.

b. The annual reports shall contain the following information:

i. A self-assessment review of compliance with the permit terms and conditions.

ii. An assessment of the appropriateness of the selected BMPs.

iii. The status of any plans or activities required by part 2.1 and/ or part 2.2, including:

- Identification of all discharges determined to be causing or contributing to an exceedance of water quality standards and description of response including all items required by part 2.1.1;
- For discharges subject to TMDL related requirements, identification of specific BMPs used to address the pollutant identified as the cause of impairment and assessment of the BMPs effectiveness at controlling the pollutant (part 2.2.1. and Appendix F) and any deliverables required by Appendix F;
- For discharges to water quality limited waters a description of each BMP required by Appendix H and any deliverables required by Appendix H.

iv. An assessment of the progress towards achieving the measurable goals and objectives of each control measure in part 2.3 including:

- Evaluation of the public education program including a description of the targeted messages for each audience; method of distribution and dates of distribution; methods used to evaluate the program; and any changes to the program.
- Description of the activities used to promote public participation including documentation of compliance with state public notice regulations.
- Description of the activities related to implementation of the IDDE program including: status of the map; status and results of the illicit discharge potential ranking and assessment; identification of problem catchments; status of all protocols described in part 2.3.4.(program responsibilities and systematic procedure); number and identifier of catchments evaluated; number and identifier of outfalls screened; number of illicit discharges located; number of illicit discharges removed; gallons of flow removed; identification of tracking indicators and measures of progress based on those indicators; and employee training.
- Evaluation of the construction runoff management including number of project plans reviewed; number of inspections; and number of enforcement actions.
- Evaluation of stormwater management for new development and redevelopment including status of ordinance development (2.3.6.a.ii.), review and status of the street design assessment(2.3.6.b.), assessments to barriers to green infrastructure (2.3.6.c), and retrofit inventory status (2.3.6.d.)
- Status of the O&M Programs required by part 2.3.7.a.
- Status of SWPPP required by part 2.3.7.b. including inspection results.
- Any additional reporting requirements in part 3.0.

v. All outfall screening and monitoring data collected by or on behalf of the permittee during the reporting period and cumulative for the permit term, including but not limited to all data collected pursuant to part 2.3.4. The permittee shall also provide a description of any additional monitoring data received by the permittee during the reporting period.

vi. Description of activities for the next reporting cycle.

vii. Description of any changes in identified BMPs or measurable goals.

viii. Description of activities undertaken by any entity contracted for achieving any measurable goal or implementing any control measure.

c. Reports shall be submitted to EPA at the following address:

United State Environmental Protection Agency
Stormwater and Construction Permits Section (OEP06-1)
Five Post Office Square, Suite 100
Boston, MA 02109

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
One Winter Street – 5th Floor
Boston, MA 02108
ATTN: Frederick Civian

Or submitted electronically to EPA at the following email address: stormwater.reports@epa.gov. After December 21, 2020 all Annual Reports must be submitted electronically.

5.0. Non-Traditional MS4s

Non-traditional MS4s are MS4s owned and operated by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, counties or other public agencies within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and properties owned and operated by the United States (Federal Facilities) within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. This part addresses all non-traditional MS4s except MS4s that are owned or operated by transportation agencies, which are addressed in part 6.0 below.

5.1. Requirements for Non-Traditional MS4s

All requirements and conditions of parts 1 – 4 above apply to all Non-traditional MS4s, except as specifically provided below:

5.1.1. Public education

For the purpose of this permit, the audiences for a Non-traditional MS4 include the employees, clients and customers (including students at education MS4s), visitors to the property, tenants, long term contractors and any other contractors working at the facility where the MS4 is located. The permittee may use some of the educational topics included in part 2.3.2.d. as appropriate, or may focus on topics specific to the MS4. The permittee shall document the educational topics for each target audience in the SWMP and annual reports.

5.1.2. Ordinances and regulatory mechanisms

Some Non-traditional MS4s may not have authority to enact an ordinance, by-law, or other regulatory mechanisms. MS4s without the authority to enact an ordinance shall ensure that written policies or procedures are in place to address the requirements of part 2.3.4.5., part 2.3.4.6 and part 2.3.6.a.

5.1.3. Assessment of Regulations

Non-traditional MS4s do not need to meet the requirements of part 2.3.6.c.

5.1.4. New Dischargers

New MS4 facilities are subject to additional water quality-based requirements if they fall within the definition of "new discharger" under 40 CFR § 122.2: "A new discharger is any building, structure, facility or installation (a) from which there is or may be a 'discharge of pollutants' (b) that did not commence the 'discharge of pollutants' at a particular 'site' prior to August 13, 1979; (c) which is not a 'new source'; and (d) which never received a finally effective NPDES permit for discharges at that 'site.' The term "site" is defined in § 122.2 to mean "the land or water area where any 'facility or activity' is physically located or conducted including adjacent land used in connection with the facility or activity."

Consistent with these definitions, a Non-traditional MS4 is a "new discharger" if it discharges stormwater from a new facility with an entirely new separate storm sewer system that is not

physically located on the same or adjacent land as an existing facility and associated system operated by the same MS4.

Any Non-traditional MS4 facility that is a "new discharger" and discharges to a waterbody listed in category 5 or 4b on the Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b) due to nutrients (Total Nitrogen or Total Phosphorus), metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc), solids (TSS or Turbidity), bacteria/pathogens (E. Coli, Enteroccus or Fecal Coliform), chloride (Chloride) or oil and grease (Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease), or discharges to a waterbody with an approved TMDL for any of those pollutants, is not eligible for coverage under this permit and shall apply for an individual permit.

Any Non-traditional MS4 facility that is a "new discharger" and discharges to a waterbody that is in attainment is subject to Massachusetts antidegradation regulations at 314 CMR 4.04. The permittee shall comply with the provisions of 314 CMR 4.04 including information submittal requirements and obtaining authorization for new discharges where appropriate[16]. Any authorization of new discharges by MassDEP shall be incorporated into the permittee's SWMP. If an applicable MassDEP approval specifies additional conditions or requirements, then those requirements are incorporated into this permit by reference. The permittee must comply with all such requirements.

6.0 Requirements for MS4s Owned or Operated by Transportation Agencies

This part applies to all MS4s owned or operated by any state or federal transportation agency (except Massachusetts Department of Transportation –MassDOT- Highway Division, which is subject to a separate individual permit). All requirements and conditions of this permit apply with the following exceptions:

6.1 Public education

For the purpose of this permit, the audiences for a transportation agency education program include the general public (users of the roadways), employees, and any contractors working at the location. The permittee may use some of the educational topics included in part 2.3.2.d. as appropriate, or may focus on topics specific to the agency. The permittee shall document the educational topics for each target audience.

6.2 Ordinances and regulatory mechanisms

The transportation agency may not have authority to enact an ordinance, by-law or other regulatory mechanisms. The agency shall ensure that written agency policies or procedures are in place to address the requirements of part 2.3.4.5., part 2.3.4.6 and part 2.3.6.a.

6.3 Assessment of regulations

Non-traditional MS4s do not need to meet the requirements of part 2.3.6.c.

6.4 New Dischargers

New MS4 facilities are subject to additional water quality-based requirements if they fall within the definition of "new dischargers" under 40 CFR § 122.2: "A new discharger is any building, structure, facility or installation (a) from which there is or may be a 'discharge of pollutants' (b) that did not commence the 'discharge of pollutants' at a particular 'site' prior to August 13, 1979; (c) which is not a 'new source'; and (d) which never received a finally effective NPDES permit for discharges at that 'site.' The term "site" is defined

[16] Contact MassDEP for guidance on compliance with 314 CMR 4.04

in § 122.2 to mean "the land or water area where any 'facility or activity' is physically located or conducted including adjacent land used in connection with the facility or activity."

Consistent with these definitions, a new transportation MS4 is a “new discharger” if it discharges stormwater from a new facility with an entirely new separate storm sewer system that is not physically located on the same or adjacent land as an existing facility and associated system operated by the same MS4.

Any transportation MS4 facility that is a “new discharger” and discharges to a waterbody listed as impaired in category 5 or 4b on the Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b) due to nutrients (Total Nitrogen or Total Phosphorus), metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc), solids (TSS or Turbidity), bacteria/pathogens (E. Coli, Enteroccus or Fecal Coliform), chloride (Chloride) or oil and grease (Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease), or discharges to a waterbody with an approved TMDL for any of those pollutants, is not eligible for coverage under this permit and shall apply for an individual permit.

Any transportation MS4 facility that is a “new discharger” and discharges to a waterbody that is in attainment is subject to Massachusetts antidegradation regulations at 314 CMR 4.04. The permittee shall comply with the provisions of 314 CMR 4.04 including information submittal requirements and obtaining authorization for new discharges where appropriate[17]. Any authorization of new discharges by MassDEP shall be incorporated into the permittee's SWMP. If an applicable MassDEP approval specifies additional conditions or requirements, then those requirements are incorporated into this permit by reference. The permittee must comply with all such requirements.

[17] Contact MassDEP for guidance on compliance with 314 CMR 4.04

Appendix A
Definitions, Abbreviations and Acronyms

Definitions

Best Management Practices (BMPs) - schedules of activities, practices (and prohibitions of practices), structures, vegetation, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States. BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.

Common Plan of Development - A "larger common plan of development or sale" is a contiguous area where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may be taking place at different times on different schedules under one plan. For example, if a developer buys a 20-acre lot and builds roads, installs pipes, and runs electricity with the intention of constructing homes or other structures sometime in the future, this would be considered a larger common plan of development or sale. If the land is parceled off or sold, and construction occurs on plots that are less than one acre by separate, independent builders, this activity still would be subject to stormwater permitting requirements if the smaller plots were included on the original site plan.

Control Measure - refers to any BMP or other method (including effluent limitations) used to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States.

Director - a Regional Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency or an authorized representative.

Discharge - when used without qualification, means the "discharge of a pollutant."

Discharge of a pollutant - any addition of any “pollutant” or combination of pollutants to “waters of the United States” from any “point source,” or any addition of any pollutant or combination of pollutants to the waters of the “contiguous zone” or the ocean from any point source other than a vessel or other floating craft which is being used as a means of transportation. This includes additions of pollutants into waters of the United States from surface runoff which is collected or channeled by man; or discharges through pipes, sewers, or other conveyances, leading into privately owned treatment works.

Discharge-related activities - activities which cause, contribute to, or result in stormwater and allowable non-stormwater point source discharges, and measures such as the siting, construction and operation of BMPs to control, reduce, or prevent pollution in the discharges.

Disturbance - action to alter the existing vegetation and/or underlying soil of a site, such as clearing, grading, site preparation (e.g., excavating, cutting, and filling), soil compaction, and movement and stockpiling of top soils.

Existing Discharger – an operator applying for coverage under this permit for discharges covered previously under an NPDES general or individual permit.

Facility or Activity - any NPDES "point source" or any other facility or activity (including land or appurtenances thereto) that is subject to regulation under the NPDES program.

Federal Facility – Any buildings, installations, structures, land, public works, equipment, aircraft, vessels, and other vehicles and property, owned by, or constructed or manufactured for the purpose of leasing to, the federal government.

Illicit Discharge - any discharge to a municipal separate storm sewer that is not composed entirely of stormwater except discharges pursuant to a NPDES permit (other than the NPDES permit for discharges from the municipal separate storm sewer) and discharges resulting from fire fighting activities.

Impaired Water – A water is impaired if it does not meet one or more of its designated use(s). For purposes of this permit, "impaired" refers to categories 4 and 5 of the five-part categorization approach used for classifying the water quality standards attainment status for water segments under the TMDL program. Impaired waters compilations are also sometimes referred to as "303(d) lists." Category 5 waters are impaired because at least one designated use is not being supported or is threatened and a TMDL is needed. Category 4 waters indicate that at least one designated use is not being supported but a TMDL is not needed (4a indicates that a TMDL has been approved or established by EPA; 4b indicates other required control measures are expected in result in the attainment of water quality standards in a reasonable period of time; and 4c indicates that the non-attainment of the water quality standard is the result of pollution (e.g. habitat) and is not caused by a pollutant). See *USEPA's 2006 Integrated Report Guidance, July 29, 2005* for more detail on the five part categorization of waters [under EPA National TMDL Guidance http://www.epa.gov/owow/tmdl/policy.html]).

Impervious Surface- Any surface that prevents or significantly impedes the infiltration of water into the underlying soil. This can include but is not limited to: roads, driveways, parking areas and other areas created using non porous material; buildings, rooftops, structures, artificial turf and compacted gravel or soil.

Industrial Activity - the ten categories of industrial activities included in the definition of "stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity," as defined in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14)(i)-(ix) and (xi).

Industrial Stormwater - stormwater runoff associated with the definition of "stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity."

Interconnection – the point (excluding sheet flow over impervious surfaces) where the permittee's MS4 discharges to another MS4 or other storm sewer system, through which the discharge is eventually conveyed to a water of the United States. Interconnections shall be treated similarly to outfalls throughout the permit.

Junction Manhole - For the purposes of this permit, a junction manhole is a manhole or structure with two or more inlets accepting flow from two or more MS4 alignments. Manholes with inlets solely from private storm drains, individual catch basins, or both are not considered junction manholes for these purposes.

Key Junction Manhole - For the purposes of this permit, key junction manholes are those junction manholes that can represent one or more junction manholes without compromising adequate implementation of the illicit discharge program. Adequate implementation of the illicit discharge program would not be compromised if the exclusion of a particular junction manhole as a key junction manhole would not affect the permittee's ability to determine the possible presence of an upstream illicit discharge. A permittee may exclude a junction manhole located upstream from another located in the immediate vicinity or that is serving a drainage alignment with no potential for illicit connections.

Municipal Separate Storm Sewer - a conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, man-made channels, or storm drains):

(i) Owned or operated by a State, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to State law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, stormwater, or other wastes, including special districts under State law such as a sewer district, flood control district or drainage district, or similar entity, or an Indian tribe or an authorized Indian tribal organization, or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to waters of the United States;
(ii) Designed or used for collecting or conveying stormwater;
(iii) Which is not a combined sewer; and
(iv) Which is not part of a Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) as defined at 40 CFR 122.2.

Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) - means all separate storm sewers that are defined as "large" or "medium" or "small" municipal storm sewer systems pursuant to paragraphs 40 CFR 122.26 (b)(4) and (b)(7), or designated under paragraph 40 126.26(a) (1)(v). For the purposes of this permit "MS4" may also refer to the permittee with jurisdiction over the sewer system.

New Development – any construction activities or land alteration resulting in total earth disturbances greater than 1 acre (or activities that are part of a larger common plan of development disturbing greater than 1 acre) on an area that has not previously been developed to include impervious cover. (see part 2.3.6. of the permit)

New Discharger – For the purposes of this permit, a new discharger is an entity that discharges stormwater from a new facility with an entirely new separate storm sewer system that is not physically located on the same or adjacent land as an existing facility and associated system operated by the same MS4.

New Source - any building, structure, facility, or installation from which there is or may be a "discharge of pollutants," the construction of which commenced:

- after promulgation of standards of performance under section 306 of the CWA which are applicable to such source, or
- after proposal of standards of performance in accordance with section 306 of the CWA which are applicable to such source, but only if the standards are promulgated in accordance with section 306 within 120 days of their proposal.

New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) – Technology-based standards for facilities that qualify as new sources under 40 CFR 122.2 and 40 CFR 122.29.

No exposure - all industrial materials or activities are protected by a storm-resistant shelter to prevent exposure to rain, snow, snowmelt, and/or runoff.

One Lane Width – The width of the travel lane for a roadway. Lane width does not include shoulders, curbs, and on-street parking areas.

Outfall Catchment – The land area draining to a single outfall or interconnection. The extent of an outfall's catchment is determined not only by localized topography and impervious cover but also by the location of drainage structures and the connectivity of MS4 pipes.

Owner or operator - the owner or operator of any "facility or activity" subject to regulation under the NPDES program.

Person - an individual, association, partnership, corporation, municipality, State or Federal agency, or an agent or employee thereof.

Point source - any discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, landfill leachate collection system, vessel, or other floating craft from which pollutants are or may be discharged. This term does not include return flows from irrigated agriculture or agricultural stormwater runoff.

Pollutant - dredged spoil, solid waste, incinerator residue, filter backwash, sewage, garbage, sewage sludge, munitions, chemical wastes, biological materials, heat, wrecked or discarded equipment, rock, sand, cellar dirt, and industrial, municipal and agricultural waste discharged into water.

Pollutant of concern – A pollutant which causes or contributes to a violation of a water quality standard, including a pollutant which is identified as causing an impairment in a State's 303(d) list.

Redevelopment – for the purposes of part 2.3.6., any construction, land alteration, or improvement of impervious surfaces resulting in total earth disturbances greater than 1

acre (or activities that are part of a larger common plan of development disturbing greater than 1 acre) that does not meet the definition of new development (see above).

Reportable Quantity Release – a release of a hazardous substance at or above the established legal threshold that requires emergency notification. Refer to 40 CFR Parts 110, 177, and 302 for complete definitions and reportable quantities for which notification is required.

Runoff coefficient - the fraction of total rainfall that will appear at the conveyance as runoff.

Significant materials - includes, but is not limited to: raw materials; fuels; materials such as solvents, detergents, and plastic pellets; finished materials such as metallic products; raw materials used in food processing or production; hazardous substances designated under section 101(14) of CERCLA; any chemical the facility is required to report pursuant to section 313 of Title III of SARA; fertilizers; pesticides; and waste products such as ashes, slag and sludge that have the potential to be released with stormwater discharges.

Site – for the purposes of part 2.3.6., the area extent of construction activities, including but not limited to the creation of new impervious cover and improvement of existing impervious cover (e.g. repaving not covered by 2.3.6.a.ii.4.d.)

Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System – all separate storm sewer systems that are (i) owned or operated by the United States, a State, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to State law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, storm water, or other wastes, including special districts under State law such as a sewer district, flood control district, or drainage district, or similar entity or an Indian tribe or an authorized Indian tribal organization or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to waters of the United States, and (ii) not defined as “large” or “medium” municipal separate storm sewer system pursuant to paragraphs 40 CFR 122.26 (b)(4) and (b)(7), or designated under paragraph 40 126.26(a) (1)(v). This term includes systems similar to separate storm sewer systems in municipalities, such as systems at military bases, large hospital or prison complexes, and highways and other thoroughfares. This term does not include separate storm sewers in very discrete areas, such as individual buildings.

Small MS4 – means a small municipal separate storm sewer system.

Stormwater - stormwater runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage.

Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity - a discharge of pollutants in stormwater runoff from areas where soil disturbing activities (e.g., clearing, grading, or excavating), construction materials, or equipment storage or maintenance (e.g., fill piles, borrow areas, concrete truck washout, fueling), or other industrial

stormwater directly related to the construction process (e.g., concrete or asphalt batch plants) are located. (See 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14)(x) and 40 CFR 122.26(b)(15).

Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity - the discharge from any conveyance that is used for collecting and conveying stormwater and that is directly related to manufacturing, processing or raw materials storage areas at an industrial plant. The term does not include discharges from facilities or activities excluded from the NPDES program under Part 122. For the categories of industries identified in this section, the term includes, but is not limited to, stormwater discharges from industrial plant yards; immediate access roads and rail lines used or traveled by carriers of raw materials, manufactured products, waste material, or by-products used or created by the facility; material handling sites; refuse sites; sites used for the application or disposal of process waste waters (as defined at part 401 of this chapter); sites used for the storage and maintenance of material handling equipment; sites used for residual treatment, storage, or disposal; shipping and receiving areas; manufacturing buildings; storage areas (including tank farms) for raw materials, and intermediate and final products; and areas where industrial activity has taken place in the past and significant materials remain and are exposed to stormwater. For the purposes of this paragraph, material handling activities include storage, loading and unloading, transportation, or conveyance of any raw material, intermediate product, final product, by-product or waste product. The term excludes areas located on plant lands separate from the plant's industrial activities, such as office buildings and accompanying parking lots as long as the drainage from the excluded areas is not mixed with stormwater drained from the above described areas. Industrial facilities include those that are federally, State, or municipally owned or operated that meet the description of the facilities listed in Appendix D of this permit. The term also includes those facilities designated under the provisions of 40 CFR 122.26(a)(1)(v).

Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) - A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a pollutant that a waterbody can receive and still meet water quality standards, and an allocation of that amount to the pollutant's sources. A TMDL includes wasteload allocations (WLAs) for point source discharges, load allocations (LAs) for nonpoint sources and/or natural background, and must include a margin of safety (MOS) and account for seasonal variations. (See section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act and 40 CFR 130.2 and 130.7).

Urbanized Area – US Census designated area comprised of a densely settled core of census tracts and/or census blocks that meet minimum population density requirements, along with adjacent territory containing non-residential urban land uses as well as territory with low population density included to link outlying densely settled territory with the densely settled core. For the purposes of this permit, Urbanized Areas as defined by any Census since 2000 remain subject to stormwater regulation even if there is a change in the reach of the Urbanized Area because of a change in more recent Census data.

Water Quality Limited Water – for the purposes of this permit, a water quality limited water is any waterbody that does not meet applicable water quality standards, including but not limited to waters listed in categories 5 or 4b on the Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b).

Water Quality Standards - A water quality standard defines the water quality goals of a water body, or portion thereof, by designating the use or uses to be made of the water and by setting criteria necessary to protect the uses. States and EPA adopt WQS to protect public health or welfare, enhance the quality of water and serve the purposes of the Clean Water Act (See CWA sections 101(a)2 and 303(c)).

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

BMP – Best Management Practice
BPJ – Best Professional Judgment
CGP – Construction General Permit
CWA – Clean Water Act (or the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. §1251 *et seq*)
DCIA – Directly Connected Impervious Area
EPA – U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA – Endangered Species Act
USFWS – U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service
IA – Impervious Area
IDDE – Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination
LA – Load Allocations
MOS – Margin of Safety
MS4 – Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System
MSGP – Multi-Sector General Permit
NHPA – National Historic Preservation Act
NMFS – U. S. National Marine Fisheries Service
NOI – Notice of Intent
NPDES – National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRHP – National Register of Historic Places
NSPS – New Source Performance Standard
NTU – Nephelometric Turbidity Unit
PCP – Phosphorus Control Plan (pertaining to Charles River Watershed phosphorus TMDL requirements only – Appendix F Part A.I)
LPCP – Lake Phosphorus Control Plan (pertaining to Lake or pond phosphorus TMDL requirements only – Appendix F Part A.II)
POTW – Publicly Owned Treatment Works
RCRA – Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
SHPO – State Historic Preservation Officer
SIC – Standard Industrial Classification
SPCC – Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure
SWMP – Stormwater Management Program
SWPPP – Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
TMDL – Total Maximum Daily Load
TSS – Total Suspended Solids

USGS – United States Geological Survey
WLA – Wasteload Allocation
WQS – Water Quality Standard

Appendix B

Standard Permit Conditions

Standard Permit Conditions

Standard permit conditions in Appendix B are consistent with the general permit provisions required under 40 CFR 122.41.

B.1. Duty To Comply

You must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or for denial of a permit renewal application.

A. You must comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the CWA within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.

B. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions: The Director will adjust the civil and administrative penalties listed below in accordance with the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule (61 FR 252, December 31, 1996, pp. 69359-69366, as corrected in 62 FR 54, March 20, 1997, pp.13514-13517) as mandated by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 for inflation on a periodic basis. This rule allows EPA's penalties to keep pace with inflation. The Agency is required to review its penalties at least once every 4 years thereafter and to adjust them as necessary for inflation according to a specified formula. The civil and administrative penalties following were adjusted for inflation starting in 1996.

1. *Criminal Penalties*.

a. *Negligent Violations*. The CWA provides that any person who negligently violates permit conditions implementing Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act is subject to criminal penalties of $2,500 to $25,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than one year, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a negligent violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than $50,000 per day of violation or by imprisonment of not more than two years, or both.

b. *Knowing Violations*. The CWA provides that any person who knowingly violates permit conditions implementing Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act is subject to a fine of not less than $5,000 nor more than $50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 3 years, or both. In the case of a

second or subsequent conviction for a knowing violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than $100,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than 6 years, or both.

c. *Knowing Endangerment.* The CWA provides that any person who knowingly violates permit conditions implementing Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act and who knows at that time that he or she is placing another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury shall upon conviction be subject to a fine of not more than $250,000 or by imprisonment of not more than 15 years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing endangerment violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not more than $500,000 or by imprisonment of not more than 30 years, or both. An organization, as defined in section 309(c)(3)(B)(iii) of the Act, shall, upon conviction of violating the imminent danger provision be subject to a fine of not more than $1,000,000 and can fined up to $2,000,000 for second or subsequent convictions.

d. *False Statement.* The CWA provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under this permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than $10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than 2 years, or both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment is a fine of not more than $20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than 4 years, or both. The Act further provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or non-compliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than $10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 6 months per violation, or by both.

2. *Civil Penalties.* The CWA provides that any person who violates a permit condition implementing Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed the maximum amounts authorized by Section 309(d) of the Act and the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act (28 U.S.C. § 2461 note) as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act (31 U.S.C. § 3701 note) (currently $32,500 per day for each violation).

3. *Administrative Penalties.* The CWA provides that any person who violates a permit condition implementing Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act is subject to an administrative penalty, as follows:

3.1. *Class I Penalty.* Not to exceed the maximum amounts authorized by Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the Act and the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act (28 U.S.C. § 2461 note) as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act (31 U.S.C. § 3701 note) (currently $11,000 per violation, with the maximum amount of any Class I penalty assessed not to exceed $32,500).

3.2. *Class II Penalty.* Not to exceed the maximum amounts authorized by Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Act and the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act (28 U.S.C. § 2461 note) as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act (31 U.S.C. § 3701 note) (currently $11,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, with the maximum amount of any Class II penalty not to exceed $157,500).

B.2. Duty to Reapply

If you wish to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, you must apply for and obtain a new permit.

B.3. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for you in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

B.4. Duty to Mitigate

You must take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.

B.5. Proper Operation and Maintenance

You must at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by you to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit, including the requirements of your SWPPP. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by you only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit.

B.6. Permit Actions

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. Your filing of a request for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.

B.7. Property Rights

This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privileges.

B.8. Duty to Provide Information

You must furnish to EPA or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of EPA), within a reasonable time, any information which EPA may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. You must also furnish to EPA upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.

B.9. Inspection and Entry

You must allow EPA or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of EPA), upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

A. Enter upon your premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;

B. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;

C. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and

D. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Clean Water Act, any substances or parameters at any location.

B.10. Monitoring and Records

A. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring must be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored activity.

B. You must retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit, for a period of at least three years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of EPA at any time.

C. Records of monitoring information must include:

1. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
2. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
3. The date(s) analyses were performed

4. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;

5. The analytical techniques or methods used; and

6. The results of such analyses.

D. Monitoring results must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136 or, in the case of sludge use or disposal, approved under 40 CFR Part 136 unless otherwise specified in 40 CFR Part 503, unless other test procedures have been specified in the permit.

E. The Clean Water Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under this permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than $10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than 2 years, or both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment is a fine of not more than $20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than 4 years, or both.

B.11. Signatory Requirements

A. All applications, including NOIs, must be signed as follows:

1. For a corporation: By a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this subsection, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.

2. For a partnership or sole proprietorship: By a general partner or the proprietor, respectively; or

3. For a municipality, state, federal, or other public agency: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this subsection, a principal executive officer of a federal agency includes (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrator of EPA).

B. All reports, including SWPPPs, inspection reports, annual reports, monitoring reports, reports on training and other information required by this permit must be signed by a person described in Appendix B, Subsection 11.A above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:

1. The authorization is made in writing by a person described in Appendix B, Subsection 11.A;

2. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and

3. The signed and dated written authorization is included in the SWPPP. A copy must be submitted to EPA, if requested.

C. Changes to Authorization. If an authorization under Appendix B, Subsection 11.B is no longer accurate because a different operator has responsibility for the overall operation of the industrial facility, a new NOI satisfying the requirements of Subsection 11.B must be submitted to EPA prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications to be signed by an authorized representative.

D. Any person signing documents required under the terms of this permit must include the following certification:

> "I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

E. The CWA provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or non-compliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than $10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 6 months per violation, or by both.

B.12. Reporting Requirements

A. Planned changes. You must give notice to EPA as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. Notice is required only when:

1. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in 40 CFR §122.29(b); or

2. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under 40 CFR §122.42(a)(1).

B. Anticipated noncompliance. You must give advance notice to EPA of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.

C. Transfers. This permit is not transferable to any person except after notice to EPA. EPA may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Clean Water Act. (See 40 CFR §122.61; in some cases, modification or revocation and reissuance is mandatory.)

D. Monitoring reports. Monitoring results must be reported at the intervals specified elsewhere in this permit.

1. Monitoring results must be reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) or forms (paper or electronic) provided or specified by EPA for reporting results of monitoring of sludge use or disposal practices.

2. If you monitor any pollutant more frequently than required by the permit using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136 or, in the case of sludge use or disposal, approved under 40 CFR Part 136 unless otherwise specified in 40 CFR Part 503, or as specified in the permit, the results of this monitoring must be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR or sludge reporting form specified by EPA.

3. Calculations for all limitations which require averaging of measurements must use an arithmetic mean and non-detected results must be incorporated in calculations as the limit of quantitation for the analysis.

E. Compliance schedules. Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit must be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date.

F. Twenty-four hour reporting.

1. You must report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Any information must be provided orally within 24 hours

from the time you become aware of the circumstances. A written submission must also be provided within five days of the time you become aware of the circumstances. The written submission must contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.

2. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph.

   a. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit. (See 40 CFR §122.41(g).)

   b. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit

   c. Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by EPA in the permit to be reported within 24 hours. (See 40 CFR §122.44(g).)

3. EPA may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis for reports under Appendix B, Subsection 12.F.2 if the oral report has been received within 24 hours.

G. Other noncompliance. You must report all instances of noncompliance not reported under Appendix B, Subsections 12.D, 12.E, and 12.F, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports must contain the information listed in Appendix B, Subsection 12.F.

H. Other information. Where you become aware that you failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Permitting Authority, you must promptly submit such facts or information.

B.13. Bypass

A. Definitions.

1. Bypass means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility

2. Severe property damage means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.

B. Bypass not exceeding limitations. You may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential

maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of Appendix B, Subsections 13.C and 13.D.

C. Notice.

1. Anticipated bypass. If you know in advance of the need for a bypass, you must submit prior notice, if possible at least ten days before the date of the bypass.

2. Unanticipated bypass. You must submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Appendix B, Subsection 12.F (24-hour notice).

D. Prohibition of bypass.

1. Bypass is prohibited, and EPA may take enforcement action against you for bypass, unless:

a. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;

b. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and

c. You submitted notices as required under Appendix B, Subsection 13.C.

2. EPA may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if EPA determines that it will meet the three conditions listed above in Appendix B, Subsection 13.D.1.

B.14. Upset

A. Definition. Upset means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond your reasonable control. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

B. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of Appendix B, Subsection 14.C are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.

C. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset must demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:

1. An upset occurred and that you can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
2. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated; and
3. You submitted notice of the upset as required in Appendix B, Subsection 12.F.2.b (24 hour notice).
4. You complied with any remedial measures required under Appendix B, Subsection 4.

D. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, you, as the one seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset, has the burden of proof.

APPENDIX C
ENDANGERED SPECIES GUIDANCE

A. Background

In order to meet its obligations under the Clean Water Act and the Endangered Species Act (ESA), and to promote the goals of those Acts, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is seeking to ensure the activities regulated by this general permit do not adversely affect endangered and threatened species or critical habitat. Applicants applying for permit coverage must assess the impacts of their stormwater discharges and discharge-related activities on federally listed endangered and threatened species ("listed species") and designated critical habitat ("critical habitat") to ensure that those goals are met. Prior to obtaining general permit coverage, applicants must meet the ESA eligibility provisions of this permit by following the steps in this Appendix[1].

Applicants also have an independent ESA obligation to ensure that their activities do not result in any prohibited "take" of listed species[12]. The term "Take" is used in the ESA to include harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, collect, or attempt to engage in any such conduct. "Harm" is further defined to include significant habitat modification or degradation that results in death or injury to listed species by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns including breeding, feeding, or sheltering. "Harass" is defined as intentional or negligent actions that create the likelihood of injury to listed species to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavior patterns which include, but are not limited to, breeding, feeding, or sheltering. Many of the measures required in this general permit and in these instructions to protect species may also assist in ensuring that the applicant's activities do not result in a prohibited take of species in violation of section 9 of the ESA. If the applicant has plans or activities in an area where endangered and threatened species are located, they may wish to ensure that they are protected from potential take liability under ESA section 9 by obtaining an ESA section 10 permit or by requesting formal consultation under ESA section 7. Applicants that are unsure whether to pursue a section 10 permit or a section 7 consultation for takings protection should confer with the appropriate United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) office or the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), (jointly the Services).

Currently, there are 20 species of concern for applicants applying for permit coverage, namely the Dwarf wedgemussel *(Alasmidonta heterodon)*, Northeastern bulrush (*Scirpus ancistrochaetus*), Sandplain gerardia *(Agalinis acuta)*, Piping Plover (*Charadrius melodus*), Roseate Tern (*Sterna dougallii*), Northern Red-bellied cooter (*Pseudemys rubriventis)*, Bog Turtle (*Glyptemys muhlenbergii*), Small whorled Pogonia (*Isotria medeoloides*), Puritan tiger beetle (*Cicindela puritana*), American burying beetle (*Nicrophorus americanus*), Northeastern beach tiger beetle (*Cicindela dorsalis*), Northern Long-eared Bat *(Myotis septentriolis)*Atlantic Sturgeon *(Acipenser oxyrinchus*), Shortnose Sturgeon *(Acipenser brevirostrum)*, North Atlantic Right Whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) Humpback Whale *(Megaptera novaengliae)*, Fin Whale (*Balaenoptera physalus)*, Kemp's Ridley Sea Turtle *(Lepidochelys kempii)*, Loggerhead Sea Turtle *(Caretta caretta)*, Leatherback Sea Turtle *(Dermochelys coriacea)*, and the Green Turtle *(Chelonia*

[1] EPA strongly encourages applicants to begin this process at the earliest possible stage to ensure the notification requirements for general permit coverage are complete upon Notice of Intent (NOI) submission.

[2] Section 9 of the ESA prohibits any person from "taking" a listed species (e.g. harassing or harming it) unless: (1) the taking is authorized through an "incidental take statement" as part of completion of formal consultation according to ESA section 7; (2) where an incidental take permit is obtained under ESA section 10 (which requires the development of a habitat conversion plan; or (3) where otherwise authorized or exempted under the ESA. This prohibition applies to all entities including private individuals, businesses, and governments.

mydas). The Atlantic Sturgeon, Shortnose Sturgeon, North Atlantic Right Whale, Humpback Whale, Fin Whale, Loggerhead Sea Turtle, Kemp's Ridley Sea Turtle, Leatherback Sea Turtle and Green Turtle are listed under the jurisdiction of NMFS. The Dwarf wedgemussel, Northeastern bulrush, Sandplain gerardia, Piping Plover, Northern Red-bellied cooter, Bog Turtle, Small whorled Pogonia, Roseate Tern, Puritan tiger beetle, Northeastern beach tiger beetle, Northern Long-eared Bat and American burying beetle are listed under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Any applicant seeking coverage under this general permit, must consult with the Services where appropriate. When listed species are present, permit coverage is only available if EPA determines, or the applicant determines and EPA concurs, that the discharge or discharge related activities will have "no affect" on the listed species or critical habitat, or the applicant or EPA determines that the discharge or discharge related activities are "not likely to adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat and formal or informal consultation with the Services has been concluded and results in written concurrence by the Services that the discharge is "not likely to adversely affect" an endangered or threatened species or critical habitat.

EPA may designate the applicants as non-Federal representatives for the general permit for the purpose of carrying out formal or informal consultation with the Services (See 50 CFR §402.08 and §402.13). By terms of this permit, EPA has automatically designated operators as non-Federal representatives for the purpose of conducting formal or informal consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. EPA has not designated operators as non-Federal representatives for the purpose of conducting formal or informal consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service. EPA has determined that discharges from MS4s are not likely to adversely affect listed species or critical habitat under the jurisdiction of the National Marine Fisheries Service. EPA has initiated informal consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service on behalf of all permittees and no further action is required by permittees in order to fulfill ESA requirements of this permit related to species under the jurisdiction of NMFS

B. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ESA Eligibility Process

Before submitting a notice of intent (NOI) for coverage by this permit, applicants must determine whether they meet the ESA eligibility criteria by following the steps in Section B of this Appendix. Applicants that cannot meet the eligibility criteria in Section B must apply for an individual permit.

The USFWS ESA eligibility requirements of this permit relating to the Dwarf wedgemussel, Northeastern bulrush, Sandplain gerardia, Piping Plover, Northern Red-bellied cooter, Bog Turtle, Small whorled Pogonia, Roseate Tern, Puritan tiger beetle, Northeastern beach tiger beetle, Northern Long-eared Bat and American burying beetle may be satisfied by documenting that one of the following criteria has been met:

USFWS Criterion A: No endangered or threatened species or critical habitat are in proximity to the stormwater discharges or discharge related activities.

USFWS Criterion B: In the course of formal or informal consultation with the Fish and Wildlife Service, under section 7 of the ESA, the consultation resulted in either a no jeopardy opinion (formal consultation) or a written concurrence by USFWS on a finding that the stormwater discharges and

discharge related activities are "not likely to adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat (informal consultation).

USFWS Criterion C: Using the best scientific and commercial data available, the effect of the stormwater discharge and discharge related activities on listed species and critical habitat have been evaluated. Based on those evaluations, a determination is made by EPA, or by the applicant and affirmed by EPA, that the stormwater discharges and discharge related activities will have "no affect" on any federally threatened or endangered listed species or designated critical habitat under the jurisdiction of the USFWS.

1. The Steps to Determine if the USFWS ESA Eligibility Criteria Can Be Met

To determine eligibility, you must assess the potential effects of your known stormwater discharges and discharge related activities on listed species or critical habitat, PRIOR to completing and submitting a Notice of Intent (NOI). You must follow the steps outlined below and document the results of your eligibility determination.

Step 1 – Determine if you can meet USFWS Criterion A

USFWS Criterion A: You can certify eligibility, according to USFWS Criterion A, for coverage by this permit if, upon completing the Information, Planning, and Conservation (IPaC) online system process, you printed and saved the preliminary determination which indicated that federally listed species or designated critical habitats are not present in the action area. See Attachment 1 to Appendix C for instructions on how to use IPaC.

If you have met USFWS Criterion A skip to Step # 4.

If you have not met USFWS Criterion A, go to Step # 2.

Step 2 – Determine if You Can Meet Eligibility USFWS Criteria B

USFWS Criterion B: You can certify eligibility according to USFWS Criteria B for coverage by this permit if you answer "Yes" to **all** of the following questions:

1) Does your action area contain one or more of the following species: Sandplain gerardia, Small whorled Pogonia, American burying beetle, Dwarf wedgemussel, Northeastern bulrush, Piping Plover, Northern Red-bellied cooter, Bog Turtle, Roseate Tern, Puritan tiger beetle, and Northeastern beach tiger beetle?
   AND
2) Did your assessment of the discharge and discharge related activities indicate that the discharge or discharge related activities "may affect" or are "not likely to adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat?
   AND
3) Did you contact the USFWS and did the formal or informal consultation result in either a "no jeopardy" opinion by the USFWS (for formal consultation) or concurrence by the

USFWS that your activities would be "not likely to adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat (for informal consultation)?
AND

4) Do you agree to implement all measures upon which the consultation was conditioned?
5) Do you agree that if, during the course of the permit term, you plan to install a structural BMP not identified in the NOI that you will re-initiate informal or formal consultation with USFWS as necessary?

Use the guidance below Step 3 to understand effects determination and to answer these questions.

If you answered "Yes" to all four questions above, you have met eligibility USFWS Criteria B. Skip to Step 4.

If you answered "No" to any of the four questions above, go to Step 3.

Step 3 – Determine if You Can Meet Eligibility USFWS Criterion C

USFWS Criterion C: You can certify eligibility according to USFWS Criterion C for coverage by this permit if you answer "Yes" to both of the following question:

1) Does your action area contain one or more of the following species: Northern Long-eared Bat, Sandplain gerardia, Small whorled Pogonia and/or American burying beetle and **does not** contain one any following species: Dwarf wedgemussel, Northeastern bulrush, Piping Plover, Northern Red-bellied cooter, Bog Turtle, Roseate Tern, Puritan tiger beetle, and Northeastern beach tiger beetle?[3]
OR
2) Did the assessment of your discharge and discharge related activities and indicate that there would be "no affect" on listed species or critical habitat and EPA provided concurrence with your determination?
3) Do you agree that if, during the course of the permit term, you plan to install a structural BMP not identified in the NOI that you will to conduct an endangered species screening for the proposed site and contact the USFWS if you determine that the new activity "may affect" or is "not likely to adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat under the jurisdiction of the USFWS.

Use the guidance below to understand effects determination and to answer these questions.

If you answered "Yes" to both the question above, you have met eligibility USFWS Criterion C. Go to Step 4.

If you answered "No" to either of the questions above, you are not eligible for coverage by this permit. You must submit an application for an individual permit for your stormwater discharges. (See 40 CFR 122.21).

USFWS Effects Determination Guidance:

If you are unable to certify eligibility under USFWS Criterion A, you must assess whether your stormwater discharges and discharge-related activities "may affect", will have "no affect" or are "not likely to adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat. "Discharge-related activities" include: activities which cause, contribute to, or result in point source stormwater pollutant discharges; and measures to provide treatment for stormwater discharges including the siting, construction and operational procedures to control, reduce or prevent water pollution. Please be aware that no protection from incidental take liability is provided under this criterion.

The scope of effects to consider will vary with each system. If you are having difficulty in determining whether your system is likely to cause adverse effects to a listed species or critical habitat, you should contact the USFWS for assistance. In order to complete the determination of effects it may be necessary to follow the formal or informal consultation procedures in section 7 of the ESA.

Upon completion of your assessment, document the results of your effects determination. If your results indicate that stormwater discharges or discharge related activities will have "no affect" on threatened or endangered species or critical habitat and EPA concurs with your determination, you are eligible under USFWS Criterion C of this Appendix. Your determination may be based on measures that you implement to avoid, eliminate, or minimized adverse effects.

If the determination is "May affect" or "not likely to adversely affect" you must contact the USFWS to discuss your findings and measures you could implement to avoid, eliminate, or minimize adverse effects. If you and the USFWS reach agreement on measures to avoid adverse effects, you are eligible under USFWS Criterion B. Any terms and/or conditions to protect listed species and critical habitat that you relied on in order to complete an adverse effects determination, must be incorporated into your Storm Water Management Program (required by this permit) and implemented in order to maintain permit eligibility.

If endangered species issues cannot be resolved: If you cannot reach agreement with the USFWS on measures to avoid or eliminate adverse effects then you are not eligible for coverage under this permit. You must seek coverage under an individual permit.

Effects from stormwater discharges and discharge-related activities which could pose an adverse effect include:

- *Hydrological:* Stormwater discharges may cause siltation, sedimentation, or induce other changes in receiving waters such as temperature, salinity or pH. These effects will vary with the amount of stormwater discharged and the volume and condition of the receiving water. Where a discharge constitutes a minute portion of the total volume of the receiving water, adverse hydrological effects are less likely.
- *Habitat:* Excavation, site development, grading and other surface disturbance activities, including the installation or placement of treatment equipment may adversely affect listed species or their habitat. Stormwater from the small MS4 may inundate a listed species habitat.

- *Toxicity:* In some cases, pollutants in the stormwater may have toxic effects on listed species.

Step 4 - Document Results of the Eligibility Determination

Once the USFWS ESA eligibility requirements have been met, you shall include documentation of USFWS ESA eligibility in the Storm Water Management Program required by the permit. Documentation for the various eligibility criteria are as follows:

- USFWS Criterion A: A copy of the IPaC generated preliminary determination letter indicating that no listed species or critical habitat is present within your action area. You shall also include a statement on how you determined that no listed species or critical habitat are in proximity to your stormwater system or discharges.
- USFWS Criterion B: A dated copy of the USFWS letter of concurrence on a finding of "no jeopardy" (for formal consultation) or "not likely to adversely affect" (for informal consultation) regarding the ESA section 7 consultation.
- USFWS Criterion C: A dated copy of the EPA concurrence with the operator's determination that the stormwater discharges and discharge-related activities will have "no affect" on listed species or critical habitat.

C. Submittal of Notice of Intent

Once the ESA eligibility requirements of Part C of this Appendix have been metyoumay submit the Notice of Intent indicating which Criterion you have met to be eligible for permit coverage. Signature and submittal of the NOI constitutes your certification, under penalty of law, of eligibility for permit coverage under 40 CFR 122.21.

D. Duty to Implement Terms and Conditions upon which Eligibility was Determined

You must comply with any terms and conditions imposed under the ESA eligibility requirements to ensure that your stormwater discharges and discharge related activities do not pose adverse effects or jeopardy to listed species and/or critical habitat. You must incorporate such terms and conditions into your Storm Water Management Program as required by this permit. If the ESA eligibility requirements of this permit cannot be met, then you may not receive coverage under this permit and must apply for an individual permit.

E. Services Information

United States Fish and Wildlife Service Office

National websites for Endangered Species Information:
Endangered Species home page: http://endangered.fws.gov
ESA Section 7 Consultations: http://endangered.fws.gov/consultation/index.html
Information, Planning, and Conservation System (IPAC): http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/

U.S. FWS – Region 5
Supervisor

New England Field Office
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services
70 Commercial Street, Suite 300
Concord, NH 03301

Natural Heritage Network

The Natural Heritage Network comprises 75 independent heritage program organizations located in all 50 states, 10 Canadian provinces, and 12 countries and territories located throughout Latin America and the Caribbean. These programs gather, manage, and distribute detailed information about the biological diversity found within their jurisdictions. Developers, businesses, and public agencies use natural heritage information to comply with environmental laws and to improve the environmental sensitivity of economic development projects. Local governments use the information to aid in land use planning.

The Natural Heritage Network is overseen by NatureServe, the Network's parent organization, and is accessible on-line at: http://www.natureserve.org/nhp/us_programs.htm, which provides websites and other access to a large number of specific biodiversity centers.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife IPaC system instructions

Use the following protocol to determine if any federally listed species or designated critical habitats under USFWS jurisdiction exist in your action area:

Enter your project specific information into the "Initial Project Scoping" feature of the Information, Planning, and Conservation (IPaC) system mapping tool, which can be found at the following location:

http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/

a. Indicate the action area[1] for the MS4 by either:
    a. Drawing the boundary on the map or by uploading a shapefile.
    Select "Continue"

c. Click on the "SEE RESOURCE LIST" button and on the next screen you can export a trust resources list.This will provided a list of natural resources of concern, which will include an Endangered Species Act Species list. You may also request an official species list under "REGULATORY DOCUMENTS" Save copies and retain for your records

[1] The action area is defined by regulation as all areas to be affected directly or indirectly by the action and not merely the immediate area involved in the action (50 CFR §402.02). This analysis is not limited to the "footprint" of the action nor is it limited by the Federal agency's authority. Rather, it is a biological determination of the reach of the proposed action on listed species. Subsequent analyses of the environmental baseline, effects of the action, and levels of incidental take are based upon the action area.

The documentation used by a Federal action agency to initiate consultation should contain a description of the action area as defined in the Services' regulations and explained in the Services' consultation handbook. If the Services determine that the action area as defined by the action agency is incorrect, the Services should discuss their rationale with the agency or applicant, as appropriate. Reaching agreement on the description of the action area is desirable but ultimately the Services can only consult when an action area is defined properly under the regulations.

For storm water discharges or discharge related activities, the action area should encompass the following:

- The immediate vicinity of, or nearby, the point of discharge into receiving waters.
- The path or immediate area through which or over which storm water flows from the municipality to the point of discharge into the receiving water. This includes areas in the receiving water downstream from the point of discharge.
- Areas that may be impacted by construction or repair activities. This extends as far as effects related to noise (from construction equipment, power tools, etc.) and light (if work is performed at night) may reach.

The action area will vary with the size and location of the outfall pipe, the nature and quantity of the storm water discharges, and the type of receiving waters, among other factors.

Appendix D
National Historic Preservation Act Guidance

Background

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of Federal "undertakings" on historic properties that are either listed on, or eligible for listing on, the National Register of Historic Places. The term federal "undertaking" is defined in the NHPA regulations to include a project, activity, or program of a federal agency including those carried out by or on behalf of a federal agency, those carried out with federal financial assistance, and those requiring a federal permit, license or approval. See 36 CFR 800.16(y). Historic properties are defined in the NHPA regulations to include prehistoric or historic districts, sites, buildings, structures, or objects that are included in, or are eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places. This term includes artifacts, records, and remains that are related to and located within such properties. See 36 CFR 800.16(1).

EPA's issuance of a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit is a federal undertaking within the meaning of the NHPA regulations and EPA has determined that the activities to be carried out under the general permit require review and consideration, in order to be in compliance with the federal historic preservation laws and regulations. Although individual submissions for authorization under the general permit do not constitute separate federal undertakings, the screening processes provides an appropriate site-specific means of addressing historic property issues in connection with EPA's issuance of the permit. To address any issues relating to historic properties in connection with the issuance of this permit, EPA has included a screening process for applicants to identify whether properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places are within the path of their discharges or discharge-related activities (including treatment systems or any BMPs relating to the discharge or treatment process) covered by this permit.

Applicants seeking authorization under this general permit must comply with applicable, State, Tribal, and local laws concerning the protection of historic properties and places and may be required to coordinate with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and/or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) and others regarding effects of their discharges on historic properties.

Activities with No Potential to Have an Effect on Historic Properties

A determination that a federal undertaking has no potential to have an effect on historic properties fulfills an agency's obligations under NHPA. EPA has reason to believe that the vast majority of activities authorized under this general permit will have no potential effects on historic properties. This permit typically authorizes discharges from existing facilities and requires control of the pollutants discharged from the facility. EPA does not anticipate effects on historic properties from the pollutants in the authorized discharges. Thus, to the extent EPA's issuance of this general permit authorizes discharges of such constituents, confined to existing channels, outfalls or natural drainage areas, the permitting action does not have the potential to cause effects on historical properties.

In addition, the overwhelming majority of sources covered under this permit will be facilities that are seeking renewal of previous permit authorization. These existing dischargers should have already addressed NHPA issues in the previous general permit as they were required to certify that they were either not affecting historic properties or they had obtained written agreement from

the applicable SHPO or THPO regarding methods of mitigating potential impacts. To the extent this permit authorizes renewal of prior coverage without relevant changes in operations the discharge has no potential to have an effect on historic properties.

Activities with Potential to Have an Effect on Historic Properties

EPA believes this permit may have some potential to have an effect on historic properties the applicant undertakes the construction and/or installation of control measures that involve subsurface disturbance that involves less than 1 acre of land. (Ground disturbances of 1 acre or more require coverage under the Construction General Permit.) Where there is disturbance of land through the construction and/or installation of control measures, there is a possibility that artifacts, records, or remains associated with historic properties could be impacted. Therefore, if the applicant is establishing new or altering existing control measures to manage their discharge that will involve subsurface ground disturbance of less than 1 acre, they will need to ensure (1) that historic properties will not be impacted by their activities or (2) that they are in compliance with a written agreement with the SHPO, THPO, or other tribal representative that outlines all measures the applicant will carry out to mitigate or prevent any adverse effects on historic properties.

Examples of Control Measures Which Involve Subsurface Disturbance

The type of control measures that are presumptively expected to cause subsurface ground disturbance include:

- Dikes
- Berms
- Catch basins, drainage inlets
- Ponds, bioretention areas
- Ditches, trenches, channels, swales
- Culverts, pipes
- Land manipulation; contouring, sloping, and grading
- Perimeter Drains
- Installation of manufactured treatment devices

EPA cautions applicants that this list is non-inclusive. Other control measures that involve earth disturbing activities that are not on this list must also be examined for the potential to affect historic properties.

Certification

Upon completion of this screening process the applicant shall certify eligibility for this permit using one of the following criteria on their Notice of Intent for permit coverage:

> **Criterion A**: The discharges do not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties.

Criterion B: A historic survey was conducted. The survey concluded that no historic properties are present. Discharges do not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties.

Criterion C: The discharges and discharge related activities have the potential to have an effect on historic properties, and the applicant has obtained and is in compliance with a written agreement with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (TPHO), or other tribal representative that outlines measures the applicant will carry out to mitigate or prevent any adverse effects on historic properties.

Authorization under the general permit is available only if the applicant certifies and documents permit eligibility using one of the eligibility criteria listed above. Small MS4s that cannot meet any of the eligibility criteria in above must apply for an individual permit.

Screening Process

Applicants or their consultant need to answer the questions and follow the appropriate procedures below to assist EPA in compliance with 36 CFR 800.

Question 1: Is the facility an existing facility authorized by the previous permit or a new facility and the applicant is not undertaking any activity involving subsurface land disturbance less than an acre?

YES - The applicant should certify that fact in writing and file the statement with the EPA. This certification must be maintained as part of the records associated with the permit.
The applicant should certify eligibility for this permit using Criterion A on their Notice of Intent for permit coverage. The applicant does not need to contact the state Historic Commission. Based on that statement, EPA will document that the project has "no potential to cause effects" (36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)). There are no further obligations under the Section 106 regulations.

NO- Go to Question 2.

Question 2: Is the property listed in the National Register of Historic Places or have prior surveys or disturbances revealed the existence of a historic property or artifacts?

NO - The applicant should certify that fact in writing and file the statement with the EPA. This certification must be maintained as part of the records associated with the permit.
The applicant should certify eligibility for this permit using Criterion B on their Notice of Intent for permit coverage. The applicant does not need to contact the state Historic Commission. Based on that statement, EPA will document that the project has "no potential to cause effects" (36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)). There are no further obligations under the Section 106 regulations.

YES - The applicant or their consultant should prepare a complete information submittal to the SHPO. The submittal consists of:

- Completed Project Notification Form- forms available at http://www.sec.state.ma.us/mhc/mhcform/formidx.htm;

●USGS map section with the actual project boundaries clearly indicated; and
●Scaled project plans showing existing and proposed conditions.

(1) Please note that the SHPO does not accept email for review. Please mail a paper copy of your submittal (Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested) or deliver a paper copy of your submittal (and obtain a receipt) to:

State Historic Preservation Officer
Massachusetts Historical Commission
220 Morrissey Blvd.
Boston MA 02125.

(2) Provide a copy of your submittal and the proof of MHC delivery showing the date MHC received your submittal to:

NPDES Permit Branch Chief
US EPA Region 1 (OEP06-1)
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100
Boston MA 02109-3912.

The SHPO will comment within thirty (30) days of receipt of complete submittals, and may ask for additional information. Consultation, as appropriate, will include EPA, the SHPO and other consulting parties (which includes the applicant). The steps in the federal regulations (36 CFR 800.2 to 800.6, etc.) will proceed as necessary to conclude the Section 106 review for the undertaking. **The applicant should certify eligibility for this permit using Criterion C on their Notice of Intent for permit coverage.**

Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under Small MS4 General Permit

Part I: General Conditions

General Information

Name of Municipality or Organization: _____ State _____

EPA NPDES Permit Number: _____

Primary MS4 Program Manager Contact Information

Name: _____ Title: _____

Street Address Line 1 _____

Street Address Line 2 _____

City _____ State _____ Zip Code 12345-6789

Email: _____ Phone Number: (123) 456-7890

Fax Number: _____

Other Information

☐ Check the box if your municipality or organization was covered under the 2003 MS4 General Permit

Stormwater Management Program (SWMP) Location (web address or physical location): _____

Eligibility Determination

Endangered Species Act (ESA) Determination Complete? _____ Eligibility Criteria (check all that apply): ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐ E ☐ F

National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Determination Complete? _____ Eligibility Criteria (check all that apply): ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D

MS4 Infrastructure (if covered under the 2003 permit)

Estimated Percent of Outfall Map Complete? *(Part II,III,IV or V, Subpart B.3.(a.) of 2003 permit)* _____ If 100% of 2003 requirements not met, enter an estimated date of completion (MM/DD/YY): _____

Web address where MS4 map is published: _____

If outfall map is unavailable on the internet an electronic or paper copy of the outfall map must be included with NOI submission (see section V for submission options)

Regulatory Authorities (if covered under the 2003 permit)

Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Authority Adopted?: *(Part II,III,IV or V, Subpart B.3.(b.) of 2003 permit)* _____ Effective Date or Estimated Date of Adoption (MM/DD/YY): _____

Construction/Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Authority Adopted?: *(Part II,III,IV or V, Subpart B.4.(a.) of 2003 permit)* _____ Effective Date or Estimated Date of Adoption (MM/DD/YY): _____

Post- Construction Stormwater Management Adopted?: *(Part II,III,IV or V, Subpart B.5.(a.) of 2003 permit)* _____ Effective Date or Estimated Date of Adoption (MM/DD/YY): _____

Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under Small MS4 General Permit (continued)

Part II: Summary of Receiving Waters

Please list the waterbody segments to which your MS4 discharges. For each waterbody segment, please report the number of outfalls discharging into it and, if applicable, any impairments.
For Massachusetts list of impaired waters click here: Massachusetts 2010 List of Impaired: Waters http://www.mass.gov/dep/water/resources/10list6.pdf
For New Hampshire list of impaired waters click here: New Hampshire Final 303(d) Materials: http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/swqa/2010/index.htm
Source of pollutants column should be completed with a preliminary source evaluation of pollutants for discharges to impaired waterbodies (see above 303(d) lists) without an approved TMDL in accordance with Section 2.2.2a of the permit

Waterbody segment that receives flow from the MS4	Number of outfalls into receiving water segment	Pollutant list (select one at a time to add)	Click impairment at left to add, or at right to remove	Pollutant(s) causing impairment, if applicable (select one at a time to remove)
		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved	Add/Remove	
		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved	Add/Remove	
		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved	Add/Remove	
		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved	Add/Remove	

		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved	Add/Remove	
		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved	Add/Remove	
		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved	Add/Remove	
		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved	Add/Remove	
		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved	Add/Remove	
		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total)	Add/Remove	

		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved	Add/Remove	
		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved	Add/Remove	
		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved	Add/Remove	
		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved	Add/Remove	
		Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved	Add/Remove	

Click to lengthen table

Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under Small MS4 General Permit (continued)

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

Identify the Best Management Practices (BMPs) that will be employed to address each of the six Minimum Control Measures (MCMs). For municipalities/organizations whose MS4 discharges into a receiving water with an approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) and applicable waste lod allocation (WLA), identify any additional BMPs employed to specifically support the achievement of the WLA in the TMDL section at the end of Part III.

For each MCM list each existing or proposed BMP by category and provide a brief description, responsible parties/departments, measurable goals and the year the BMP will be employed (Public education and outreach BMPs also requires a target audience). **Use the drop-down menus in each table or enter your own text to override the drop down menu**

MCM 1: Public Education and Outreach

BMP Media/Category (enter your own text to override the drop down menu)	**BMP Description**	**Targeted Audience**	**Responsible Department/Parties** (enter your own text to override the drop down menu)	**Measurable Goal**	**Beginning Year of BMP implementation**
		Residents			
		Businesses, Institutions and Commercial Facilities			
		Developers (construction)			
		Industrial Facilities			
		Residents			
		Businesses, Institutions and Commercial Facilities			
		Developers (construction)			
		Industrial Facilities			

Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under Small MS4 General Permit (continued)

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

MCM 2: Public Involvement and Participation

BMP Categorization	Brief BMP Description (enter your own text to override the drop down menu)	Responsible Department/ Parties	Additional Description/ Measurable Goal	Beginning Year of BMP implementation
Public Review	SWMP Review			
Public Participation				

Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under Small MS4 General Permit (continued)

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

MCM 3: Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE)

BMP Categorization (enter your own text to override the drop down menu)	**BMP Description**	**Responsible Department/Parties** (enter your own text to override the drop down menu)	**Measurable Goal** (all text can be overwritten)
SSO inventory			Develop SSO inventory within 1 year of effective date of permit
Storm sewer system map			Update map within 2 years of effective date of permit and complete full system map 10 years after effective date of permit
Written IDDE program development			Complete within 1.5 years of the effective date of permit
Implement IDDE Program			Implement catchment investigations according to program and permit conditions
Employee Training			Train annually
Conduct dry weather screening			Conduct in accordance with outfall screening procedure and permit conditions
Conduct wet weather screening			Conduct in accordance with outfall screening procedure and permit conditions

Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under Small MS4 General Permit (continued)

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

MCM 4: Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control

BMP Categorization (enter your own text to override the drop down menu or entered text)	**BMP Description**	**Responsible Department/ Parties** (enter your own text to override the drop down menu)	**Measurable Goal** (all text can be overwritten)	**Beginning Year of BMP implementation**
Site inspection and enforcement of Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) measures	Complete written procedures of site inspections and enforcement procedures		Complete by the end of Year 1	
Site plan review	Complete written procedures of site plan review and begin implementation		Complete by the end of Year 1	
Erosion and Sediment Control	Adoption of requirements for construction operators to implement a sediment and erosion control program			
Waste Control	Adoption of requirements to control wastes, including but not limited to, discarded building materials, concrete truck wash out, chemicals, litter, and sanitary wastes.			

Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under Small MS4 General Permit (continued)

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

MCM 5: Post-Construction Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment

BMP Categorization (enter your own text to override the drop down menu or entered text)	**BMP Description**	**Responsible Department/ Parties** (enter your own text to override the drop down menu)	**Measurable Goal** (all text can be overwritten)	**Beginning Year of BMP implementation**
As-built plans for on-site stormwater control	The procedures to require submission of as-built drawings and ensure long term operation and maintenance will be a part of the SWMP.		Require submission of as-built plans for completed projects	
Inventory and priority ranking of MS4-owned properties that may be retrofitted with BMPs	Conduct detailed inventory of MS4 owned properties and rank for retrofit potential		Complete 4 years after permit effective date	
Allow green infrastructure	Develop a report assessing existing local regulations to determine the feasibility of making green infrastructure practices allowable when appropriate site conditions exist		Complete 4 years after permit effective date	
Street design and parking lot guidelines	Develop a report assessing requirements that affect the creation of impervious cover. The assessment will help determine if changes to design standards for streets and parking lots can be modified to support low impact design options.		Complete 4 years after permit effective date	
Ensure any stormwater controls or management practices for new development and redevelopment will prevent or minimize impacts to water quality.	Adoption, amendment or modification of a regulatory mechanism to meet permit requirements		Complete 2 years after permit effective date	

Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under Small MS4 General Permit (continued)

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

MCM 6: Municipal Good Housekeeping and Pollution Prevention

BMP Categorization (enter your own text to override the drop down menu or entered text)	**BMP Description**	**Responsible Department/ Parties** (enter your own text to override the drop down menu)	**Measurable Goal** (all text can be overwritten)	**Beginning Year of BMP implementation**
Create written O&M procedures for parks and open spaces, buildings and facilities, and vehicles and equipment			Complete 2 years after permit effective date	
Inventory all permittee-owned parks and open spaces, buildings and facilities (including their storm drains), and vehicles and equipment			Complete 2 years after permit effective date	
Establish and implement program for repair and rehabilitation of MS4 infrastructure			Complete 2 years after permit effective date	
Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for maintenance garages, transfer stations and other waste-handling facilities			Complete 2 years after permit effective date	
Catch Basin Cleaning				
Street Sweeping Program				
Road Salt use optimization program				

Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under Small MS4 General Permit (continued)

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

Actions for meeting Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Requirements

Use the drop-down menus to select the best categorization of your BMP and responsible party. If no options are applicable, or more than one, **enter your own text to override drop-down menus.**

Applicable TMDL	**Action Description**	**Responsible Department/ Parties** (enter your own text to override the drop down menu)

Use the drop-down menus to select the Pollutant causing the water quality limitation and enter the waterbody ID(s) experiencing excursions above water quality standards for that pollutant. Choose the action description from the dropdown menu and indicate the responsible party. If no options are applicable, or more than one, **enter your own text to override drop-down menus.**

Actions for meeting Requirements Related to water Quality Limited Waters

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under Small MS4 General Permit (continued)

Pollutant	Waterbody ID(s)	Action Description	Responsible Department/Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu)

Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under Small MS4 General Permit (continued)

Part IV: Notes and additional information

Use the space below to provide any additional information about your MS4 program

Click to add text

Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under Small MS4 General Permit (continued)

Part V: Certification

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, I certify that the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Name:

Title:

Signature Field

Date:

NOI Submission

Please submit the form electronically via email using the "submit by Email" button below or send in a CD with your completed NOI. You may also print and submit via mail at the address below if you choose not to submit electronically. Outfall map required in Part I of the NOI (if applicable) can be submitted electronically as an email attachment OR as a paper copy.
Permittees that choose to submit their NOI electronically by email or by mailing a CD with the completed NOI form to EPA, will be able to download a partially filled Year 1 Annual Report at a later date from EPA.

Submit by Email

Submit by email using this button. Or, send an email with attachments to: stormwater.reports@epa.gov

Save

Save NOI for your records

EPA Submittal Address:

United States Environmental Protection Agency
5 Post Office Square - Suite 100
Mail Code - OEP06-1
Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912
ATTN: Newton Tedder

State Submittal Address

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
One Winter Street - 5th Floor
Boston, MA 02108
ATTN: Fred Civian

APPENDIX F

Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved TMDL

Table of Contents

A. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved MassDEP In State TMDL 2

I. Charles River Watershed Phosphorus TMDL Requirements 2

II. Lake and Pond Phosphorus TMDL Requirements 18

III. Bacteria and Pathogen TMDL Requirements 27

IV. Cape Cod Nitrogen TMDL Requirements 40

V. Assabet River Phosphorus TMDL Requirements 44

B. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved Out of State TMDL 47

I. Nitrogen TMDL Requirements 47

II. Phosphorus TMDL Requirements 51

III. Bacteria and Pathogen TMDL Requirements 55

IV. Metals TMDL Requirements 58

C. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with a Regional TMDL 61

I. The "Northeast Regional Mercury TMDL (2007)" 61

Attachment 1 – Method To Calculate Baseline Watershed Phosphorus Load For Lake And Pond Phosphorus TMDLs (Applicable To part II Of Appendix F Only) And Method To Calculate Increases in Phosphorus Load due to Development

Attachment 2 – Phosphorus Reduction Credits For Selected Enhanced Non-Structural BMPs

Attachment 3 - Phosphorus Reduction Credits For Selected Structural BMPs

A. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved MassDEP In State TMDL

I. Charles River Watershed Phosphorus TMDL Requirements

On October 17, 2007, EPA approved the *Final TMDL for Nutrients in the Lower Charles River Basin* (Lower Charles TMDL)[1] and on June 10, 2011 EPA approved the *Total Maximum Daily Load for Nutrients in the Upper/Middle Charles River* (Upper/Middle Charles TMDL)[2]. The following phosphorus reduction requirements address phosphorus in MS4 discharges.

1. To address the discharge of phosphorus from its MS4, the permittee shall develop a Phosphorus Control Plan (PCP) designed to reduce the amount of phosphorus in stormwater (SW) discharges from its MS4 to the Charles River and its tributaries. The PCP shall be completed in phases and the permittee shall add it as an attachment to its written SWMP upon completion and report in annual reports pursuant to part 4.4 of the Permit on its progress toward achieving its Phosphorus Reduction Requirement. The PCP shall be developed and fully implemented as soon as possible but no later than 20 years after the permit effective date in accordance with the phases and schedule outlined below. Each Phase shall contain the elements required of each phase as described in parts a.through c below. The timing of each phase over 20 years from the permit effective date is:

1-5 years after permit effective date	5-10 years after permit effective date	10-15 years after permit effective date	15-20 years after permit effective date
Create Phase 1 Plan	Implement Phase 1 Plan		
	Create Phase 2 Plan	Implement Phase 2 Plan	
		Create Phase 3 Plan	Implement Phase 3 Plan

a. Phase 1

1) The permittee shall complete a written Phase 1 plan of the PCP five years after the permit effective date and fully implement the Phase 1 plan of the PCP as soon as possible but no longer than 10 years after the permit effective date.
2) The Phase 1 plan of the PCP shall contain the following elements and has the following required milestones:

Item Number	Phase 1 of the PCP Component and Milestones	Completion Date
1-1	Legal analysis	2 years after permit effective date

[1] Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. 2007. *Final TMDL for Nutrients in the Lower Charles River Basin*. CN 301.1
[2] Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. 2011. *Total Maximum Daily Load for Nutrients in the Upper/Middle Charles River Basin, Massachusetts*. CN 272.0

1-2	Funding source assessment.	3 years after permit effective date
1-3	Define scope of PCP (PCP Area) Baseline Phosphorus Load and Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load	4 years after permit effective date
1-4	Description of Phase 1 planned nonstructural controls	5 years after permit effective date
1-5	Description of Phase 1 planned structural controls	5 years after permit effective date
1-6	Description of Operation and Maintenance program for structural controls	5 years after permit effective date
1-7	Phase 1 implementation schedule	5 years after permit effective date
1-8	Estimated cost for implementing Phase 1 of the PCP	5 years after permit effective date
1-9	Complete Written Phase 1 PCP	5 years after permit effective date
1-10	Full implementation of nonstructural controls	6 years after permit effective date
1-11	Performance Evaluation	6, and 7 years after permit effective date
1-12	1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR}\ X\ 0.80)$	8 years after permit effective date
1-13	Performance Evaluation	9 years after permit effective date
1-14	1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.75	10 years after permit effective date

	$P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR}\ X\ 0.75)$	

Table F-1:Phase 1 of the PCP components and Milestones

3) Description of Phase 1 PCP Components

Legal Analysis- The permittee shall develop and implement an analysis that identifies existing regulatory mechanisms available to the MS4 such as by-laws and ordinances, and describes any changes to regulatory mechanisms that may be necessary to effectively implement the entire PCP. This may include the creation or amendment of financial and regulatory authorities. The permittee shall adopt necessary regulatory changes by the end of the permit term.

Funding source assessment – The permittee shall describe known and anticipated funding mechanisms (e.g. general funding, enterprise funding, stormwater utilities) that will be used to fund PCP implementation. The permittee shall describe the steps it will take to implement its funding plan. This may include but is not limited to conceptual development, outreach to affected parties, and development of legal authorities.

Scope of the PCP, Baseline Phosphorus Load (P_{base}), Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) and Allowable Phosphorus Load (P_{allow}) - The permittee shall indicate the area in which it plans to implement the PCP. The permittee must choose one of the following: (1) to implement its PCP in the entire area within its jurisdiction (for municipalities this would be the municipal boundary) within the Charles River Watershed; or (2) to implement its PCP only in the urbanized area portion of the permittee's jurisdiction within the Charles River Watershed. The implementation area selected by the permittee is known as the "PCP Area" for that permittee. Table F-2[3] and Table F-3[4] list the permittees subject to phosphorus reduction requirements along with the estimated Baseline Phosphorous Loads in mass/yr, the calculated Allowable Stormwater Phosphorus Load in mass/yr, the Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement in mass/yr and the respective percent reductions necessary. The two tables contain different reduction requirements for each permittee based on the PCP Area they choose (see above). If the permittee chooses to implement the PCP in its entire jurisdiction, the permittee may demonstrate compliance with the Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load requirements applicable to it through structural and non-structural controls on discharges that occur outside the regulated area. If the permittee chooses to implement the PCP in its regulated area only, the permittee must demonstrate compliance with the Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load requirements applicable to it through structural

[3] The estimated Baseline Phosphorus Load, Allowable Phosphorus Load, Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and percent reductions presented in Table F-2 apply to the entire watershed land area that drains to the Charles River and its tributaries within the permittee's jurisdiction.
[4] The estimated Baseline Phosphorus Load, Allowable Phosphorus Load, Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and percent reductions presented in Table F-3 apply only to the urbanized area portion of the permittee's jurisdiction that drains to the Charles River or its tributaries.

and non-structural controls on discharges that occur within the regulated area only.

The permittee shall select the Baseline Phosphorus Load, Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load that corresponds to the PCP Area selected. The selected Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load will be used to determine compliance with PCP milestones of this Phase and Phase 2 and Phase 3. If the permittee chooses to implement its PCP in all areas within its jurisdiction within the Charles River Watershed, then the permittee shall use Table F-2 to determine the Baseline Phosphorus Load, Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load for its PCP Area. If the permittee chooses to implement its PCP only within the regulated area within the Charles River Watershed, then the permittee shall use Table F-3 to determine the Baseline Phosphorus Load, Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load for its PCP Area.

The Permittee may submit more accurate land use data from 2005, which is the year chosen as the baseline land use for the purposes of permit compliance, for EPA to recalculate baseline phosphorus stormwater loads for use in future permit reissuances. Updated land use maps, land areas, characteristics, and MS4 area and catchment delineations shall be submitted to EPA along with the year 4 annual report in electronic GIS data layer form for consideration for future permit requirements[5]. Until such a time as future permit requirements reflect information submitted in the year 4 annual report, the permittee shall use the Baseline Phosphorus Load, Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load Table F-2 (if its PCP Area is the permittee's entire jurisdiction) or Table F-3 (if its PCP Area is the regulated area only) to calculate compliance with milestones for Phase 1, 2, and 3 of the PCP.

Description of Phase 1 planned non-structural controls – The permittee shall describe the non-structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-1. The description of non-structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions that are expected to result from their implementation in units of mass/yr. Annual phosphorus reduction from non-structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F.

Description of Phase 1 planned structural controls – The permittee shall develop a priority ranking of areas and infrastructure within the municipality for potential implementation of structural phosphorus controls during Phase 1. The ranking shall be developed through the use of available

[5] This submission is optional and needs only be done if the permittee has more accurate land use information from 2005 than information provided by MassGIS (http://www.mass.gov/anf/research-and-tech/it-serv-and-support/application-serv/office-of-geographic-information-massgis/datalayers/lus2005.html, retrieved 10/1/2013) or the permittee has updated MS4 drainage area characteristics and the permittee would like to update the Baseline Phosphorus Load.

screening and monitoring results collected during the permit term either by the permittee or another entity and the mapping required pursuant to part 2.3.4.6 of the Permit. The permittee shall also include in this priority ranking a detailed assessment of site suitability for potential phosphorus control measures based on soil types and other factors. The permittee shall coordinate this activity with the requirements of part 2.3.6.8.b of the Permit. A description and the results of this priority ranking shall be included in Phase 1 of the PCP. The permittee shall describe the structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-1. The description of structural controls shall include the planned and existing measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented or are currently implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions in units of mass/yr that are expected to result from their implementation. Structural measures to be implemented by a third party may be included in a municipal PCP. Annual phosphorus reductions from structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F.

Description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program for all planned and existing structural BMPs – The permittee shall establish an Operation and Maintenance Program for all structural BMPs being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit as part of Phase 1 of the PCP. This includes BMPs implemented to date as well as BMPs to be implemented during Phase 1 of the PCP. The Operation and Maintenance Program shall become part of the PCP and include: (1) inspection and maintenance schedule for each BMP according to BMP design or manufacturer specification and (2) program or department responsible for BMP maintenance.

Phase 1 Implementation Schedule – A schedule for implementation of all planned Phase 1 BMPs, including, as appropriate: obtaining funding, training, purchasing, construction, inspections, monitoring, operation and maintenance activities, and other assessment and evaluation components of implementation. Implementation of planned BMPs must begin upon completion of the Phase 1 Plan, and all non-structural BMPs shall be fully implemented within six years of the permit effective date. Structural BMPs shall be designed and constructed to ensure the permittee will comply with the 8 and 10 year phosphorus load milestones established in Table F-1. The Phase 1 plan shall be fully implemented as soon as possible, but no later than 10 years after the effective date of permit.

Estimated cost for implementing Phase 1 of the PCP – The permittee shall estimate the cost of implementing the Phase 1 non-structural and structural controls and associated Operation and Maintenance Program. This cost estimate can be used to assess the validity of the funding source assessment completed by year 3 after the permit effective date and to update funding sources as necessary to complete Phase 1.

Complete written Phase 1 Plan – The permittee must complete the written Phase 1 Plan of the PCP no later than 5 years after the permit effective date. The complete Phase 1 Plan shall include Phase 1 PCP item numbers 1-1 through 1-7 in Table F-1. The permittee shall make the Phase 1 Plan

available to the public for public comment during Phase 1 Plan development. EPA encourages the permittee to post the Phase I Plan online to facilitate public involvement.

Performance Evaluation –The permittee shall evaluate the effectiveness of the PCP by tracking the phosphorus reductions achieved through implementation of structural and non-structural BMPs[6] and tracking increases resulting from development. Phosphorus reductions shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F (non-structural BMP performance) and Attachment 3 to Appendix F (structural BMP performance) for all BMPs implemented to date. Phosphorus export increases since 2005 due to development shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F. Phosphorus loading increases and reductions in unit of mass/yr shall be added or subtracted from the applicable Baseline Phosphorus Load given in Table F-2 or Table F-3 depending on the Scope of PCP chosen to estimate the yearly phosphorous export rate from the PCP Area. The permittee shall also include all information required in part I.2 of this Appendix in each performance evaluation. Performance evaluations will be included as part of each permittee's annual report as required by part 4.4 of the Permit.

Community Annual Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction by Permittee, Charles River Watershed

Community	Baseline Phosphorus Load, kg/yr	Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction Requirement kg/yr	Allowable Phosphorus Load, kg/yr	Stormwater Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load (%)
Arlington	106	57	49	53%
Ashland	67	23	44	34%
Bellingham	947	331	616	35%
Belmont	202	86	116	42%
Brookline	1,635	789	846	48 %
Cambridge	512	263	249	51%
Dedham	805	325	480	40%
Dover	831	137	694	17%
Foxborough	2	0	2	0%
Franklin	2,344	818	1,526	35%

[6] In meeting its phosphorus reduction requirements a permittee may quantify phosphorus reductions by actions undertaken by another entity, except where those actions are credited to MassDOT or another permittee identified in Appendix F Table F-2 or F-3.

Community Annual Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction by Permittee, Charles River Watershed				
Community	**Baseline Phosphorus Load, kg/yr**	**Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction Requirement kg/yr**	**Allowable Phosphorus Load, kg/yr**	**Stormwater Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load (%)**
Holliston	1,543	395	1,148	26%
Hopedale	107	37	70	35%
Hopkinton	292	66	226	22%
Lexington	530	194	336	37%
Lincoln	593	101	492	17%
Medfield	955	277	678	29%
Medway	1,063	314	749	30%
Mendon	29	9	20	31%
Milford	1,611	663	948	41%
Millis	969	248	721	26%
Natick	1,108	385	723	35%
Needham	1,772	796	976	45%
Newton	3,884	1,941	1,943	50%
Norfolk	1,004	232	772	23%
Somerville	646	331	315	51%
Sherborn	846	131	715	16%
Walpole	159	28	131	18%
Waltham	2,901	1,461	1,400	50%
Watertown	1,127	582	545	52%
Wayland	46	15	31	33%
Wellesley	1,431	661	770	46%
Weston	1,174	281	893	24%
Westwood	376	114	262	30%
Wrentham	618	171	447	28%
Mass-DCR	421	91	330	22%

Table F-2: Baseline Phosphorus Load, Phosphorus Reduction Requirement, Allowable Phosphorus Load and Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load from Charles River Watershed. For use when PCP Area is chosen to be the entire community within the Charles River Watershed.

Urbanized Area Annual Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction by Permittee, Charles River Watershed				
Community	**Baseline Watershed Phosphorus Load, kg/yr**	**Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction Requirement, kg/yr**	**Allowable Phosphorus Load, kg/yr**	**Stormwater Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load (%)**
Arlington	106	57	49	53%
Ashland	67	23	44	34%
Bellingham	801	291	510	36%
Belmont	202	86	116	42%
Brookline	1,635	789	846	48 %
Cambridge	512	263	249	51%
Dedham	805	325	480	40%
Dover	282	54	228	19%
Foxborough	2	0	2	0%
Franklin	2,312	813	1,499	35%
Holliston	1,359	369	990	27%
Hopedale	107	37	70	35%
Hopkinton	280	65	215	23%
Lexington	525	193	332	37%
Lincoln	366	63	303	17%
Medfield	827	267	560	33%
Medway	1,037	305	732	29%
Mendon	10	5	5	50%
Milford	1,486	653	833	44%
Millis	501	159	342	32%
Natick	994	359	635	36%
Needham	1,771	795	976	45%
Newton	3,884	1,941	1,943	50%
Norfolk	1,001	231	770	23%
Somerville	646	331	315	51%
Sherborn	203	38	165	19%
Walpole	159	28	131	18%
Waltham	2,901	1,461	1,440	50%
Watertown	1,127	582	545	52%
Wayland	46	15	31	33%
Wellesley	1,431	661	770	46%

Urbanized Area Annual Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction by Permittee, Charles River Watershed				
Community	**Baseline Watershed Phosphorus Load, kg/yr**	**Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction Requirement, kg/yr**	**Allowable Phosphorus Load, kg/yr**	**Stormwater Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load (%)**
Weston	1,174	281	893	24%
Westwood	346	108	238	31%
Wrentham	556	159	397	29%
Mass DCR	396	89	307	22%

Table F-3: Baseline Phosphorus Load, Phosphorus Reduction Requirement, Allowable Phosphorus Load and Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load from Charles River Watershed. For use when PCP Area is chosen to be only the urbanized area portion of a permittee's jurisdiction within the Charles River Watershed.

b. Phase 2

1) The permittee shall complete the Phase 2 Plan of the PCP 10 years after the permit effective date and fully implement the Phase 2 plan of the PCP as soon as possible but no longer than 15 years after the permit effective date.
2) The Phase 2 plan of the PCP shall be added to the Phase 1 Plan and contain the following elements and has the following required milestones:

Item Number	Phase 2 of the PCP Component and Milestones	Completion Date
2-1	Update Legal analysis	As necessary
2-2	Description of Phase 2 planned nonstructural controls	10 years after permit effective date
2-3	Description of Phase 2 planned structural controls	10 years after permit effective date
2-4	Updated description of Operation and Maintenance Program	10 years after permit effective date
2-5	Phase 2 implementation schedule	10 years after permit effective date
2-6	Estimated cost for implementing Phase 2 of the PCP	10 years after permit effective date

2-7	Complete written Phase 2 Plan	10 years after permit effective date
2-8	Performance Evaluation.	11, and 12 years after permit effective date
2-9	1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.65 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR}\ X\ 0.65)$	13 years after permit effective date
2-10	Performance Evaluation	14 years after permit effective date
2-11	1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.50 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR}\ X\ 0.50)$	15 years after permit effective date

Table F-4: Phase 2 of the PCP components and Milestones

3) Description of Phase 2 PCP Components

Updated Legal Analysis- The permittee shall update the legal analysis completed during Phase 1 of the PCP as necessary to include any new or augmented bylaws, ordinances or funding mechanisms the permittee has deemed necessary to implement the PCP. The permittee shall use experience gained during Phase 1 to inform the updated legal analysis. The permittee shall adopt necessary regulatory changes as soon as possible to implement the Phase 2 Plan.

Description of Phase 2 planned non-structural controls – The permittee shall describe the non-structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-4. The description of non-structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions that are expected to result from their implementation in units of mass/yr. Annual phosphorus reduction from non-structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F.

Description of planned Phase 2 structural controls – The permittee shall develop a priority ranking of areas and infrastructure within the municipality for potential implementation of phosphorus control practices during Phase 2. The ranking shall build upon the ranking developed for Phase 1. The permittee shall describe the structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-4. The description of structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions in units of mass/yr that are expected to result from their implementation. Structural measures to be implemented by a third party[7] may be included in a municipal PCP. Annual phosphorus reductions from structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F.

Updated description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program for all planned and existing structural BMPs – The permittee shall establish an Operation and Maintenance Program for all structural BMPs being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit as part of Phase 1 and 2 of the PCP. This includes BMPs implemented to date as well as BMPs to be implemented during Phase 2 of the PCP. The Operation and Maintenance Program shall become part of the PCP and include: (1) inspection and maintenance schedule for each BMP according to BMP design or manufacturer specification and (2) program or department responsible for BMP maintenance.

Phase 2 Implementation Schedule – A schedule for implementation of all planned Phase 2 BMPs, including, as appropriate: funding, training, purchasing, construction, inspections, monitoring, O&M activities and other assessment and evaluation components of implementation. Implementation of planned BMPs must begin upon completion of the Phase 2 Plan. Structural BMPs shall be designed and constructed to ensure the permittee will comply with the 13 and 15 year milestones established in Table F-4. The Phase 2 plan shall be fully implemented as soon as possible, but no later than 15 years after the effective date of permit.

Estimated cost for implementing Phase 2 of the PCP – The permittee shall estimate the cost of implementing the Phase 2 non-structural and structural controls and associated Operation and Maintenance Program. This cost estimate can be used to plan for the full implementation of Phase 2.

Complete written Phase 2 Plan – The permittee must complete a written Phase 2 Plan of the PCP no later than 10 years after the permit effective date. The complete Phase 2 Plan shall include Phase 2 PCP item numbers 2-1 through 2-6 in Table F-4. The permittee shall make the Phase 2 Plan available to the public for public comment during Phase 2 plan development. EPA encourages the permittee to post the Phase 2 Plan online to facilitate public involvement.

[7] See footnote 6

Performance Evaluation – The permittee shall evaluate the effectiveness of the PCP by tracking the phosphorus reductions achieved through implementation of structural and non-structural BMPs[8] and tracking increases resulting from development. Phosphorus reductions shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F (non-structural BMP performance) and Attachment 3 to Appendix F (structural BMP performance) for all BMPs implemented to date. Phosphorus export increases due to development shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F. Phosphorus loading increases and reductions in unit of mass/yr shall be added or subtracted from the applicable Baseline Phosphorus Load given in Table F-2 or Table F-3 depending on the Scope of PCP chosen to estimate the yearly phosphorous export rate from the PCP Area. The permittee shall also include all information required in part I.2 of this Appendix in each performance evaluation. Performance evaluations will be included as part of each permittee's annual report as required by part 4.4 of the Permit.

c. Phase 3

1) The permittee shall complete the Phase 3 Plan of the PCP 15 years after the permit effective date and fully implement the Phase 3 plan of the PCP as soon as possible but no longer than 20 years after the permit effective date.
2) The Phase 3 plan of the PCP shall be added to the Phase 1 Plan and the Phase 2 Plan to create the comprehensive PCP and contain the following elements and has the following required milestones:

Item Number	Phase 3 of the PCP Component and Milestones	Completion Date
3-1	Update Legal analysis	As necessary
3-2	Description of Phase 3 planned nonstructural controls	15 years after permit effective date
3-3	Description of Phase 3 planned structural controls	15 years after permit effective date
3-4	Updated description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program	15 years after permit effective date
3-5	Phase 3 implementation schedule	15 years after permit effective date
3-6	Estimated cost for implementing Phase 3 of the PCP	15 years after permit effective date
3-7	Complete written Phase 3 Plan	15 years after permit effective date

[8] See footnote 9

3-8	Performance Evaluation.	16, and 17 years after permit effective date
3-9	1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.30 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR}\ X\ 0.30)$	18 years after permit effective date
3-10	Performance Evaluation	19 years after permit effective date
3-11	1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load (P_{allow}) $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow}$	20 years after permit effective date

Table F-5:Phase 3 of the PCP components and Milestones

3) Description of Phase 3 PCP Components

Updated Legal Analysis- The permittee shall update the legal analysis completed during Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the PCP as necessary to include any new or augmented bylaws, ordinances or funding mechanisms the permittee has deemed necessary to implement the PCP. The permittee shall use experience gained during Phase 1 and Phase 2 to inform the updated legal analysis. The permittee shall adopt necessary regulatory changes as soon as possible to implement the Phase 3 Plan.

Description of Phase 3 planned non-structural controls – The permittee shall describe the non-structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-5. The description of non-structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions that are expected to result from their implementation in units of mass/yr. Annual phosphorus reduction from non-structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F.

Description of planned Phase 3 structural controls – The permittee shall develop a priority ranking of areas and infrastructure within the municipality for potential implementation of phosphorus control practices during Phase 3. The ranking shall build upon the ranking developed for

Phase 1 and 2. The permittee shall describe the structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-5. The description of structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions in units of mass/yr that are expected to result from their implementation. Structural measures to be implemented by a third party may be included in a municipal PCP. Annual phosphorus reduction from structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F.

Updated description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program for all planned and existing structural BMPs – The permittee shall establish an Operation and Maintenance Program for all structural BMPs being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit as part of Phase 1, 2 and 3 of the PCP. This includes BMPs implemented to date as well as BMPs to be implemented during Phase 3 of the PCP. The Operation and Maintenance Program shall become part of the PCP and include: (1) inspection and maintenance schedule for each BMP according to BMP design or manufacturer specification and (2) program or department responsible for BMP maintenance.

Phase 3 Implementation Schedule – A schedule for implementation of all planned Phase 3 BMPs, including, as appropriate: funding, training, purchasing, construction, inspections, monitoring, O&M activities and other assessment and evaluation components of implementation. Implementation of planned BMPs must begin upon completion of the Phase 3 Plan. Structural BMPs shall be designed and constructed to ensure the permittee will comply with the 18 and 20 year milestones established in Table F-5. The Phase 3 plan shall be fully implemented as soon as possible, but no later than 20 years after the effective date of permit.

Estimated cost for implementing Phase 3 of the PCP – The permittee shall estimate the cost of implementing the Phase 3 non-structural and structural controls and associated Operation and Maintenance Program. This cost estimate can be used to plan for the full implementation of Phase 3.

Complete written Phase 3 Plan – The permittee must complete the written Phase 3 Plan of the PCP no later than 15 years after the permit effective date. The complete Phase 3 Plan shall include Phase 3 PCP item numbers 3-1 through 3-6 in Table F-5. The permittee shall make the Phase 3 Plan available to the public for public comment during Phase 3 Plan development. EPA encourages the permittee to post the Phase 3 Plan online to facilitate public involvement.

Performance Evaluation – The permittee shall evaluate the effectiveness of the PCP by tracking the phosphorus reductions achieved through implementation of structural and non-structural BMPs[9] and tracking increases resulting from development. Phosphorus reductions shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F (non-structural BMP

[9] See footnote 9

performance) and Attachment 3 to Appendix F (structural BMP performance) for all BMPs implemented to date. Phosphorus export increases due to development shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F. Phosphorus loading increases and reductions in unit of mass/yr shall be added or subtracted from the applicable Baseline Phosphorus Load given in Table F-2 or Table F-3 depending on the Scope of PCP chosen to estimate the yearly phosphorous export rate from the PCP Area. The permittee shall also include all information required in part I.2 of this Appendix in each performance evaluation. Performance evaluations will be included as part of each permittee's annual report as required by part 4.4 of the Permit.

2. Reporting

Beginning 1 year after the permit effective date, the permittee shall include a progress report in each annual report on the planning and implementation of the PCP.

Beginning five (5) years after the permit effective date, the permittee shall include the following in each annual report submitted pursuant to part 4.4 of the Permit:

a. All non-structural control measures implemented during the reporting year along with the phosphorus reduction in mass/yr (P_{NSred}) calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F
b. Structural controls implemented during the reporting year and all previous years including:
    a. Location information of structural BMPs (GPS coordinates or street address)
    b. Phosphorus reduction from all structural BMPs implemented to date in mass/yr (P_{Sred}) calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F
    c. Date of last completed maintenance and inspection for each Structural control
c. Phosphorus load increases due to development over the previous reporting period and incurred since 2005 (P_{DEVinc}) calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F.
d. Estimated yearly phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the PCP Area calculated using Equation 2. Equation 2 calculates the yearly phosphorus export rate by subtracting yearly phosphorus reductions through implemented nonstructural controls and structural controls to date from the Baseline Phosphorus Load and adding loading increases incurred through development to date. This equation shall be used to demonstrate compliance with the phosphorus reduction milestones required as part of each phase of the PCP.

$$P_{exp}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right) = P_{base}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right) - \left(P_{Sred}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right) + P_{NSred}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right)\right) + P_{DEVinc}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right)$$

Equation 1. Equation used to calculate yearly phosphorus export rate from the chosen PCP Area. P_{exp}=Current phosphorus export rate from the PCP Area in mass/year. P_{base}=baseline phosphorus export rate from LPCP Area in mass/year. P_{Sred}= yearly phosphorus reduction from implemented structural controls in the PCP Area in mass/year. P_{NSred}= yearly phosphorus reduction from implemented non-structural controls in the PCP Area in mass/year. P_{DEVinc}= yearly phosphorus increase resulting from development since 2005 in the PCP Area in mass/year.

e. Certification that all structural BMPs are being inspected and maintained according to the O&M program specified as part of the PCP. The certification statement shall be:

I certify under penalty of law that all source control and treatment Best Management Practices being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit have been inspected, maintained and repaired in accordance with manufacturer or design specification. I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all Best Management Practices being claimed for a phosphorus reduction credit are performing as originally designed.

f. Certification that all municipally owned and maintained turf grass areas are being managed in accordance with Massachusetts Regulation 331 CMR 31 pertaining to proper use of fertilizers on turf grasses (see http://www.mass.gov/courts/docs/lawlib/300-399cmr/330cmr31.pdf).

3. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.I.1. as follows.
   a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when the following conditions are met:
      i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of phosphorus are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
   b. When the criteria in Appendix F part A.I.3.a. are met, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part A.I.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
      i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.I.1 to date to reduce phosphorus in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
      ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.I.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications, and the reporting requirements of Appendix F part I.2. remain in place.

II. Lake and Pond Phosphorus TMDL Requirements

Between 1999 and 2010 EPA has approved 13 Lake TMDLs[10] completed by MassDEP covering 78 lakes and ponds within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that discharges to a waterbody segment in Table F-6 is subject to the requirements of this part.

1. Permittees that operate regulated MS4s (traditional and non-traditional) that discharge to the identified impaired waters or their tributaries must reduce phosphorus discharges to support achievement of phosphorus load reductions identified in the TMDLs. To address phosphorus, all permittees with a phosphorus reduction requirement greater than 0% shall develop a Lake Phosphorus Control Plan (LPCP) designed to reduce the amount of phosphorus in stormwater discharges from its MS4 to the impaired waterbody or its tributaries in accordance with the phosphorus load reduction requirements set forth in Table F-6 below. Permittees discharging to waterbodies in Table F-6 with an associated 0% Phosphorus Required Percent Reduction are subject to Appendix F part II.2.f and are relieved of the requirements of Appendix F part II.1.i through Appendix F part II.2.e Table F-6 identifies the primary municipalities[11] located within the watershed of the respective lake or pond and the percent phosphorus reductions necessary from urban stormwater sources. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that discharges to a lake or pond listed in Table F-6 or its tributaries is subject to the same phosphorus percent reduction requirements associated with that lake or pond.

Primary Municipality	Waterbody Name	Required Percent Reduction
Auburn	Leesville Pond	31%
	Auburn Pond	24%
	Eddy Pond	0%
	Pondville Pond	8%
	Stoneville Pond	3%
Charlton	Buffumville Lake	28%
	Dresser Hill Pond	17%
	Gore Pond	14%
	Granite Reservoir	11%
	Jones Pond	13%
	Pierpoint Meadow Pond	27%
	Pikes Pond	38%
Dudley	Gore Pond	14%

[10] Final TMDLs for lakes and ponds in the Northern Blackstone River Watershed, Chicopee Basin, Connecticut Basin, French Basin, Millers Basin and Bare Hill Pond, Flint Pond, Indian Lake, Lake Boon, Leesville Pond, Salisbury Pond, White Island Pond, Quaboag Pond and Quacumquasit Pond can be found here: http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/water/watersheds/total-maximum-daily-loads-tmdls.html

[11] Primary municipalities indicate the municipality in which the majority of the lake or pond is located but does not necessarily indicate each municipality that has urbanized area that discharges to the lake or pond or its tributaries.

Primary Municipality	Waterbody Name	Required Percent Reduction
	Larner Pond	55%
	New Pond	56%
	Pierpoint Meadow Pond	27%
	Shepherd Pond	25%
	Tobins Pond	62%
	Wallis Pond	54%
Gardner	Hilchey Pond	27%
	Parker Pond	47%
	Bents Pond	52%
	Ramsdall Pond	49%
Grafton	Flint Pond/Lake Quinsigamond	59%
Granby	Aldrich Lake East	0%
Hadley	Lake Warner	24%
Harvard	Bare Hill Pond	2%
Hudson	Lake Boon	28%
Leicester	Smiths Pond	30%
	Southwick Pond	64%
	Cedar Meadow Pond	17%
	Dutton Pond	23%
	Greenville Pond	14%
	Rochdale Pond	8%
Ludlow	Minechoag Pond	48%
Millbury	Brierly Pond	14%
	Dorothy Pond	1%
	Howe Reservoir	48%
Oxford	Buffumville Lake	28%
	Hudson Pond	37%
	Lowes Pond	51%
	McKinstry Pond	79%
	Robinson Pond	8%
	Texas Pond	21%
Shrewsbury	Flint Pond/Lake Quinsigamond	49%
	Jordan Pond	60%
	Mill Pond	43%
	Newton Pond	19%
	Shirley Street Pond	30%
Spencer	Quaboag Pond	29%

Primary Municipality	Waterbody Name	Required Percent Reduction
	Quacumquasit Pond	2%
	Jones Pond	13%
	Sugden Reservoir	31%
Springfield	Loon Pond	10%
	Long Pond	56%
	Mona Lake	57%
Stow	Lake Boon	28%
Templeton	Brazell Pond	62%
	Depot Pond	50%
	Bourn-Hadley Pond	49%
	Greenwood Pond 2	56%
Wilbraham	Spectacle Pond	45%
Winchendon	Lake Denison	22%
	Stoddard Pond	24%
	Whitney Pond	16%
	Whites Mill Pond	21%

Table F-6: Phosphorus impaired Lakes or Ponds subject to a TMDL along with primary municipality and required percent reduction of phosphorus from urban stormwater sources

i. The LPCP shall be implemented in accordance with the following schedule and contain the following elements:

a. LPCP Implementation Schedule – The permittee shall complete its LPCP and fully implement all of the control measures in its LPCP as soon as possible but no later than 15 years after the effective date of the permit.

b. The LPCP shall be implemented in accordance with the following schedule and contain the following elements:

Number	LPCP Component and Milestones	Completion Date
1	Legal Analysis	2 years after permit effective date
2	Funding source assessment	3 years after permit effective date
3	Define LPCP scope (LPCP Area)	4 years after permit effective date
4	Calculate Baseline Phosphorus, Allowable Phosphorus Load and Phosphorus Reduction Requirement	4 years after permit effective date

5	Description of planned nonstructural and structural controls	5 years after permit effective date
6	Description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program	5 years after permit effective date
7	Implementation schedule	5 years after permit effective date
8	Cost and Funding Source Assessment	5 years after permit effective date
9	Complete written LPCP	5 years after permit effective date
10	Full implementation of nonstructural controls.	6 years after permit effective date
11	Performance Evaluation.	6 and 7 years after permit effective date
12	1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR}\ X\ 0.80)$	8 years after permit effective date
13	Performance Evaluation	9 years after permit effective date
14	1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR}\ X\ 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met)	10years after permit effective date
15	Performance Evaluation	11 and 12 years after permit effective date
16	1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable	13years after permit effective date

	Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.30 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR}\ X\ 0.30)$	
17	Performance Evaluation	14 years after permit effective date
18	1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow}$	15years after permit effective date

Table F-7: LPCP components and milestones

c. Description of LPCP Components:

Legal Analysis- The permittee shall develop and implement an analysis that identifies existing regulatory mechanisms available to the MS4 such as by-laws and ordinances and describes any changes to these regulatory mechanisms that may be necessary to effectively implement the LPCP. This may include the creation or amendment of financial and regulatory authorities. The permittee shall adopt necessary regulatory changes by the end of the permit term.

Scope of the LPCP (LPCP Area) - The permittee shall indicate the area in which the permittee plans to implement the LPCP, this area is known as the "LPCP Area". The permittee must choose one of the following: 1) to implement its LPCP in the entire area within its jurisdiction discharging to the impaired waterbody (for a municipality this would be the municipal boundary) or 2) to implement its LPCP in only the urbanized area portion of its jurisdiction discharging to the impaired waterbody. If the permittee chooses to implement the LPCP in its entire jurisdiction discharging to the impaired waterbody, the permittee may demonstrate compliance with the Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load requirements applicable to it through structural and non-structural controls on discharges that occur both inside and outside the urbanized area. If the permittee chooses to implement the LPCP in its urbanized area only discharging to the impaired waterbody, the permittee must demonstrate compliance with the Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load requirements applicable to it through structural and non-structural controls on discharges that occur within the urbanized area only.

Calculate Baseline Phosphorus Load (P_{base}), Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) and Allowable Phosphorus Load (P_{allow}) –Permittees shall calculate their numerical Allowable Phosphorus Load and Phosphorus Reduction Requirement in mass/yr by first estimating their Baseline Phosphorus Load in mass/yr from its LPCP Area consistent with the methodology in Attachment 1 to Appendix F, the baseline shall only be estimated using land use phosphorus export coefficients in Attachment 1 to Appendix F and not account for phosphorus reductions resulting from implemented structural BMPs completed to date. Table F-6 contains the

percent phosphorus reduction required from urban stormwater consistent with the TMDL of each impaired waterbody. The permittee shall apply the applicable required percent reduction in Table F-6 to the calculated Baseline Phosphorus Load to obtain the permittee specific Allowable Phosphorus Load. The Allowable Phosphorus Load shall then be subtracted from the Baseline Phosphorus Load to obtain the permittee specific Phosphorus Reduction Requirement in mass/yr.

Description of planned non-structural controls – The permittee shall describe the non-structural stormwater control measures to be implemented to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7. The description of non-structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions that are expected to result from their implementation. Annual phosphorus reduction from non-structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F. The permittee shall update the description of planned non-structural controls as needed to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7, including an update in the updated written LPCP 10 years after the permit effective date.

Description of planned structural controls – The permittee shall develop a priority ranking of areas and infrastructure within the municipality for potential implementation of phosphorus control practices. The ranking shall be developed through the use of available screening and monitoring results collected during the permit term either by the permittee or another entity and the mapping required pursuant to part 2.3.4.6 of the Permit. The permittee shall also include in this prioritization a detailed assessment of site suitability for potential phosphorus control measures based on soil types and other factors. The permittee shall coordinate this activity with the requirements of part 2.3.6.8.b of the Permit. A description and the result of this priority ranking shall be included in the LPCP. The permittee shall describe the structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the milestones in Table F-7. The description of structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions in units of mass/yr that are expected to result from their implementation. Structural measures to be implemented by a third party may be included in the LPCP. Annual phosphorus reduction from structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F. The permittee shall update the description of planned structural controls as needed to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7, including an update in the updated written LPCP 10 years after the permit effective date.

Description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program for all planned and existing structural BMPs – The permittee shall establish an Operation and Maintenance Program for all structural BMPs being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit as part of Phase 1 and 2 of the PCP. This includes BMPs implemented to date as well as BMPs to be implemented during Phase 2 of the PCP. The Operation and Maintenance Program shall become part of the PCP and include: (1) inspection and maintenance schedule for each BMP according to BMP design or manufacturer specification and (2) program or department responsible for BMP maintenance.

Implementation Schedule – An initial schedule for implementing the BMPs, including, as appropriate: funding, training, purchasing, construction, inspections, monitoring, O&M and other assessment and evaluation components of implementation. Implementation of planned BMPs must begin upon completion of the LPCP, and all non-structural BMPs shall be fully implemented within six years of the permit effective date. Where planned structural BMP retrofits or major drainage infrastructure projects are expected to take additional time to construct, the permittee shall within four years of the effective date of the permit have a schedule for completion of construction consistent with the reduction requirements in Table F-7. The permittee shall complete the implementation of its LPCP as soon as possible or at a minimum in accordance with the milestones set forth in Table F-7. The implementation schedule shall be updated as needed to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7, including an update in the updated written LPCP 10 years after the permit effective date.

Cost and funding source assessment – The permittee shall estimate the cost for implementing its LPCP and describe known and anticipated funding mechanisms. The permittee shall describe the steps it will take to implement its funding plan. This may include but is not limited to conceptual development, outreach to affected parties, and development of legal authorities.

Complete written LPCP – The permittee must complete the written LPCP 5 years after permit effective date. The complete LPCP shall include item numbers 1-8 in Table F-7. The permittee shall make the LPCP available to the public for public comment during the LPCP development. EPA encourages the permittee to post the LPCP online to facilitate public involvement. The LPCP shall be updated as needed with an update 10 years after the permit effective date at a minimum to reflect changes in BMP implementation to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-7. The updated LPCP shall build upon the original LPCP and include additional or new BMPs the permittee will use to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7.

Performance Evaluation – The permittee shall evaluate the effectiveness of the LPCP by tracking the phosphorus reductions achieved through implementation of structural and non-structural BMPs[12] and tracking increases in phosphorus loading from the LPCP Area beginning six years after the effective date of the permit. Phosphorus reductions shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 (non-structural BMP performance), Attachment 3 (structural BMP performance) and Attachment 1 (reductions through land use change), to Appendix F for all BMPs implemented to date[13]. Phosphorus load increases resulting from development shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F. Phosphorus

[12] In meeting its phosphorus reduction requirements a permittee may quantify phosphorus reductions by actions undertaken by another entity, except where those actions are credited to MassDOT or another permittee identified in Appendix F Table F-7

[13] Annual phosphorus reductions from structural BMPs installed in the LPCP Area prior to the effective date of this permit shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F. Phosphorus Reduction Credit for previously installed BMPs will only be given if the Permittee demonstrates that the BMP is performing up to design specifications and certifies that the BMP is properly maintained and inspected according to manufacturer design or specifications. This certification shall be part of the annual performance evaluation during the year credit is claimed for the previously installed BMP.

loading increases and reductions in units of mass/yr shall be added or subtracted from the calculated Baseline Phosphorus Load to estimate the yearly phosphorous export rate from the LPCP Area in mass/yr. The permittee shall also include all information required in part II.2 of this Appendix in each performance evaluation.

2. Reporting

Beginning 1 year after the permit effective date, the permittee shall include a progress report in each annual report on the planning and implementation of the LPCP.

Beginning five (5) years after the permit effective date, the permittee shall include the following in each annual report submitted pursuant to part 4.4 of the Permit:

a. All non-structural control measures implemented during the reporting year along with the phosphorus reduction in mass/yr (P_{NSred}) calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F
b. Structural controls implemented during the reporting year and all previous years including:
    a. Location information of structural BMPs (GPS coordinates or street address)
    b. Phosphorus reduction from all structural BMPs implemented to date in mass/yr (P_{Sred}) calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F
    c. Date of last completed maintenance for each Structural control
c. Phosphorus load increases due to development over the previous reporting period and incurred to date (P_{DEVinc}) calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F.
d. Estimated yearly phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area calculated using Equation 2. Equation2 calculates the yearly phosphorus export rate by subtracting yearly phosphorus reductions through implemented nonstructural controls and structural controls to date from the Baseline Phosphorus Load and adding loading increases incurred through development to date. This equation shall be used to demonstrate compliance with the phosphorus reduction milestones required as part of each phase of the LPCP.

$$P_{exp}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right) = P_{base}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right) - \left(P_{Sred}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right) + P_{NSred}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right)\right) + P_{DEVinc}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right)$$

Equation 2. Equation used to calculate yearly phosphorus export rate from the chosen LPCP Area. P_{exp}=Current phosphorus export rate from the LPCP Area in mass/year. P_{base}=baseline phosphorus export rate from LPCP Area in mass/year. P_{Sred}= yearly phosphorus reduction from implemented structural controls in the LPCP Area in mass/year. P_{NSred}= yearly phosphorus reduction from implemented non-structural controls in the LPCP Area in mass/year. Area in mass/year. P_{DEVinc}= yearly phosphorus increase resulting from development since the year baseline loading was calculated in the LPCP Area in mass/year.

e. Certification that all structural BMPs are being inspected and maintained according to the O&M program specified as part of the PCP. The certification statement shall be:

I certify under penalty of law that all source control and treatment Best Management Practices being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit have been inspected, maintained and repaired in accordance with manufacturer or design specification. I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all Best Management

Practices being claimed for a phosphorus reduction credit are performing as originally designed.

f. Certification that all municipally owned and maintained turf grass areas are being managed in accordance with Massachusetts Regulation 331 CMR 31 pertaining to proper use of fertilizers on turf grasses (see http://www.mass.gov/courts/docs/lawlib/300-399cmr/330cmr31.pdf).

3. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.II.1. as follows:
   a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when the following conditions are met:
      i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of phosphorus are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
   b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional remaining requirements of Appendix F part A.II.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
      i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.II.1 to date to reduce phosphorus in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
      ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.I.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications, and the reporting requirements of Appendix F part A.II.2. remain in place.

III. Bacteria and Pathogen TMDL Requirements

There are currently approved 16 approved bacteria (fecal coliform bacteria) or mixed pathogen (fecal coliform, E. coli, and/or enterococcus bacteria) TMDLs for certain waterbodies in Massachusetts.[14] Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that discharges to a waterbody segment in Table F-8 is subject to the requirements of this part.

1. Traditional and non-traditional MS4s operating in the municipalities listed in Table F-8 and/or that discharge to a waterbody listed on Table F-8 shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:

    a. Enhanced BMPs

        i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:

            1. part 2.3.3. Public Education: The permittee shall supplement its Residential program with an annual message encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee or its agents shall disseminate educational materials to dog owners at the time of issuance or renewal of a dog license, or other appropriate time. Education materials shall describe the detrimental impacts of improper management of pet waste, requirements for waste collection and disposal, and penalties for non-compliance. The permittee shall also provide information to owners of septic systems about proper maintenance in any catchment that discharges to a water body impaired for bacteria or pathogens. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.IV, A.V, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.

            2. part 2.3.4 Illicit Discharge: Catchments draining to any waterbody impaired for bacteria or pathogens shall be designated either Problem Catchments or HIGH priority in implementation of the IDDE program.

Primary Municipality	Segment ID	Waterbody Name	Indicator Organism
Abington	MA62-09	Beaver Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Abington	MA62-33	Shumatuscacant River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Acushnet	MA95-31	Acushnet River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Acushnet	MA95-32	Acushnet River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Acushnet	MA95-33	Acushnet River	Fecal Coliform

[14] Final bacteria or pathogen TMDLs can be found here: http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/water/watersheds/total-maximum-daily-loads-tmdls.html

Andover	MA83-04	Rogers Brook	Fecal Coliform
Andover	MA83-15	Unnamed Tributary	Fecal Coliform
Andover	MA83-18	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Andover	MA83-19	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Avon	MA62-07	Trout Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Barnstable	MA96-01	Barnstable Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-02	Bumps River	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-04	Centerville River	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-05	Hyannis Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-06	Maraspin Creek	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-07	Prince Cove	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-08	Shoestring Bay	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-36	Lewis Bay	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-37	Mill Creek	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-63	Cotuit Bay	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-64	Seapuit River	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-66	North Bay	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-81	Snows Creek	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-82	Hyannis Inner Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-92	Santuit River	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-93	Halls Creek	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-94	Stewarts Creek	Fecal Coliform
Bedford	MA83-01	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Bedford	MA83-05	Elm Brook	Fecal Coliform
Bedford	MA83-06	Vine Brook	Fecal Coliform
Bedford	MA83-08	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Bedford	MA83-10	Kiln Brook	Fecal Coliform
Bedford	MA83-14	Spring Brook	Fecal Coliform
Bedford	MA83-17	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Bellingham	MA72-03	Charles River	Pathogens
Bellingham	MA72-04	Charles River	Pathogens
Belmont	MA72-28	Beaver Brook	Pathogens
Berkley	MA62-02	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Berkley	MA62-03	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Berkley	MA62-20	Assonet River	Fecal Coliform
Beverly	MA93-08	Bass River	Fecal Coliform
Beverly	MA93-09	Danvers River	Fecal Coliform
Beverly	MA93-20	Beverly Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Beverly	MA93-25	Salem Sound	Fecal Coliform
Billerica	MA83-14	Spring Brook	Fecal Coliform
Billerica	MA83-17	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform

Billerica	MA83-18	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-01	Buttermilk Bay	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-14	Cape Cod Canal	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-15	Phinneys Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-16	Pocasset River	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-17	Pocasset Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-18	Red Brook Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-47	Back River	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-48	Eel Pond	Fecal Coliform
Brewster	MA96-09	Quivett Creek	Fecal Coliform
Brewster	MA96-27	Namskaket Creek	Fecal Coliform
Bridgewater	MA62-32	Matfield River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Brockton	MA62-05	Salisbury Plain River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Brockton	MA62-06	Salisbury Plain River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Brockton	MA62-07	Trout Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Brockton	MA62-08	Salisbury Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Brockton	MA62-09	Beaver Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Brookline	MA72-11	Muddy River	Pathogens
Burlington	MA83-06	Vine Brook	Fecal Coliform
Burlington	MA83-11	Long Meadow Brook	Fecal Coliform
Burlington	MA83-13	Sandy Brook	Fecal Coliform
Cambridge	MA72-36	Charles River	Pathogens
Cambridge	MA72-38	Charles River	Pathogens
Canton	MA73-01	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Canton	MA73-01	Neponset River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Canton	MA73-02	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Canton	MA73-05	East Branch	Fecal Coliform
Canton	MA73-20	Beaver Meadow Brook	Fecal Coliform
Canton	MA73-22	Pequid Brook	Fecal Coliform
Canton	MA73-25	Pecunit Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Canton	MA73-27	Ponkapog Brook	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-11	Stage Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-41	Mill Creek	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-42	Taylors Pond	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-43	Harding Beach Pond	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-44	Bucks Creek	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-45	Oyster Pond	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-46	Oyster Pond River	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-49	Frost Fish Creek	Pathogens
Chatham	MA96-50	Ryder Cove	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-51	Muddy Creek	Pathogens

Chatham	MA96-79	Cockle Cove Creek	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-79	Cockle Cove Creek	Enterococcus Bacteria
Cohasset	MA94-01	Cohasset Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Cohasset	MA94-19	The Gulf	Fecal Coliform
Cohasset	MA94-20	Little Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Cohasset	MA94-32	Cohasset Cove	Fecal Coliform
Concord	MA83-05	Elm Brook	Fecal Coliform
Danvers	MA93-01	Waters River	Fecal Coliform
Danvers	MA93-02	Crane Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Danvers	MA93-04	Porter River	Fecal Coliform
Danvers	MA93-09	Danvers River	Fecal Coliform
Danvers	MA93-36	Frost Fish Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Danvers	MA93-41	Crane River	Fecal Coliform
Dartmouth	MA95-13	Buttonwood Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Dartmouth	MA95-34	Slocums River	Fecal Coliform
Dartmouth	MA95-38	Clarks Cove	Fecal Coliform
Dartmouth	MA95-39	Apponagansett Bay	Fecal Coliform
Dartmouth	MA95-40	East Branch Westport River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Dartmouth	MA95-62	Buzzards Bay	Fecal Coliform
Dedham	MA72-07	Charles River	Pathogens
Dedham	MA72-21	Rock Meadow Brook	Pathogens
Dedham	MA73-02	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Dennis	MA96-09	Quivett Creek	Fecal Coliform
Dennis	MA96-12	Bass River	Fecal Coliform
Dennis	MA96-13	Sesuit Creek	Fecal Coliform
Dennis	MA96-14	Swan Pond River	Fecal Coliform
Dennis	MA96-35	Chase Garden Creek	Fecal Coliform
Dighton	MA62-02	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Dighton	MA62-03	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Dighton	MA62-50	Broad Cove	Fecal Coliform
Dighton	MA62-51	Muddy Cove Brook	Fecal Coliform
Dighton	MA62-55	Segreganset River	Fecal Coliform
Dighton	MA62-56	Three Mile River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Dighton	MA62-57	Three Mile River	Fecal Coliform
Dover	MA72-05	Charles River	Pathogens
Dover	MA72-06	Charles River	Pathogens
Duxbury	MA94-15	Duxbury Bay	Fecal Coliform
Duxbury	MA94-30	Bluefish River	Fecal Coliform
East Bridgewater	MA62-06	Salisbury Plain River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
East Bridgewater	MA62-09	Beaver Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
East Bridgewater	MA62-32	Matfield River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)

East Bridgewater	MA62-33	Shumatuscacant River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
East Bridgewater	MA62-38	Meadow Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Eastham	MA96-15	Boat Meadow River	Fecal Coliform
Eastham	MA96-16	Rock Harbor Creek	Fecal Coliform
Eastham	MA96-34	Wellfleet Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Eastham	MA96-68	Town Cove	Fecal Coliform
Essex	MA93-11	Essex River	Fecal Coliform
Essex	MA93-16	Essex Bay	Fecal Coliform
Essex	MA93-45	Alewife Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Essex	MA93-46	Alewife Brook	Fecal Coliform
Everett	MA93-51	Unnamed Tributary	Enterococcus Bacteria
Fairhaven	MA95-33	Acushnet River	Fecal Coliform
Fairhaven	MA95-42	New Bedford Inner Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Fairhaven	MA95-62	Buzzards Bay	Fecal Coliform
Fairhaven	MA95-63	Outer New Bedford Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Fairhaven	MA95-64	Little Bay	Fecal Coliform
Fairhaven	MA95-65	Nasketucket Bay	Fecal Coliform
Fall River	MA61-06	Mount Hope Bay	Fecal Coliform
Fall River	MA62-04	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA95-20	Wild Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA95-21	Herring Brook	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA95-22	West Falmouth Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA95-23	Great Sippewisset Creek	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA95-24	Little Sippewisset Marsh	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA95-25	Quissett Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA95-46	Harbor Head	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-17	Falmouth Inner Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-18	Great Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-19	Little Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-20	Quashnet River	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-21	Waquoit Bay	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-53	Perch Pond	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-54	Great Pond	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-55	Green Pond	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-56	Little Pond	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-57	Bournes Pond	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-58	Hamblin Pond	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-62	Oyster Pond	Fecal Coliform
Foxborough	MA62-39	Rumford River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Foxborough	MA62-47	Wading River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Foxborough	MA73-01	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform

Foxborough	MA73-01	Neponset River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Franklin	MA72-04	Charles River	Pathogens
Freetown	MA62-04	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Freetown	MA62-20	Assonet River	Fecal Coliform
Gloucester	MA93-12	Annisquam River	Fecal Coliform
Gloucester	MA93-16	Essex Bay	Fecal Coliform
Gloucester	MA93-18	Gloucester Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Gloucester	MA93-28	Mill River	Fecal Coliform
Hanover	MA94-05	North River	Fecal Coliform
Hanover	MA94-21	Drinkwater River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Hanover	MA94-24	Iron Mine Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Hanover	MA94-27	Third Herring Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Hanson	MA62-33	Shumatuscacant River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Harwich	MA96-22	Herring River	Fecal Coliform
Harwich	MA96-23	Saquatucket Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Harwich	MA96-51	Muddy Creek	Pathogens
Holliston	MA72-16	Bogastow Brook	Pathogens
Hopedale	MA72-03	Charles River	Pathogens
Hopkinton	MA72-01	Charles River	Pathogens
Ipswich	MA93-16	Essex Bay	Fecal Coliform
Kingston	MA94-14	Jones River	Fecal Coliform
Kingston	MA94-15	Duxbury Bay	Fecal Coliform
Lawrence	MA83-19	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Lexington	MA72-28	Beaver Brook	Pathogens
Lexington	MA83-06	Vine Brook	Fecal Coliform
Lexington	MA83-10	Kiln Brook	Fecal Coliform
Lincoln	MA83-05	Elm Brook	Fecal Coliform
Lincoln	MA83-08	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Lynn	MA93-24	Nahant Bay	Fecal Coliform
Lynn	MA93-44	Saugus River	Fecal Coliform
Lynn	MA93-52	Lynn Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Lynnfield	MA93-30	Beaverdam Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Lynnfield	MA93-32	Hawkes Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Lynnfield	MA93-34	Saugus River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Lynnfield	MA93-35	Saugus River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Malden	MA93-51	Unnamed Tributary	Enterococcus Bacteria
Manchester	MA93-19	Manchester Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Manchester	MA93-25	Salem Sound	Fecal Coliform
Manchester	MA93-29	Cat Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Manchester	MA93-47	Causeway Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Mansfield	MA62-39	Rumford River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)

Mansfield	MA62-47	Wading River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Mansfield	MA62-49	Wading River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Marblehead	MA93-21	Salem Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Marblehead	MA93-22	Marblehead Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Marblehead	MA93-25	Salem Sound	Fecal Coliform
Marion	MA95-05	Weweantic River	Fecal Coliform
Marion	MA95-07	Sippican River	Fecal Coliform
Marion	MA95-08	Sippican Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Marion	MA95-09	Aucoot Cove	Fecal Coliform
Marion	MA95-56	Hammett Cove	Fecal Coliform
Marshfield	MA94-05	North River	Fecal Coliform
Marshfield	MA94-06	North River	Fecal Coliform
Marshfield	MA94-09	South River	Fecal Coliform
Marshfield	MA94-11	Green Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Mashpee	MA96-08	Shoestring Bay	Fecal Coliform
Mashpee	MA96-21	Waquoit Bay	Fecal Coliform
Mashpee	MA96-24	Mashpee River	Fecal Coliform
Mashpee	MA96-39	Popponesset Creek	Fecal Coliform
Mashpee	MA96-58	Hamblin Pond	Fecal Coliform
Mashpee	MA96-61	Little River	Fecal Coliform
Mashpee	MA96-92	Santuit River	Fecal Coliform
Mattapoisett	MA95-09	Aucoot Cove	Fecal Coliform
Mattapoisett	MA95-10	Hiller Cove	Fecal Coliform
Mattapoisett	MA95-35	Mattapoisett Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Mattapoisett	MA95-60	Mattapoisett River	Fecal Coliform
Mattapoisett	MA95-61	Eel Pond	Fecal Coliform
Mattapoisett	MA95-65	Nasketucket Bay	Fecal Coliform
Medfield	MA72-05	Charles River	Pathogens
Medfield	MA72-10	Stop River	Pathogens
Medfield	MA73-09	Mine Brook	Fecal Coliform
Medway	MA72-04	Charles River	Pathogens
Medway	MA72-05	Charles River	Pathogens
Melrose	MA93-48	Bennetts Pond Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Mendon	MA72-03	Charles River	Pathogens
Milford	MA72-01	Charles River	Pathogens
Millis	MA72-05	Charles River	Pathogens
Millis	MA72-16	Bogastow Brook	Pathogens
Milton	MA73-02	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Milton	MA73-03	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Milton	MA73-04	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Milton	MA73-26	Unquity Brook	Fecal Coliform

Milton	MA73-29	Pine Tree Brook	Fecal Coliform
Milton	MA73-30	Gulliver Creek	Fecal Coliform
Nahant	MA93-24	Nahant Bay	Fecal Coliform
Nahant	MA93-52	Lynn Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Nahant	MA93-53	Lynn Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Natick	MA72-05	Charles River	Pathogens
Natick	MA72-06	Charles River	Pathogens
Needham	MA72-06	Charles River	Pathogens
Needham	MA72-07	Charles River	Pathogens
Needham	MA72-18	Fuller Brook	Pathogens
Needham	MA72-21	Rock Meadow Brook	Pathogens
Needham	MA72-25	Rosemary Brook	Pathogens
New Bedford	MA95-13	Buttonwood Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
New Bedford	MA95-33	Acushnet River	Fecal Coliform
New Bedford	MA95-38	Clarks Cove	Fecal Coliform
New Bedford	MA95-42	New Bedford Inner Harbor	Fecal Coliform
New Bedford	MA95-63	Outer New Bedford Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Newton	MA72-07	Charles River	Pathogens
Newton	MA72-23	Sawmill Brook	Pathogens
Newton	MA72-24	South Meadow Brook	Pathogens
Newton	MA72-29	Cheese Cake Brook	Pathogens
Newton	MA72-36	Charles River	Pathogens
Norfolk	MA72-05	Charles River	Pathogens
Norfolk	MA72-10	Stop River	Pathogens
North Andover	MA83-19	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Norton	MA62-49	Wading River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Norton	MA62-56	Three Mile River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Norwell	MA94-05	North River	Fecal Coliform
Norwell	MA94-27	Third Herring Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Norwell	MA94-31	Second Herring Brook	Fecal Coliform
Norwood	MA73-01	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Norwood	MA73-01	Neponset River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Norwood	MA73-02	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Norwood	MA73-15	Germany Brook	Fecal Coliform
Norwood	MA73-16	Hawes Brook	Fecal Coliform
Norwood	MA73-17	Traphole Brook	Fecal Coliform
Norwood	MA73-24	Purgatory Brook	Fecal Coliform
Norwood	MA73-33	Unnamed Tributary	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Orleans	MA96-16	Rock Harbor Creek	Fecal Coliform
Orleans	MA96-26	Little Namskaket Creek	Fecal Coliform
Orleans	MA96-27	Namskaket Creek	Fecal Coliform

Orleans	MA96-68	Town Cove	Fecal Coliform
Orleans	MA96-72	Paw Wah Pond	Fecal Coliform
Orleans	MA96-73	Pochet Neck	Fecal Coliform
Orleans	MA96-76	The River	Fecal Coliform
Orleans	MA96-78	Little Pleasant Bay	Fecal Coliform
Peabody	MA93-01	Waters River	Fecal Coliform
Peabody	MA93-05	Goldthwait Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Peabody	MA93-39	Proctor Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Pembroke	MA94-05	North River	Fecal Coliform
Plymouth	MA94-15	Duxbury Bay	Fecal Coliform
Plymouth	MA94-16	Plymouth Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Plymouth	MA94-34	Ellisville Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Raynham	MA62-02	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Rehoboth	MA53-03	Palmer River	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-04	Palmer River	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-05	Palmer River	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-07	Palmer River - West Branch	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-08	Palmer River - East Branch	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-09	Rumney Marsh Brook	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-10	Beaver Dam Brook	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-11	Bad Luck Brook	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-12	Fullers Brook	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-13	Clear Run Brook	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-14	Torrey Creek	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-15	Old Swamp Brook	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-16	Rocky Run	Pathogens
Revere	MA93-15	Pines River	Fecal Coliform
Revere	MA93-44	Saugus River	Fecal Coliform
Revere	MA93-51	Unnamed Tributary	Enterococcus Bacteria
Revere	MA93-52	Lynn Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Revere	MA93-53	Lynn Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Rockland	MA94-03	French Stream	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Rockport	MA93-17	Rockport Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Salem	MA93-09	Danvers River	Fecal Coliform
Salem	MA93-20	Beverly Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Salem	MA93-21	Salem Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Salem	MA93-25	Salem Sound	Fecal Coliform
Salem	MA93-39	Proctor Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Salem	MA93-40	Proctor Brook	Enterococcus Bacteria
Salem	MA93-42	North River	Fecal Coliform
Sandwich	MA95-14	Cape Cod Canal	Fecal Coliform

Sandwich	MA96-30	Scorton Creek	Fecal Coliform
Sandwich	MA96-84	Old Harbor Creek	Fecal Coliform
Sandwich	MA96-85	Mill Creek	Fecal Coliform
Sandwich	MA96-86	Dock Creek	Fecal Coliform
Sandwich	MA96-87	Springhill Creek	Fecal Coliform
Saugus	MA93-15	Pines River	Fecal Coliform
Saugus	MA93-33	Hawkes Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Saugus	MA93-35	Saugus River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Saugus	MA93-43	Saugus River	Fecal Coliform
Saugus	MA93-44	Saugus River	Fecal Coliform
Saugus	MA93-48	Bennetts Pond Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Saugus	MA93-49	Shute Brook	Fecal Coliform
Saugus	MA93-50	Shute Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Scituate	MA94-01	Cohasset Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-02	Scituate Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-05	North River	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-06	North River	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-07	Herring River	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-09	South River	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-19	The Gulf	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-32	Cohasset Cove	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-33	Musquashcut Pond	Fecal Coliform
Seekonk	MA53-01	Runnins River	Fecal Coliform
Seekonk	MA53-12	Fullers Brook	Pathogens
Seekonk	MA53-13	Clear Run Brook	Pathogens
Seekonk	MA53-14	Torrey Creek	Pathogens
Sharon	MA62-39	Rumford River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Sharon	MA73-17	Traphole Brook	Fecal Coliform
Sharon	MA73-31	Unnamed Tributary	Fecal Coliform
Sherborn	MA72-05	Charles River	Pathogens
Somerset	MA61-01	Lee River	Fecal Coliform
Somerset	MA61-02	Lee River	Fecal Coliform
Somerset	MA61-06	Mount Hope Bay	Fecal Coliform
Somerset	MA62-03	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Somerset	MA62-04	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Somerset	MA62-50	Broad Cove	Fecal Coliform
Stoughton	MA73-20	Beaver Meadow Brook	Fecal Coliform
Stoughton	MA73-32	Unnamed Tributary	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Swampscott	MA93-24	Nahant Bay	Fecal Coliform
Swansea	MA53-03	Palmer River	Pathogens
Swansea	MA53-06	Warren River Pond	Fecal Coliform

Swansea	MA53-16	Rocky Run	Pathogens
Swansea	MA61-01	Lee River	Fecal Coliform
Swansea	MA61-02	Lee River	Fecal Coliform
Swansea	MA61-04	Cole River	Fecal Coliform
Swansea	MA61-07	Mount Hope Bay	Fecal Coliform
Swansea	MA61-08	Kickemuit River	Pathogens
Taunton	MA62-02	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Taunton	MA62-56	Three Mile River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Taunton	MA62-57	Three Mile River	Fecal Coliform
Tewksbury	MA83-07	Strong Water Brook	Fecal Coliform
Tewksbury	MA83-15	Unnamed Tributary	Fecal Coliform
Tewksbury	MA83-18	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Wakefield	MA93-31	Mill River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Wakefield	MA93-34	Saugus River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Wakefield	MA93-35	Saugus River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Walpole	MA72-10	Stop River	Pathogens
Walpole	MA73-01	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Walpole	MA73-01	Neponset River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Walpole	MA73-06	School Meadow Brook	Fecal Coliform
Walpole	MA73-09	Mine Brook	Fecal Coliform
Walpole	MA73-17	Traphole Brook	Fecal Coliform
Waltham	MA72-07	Charles River	Pathogens
Waltham	MA72-28	Beaver Brook	Pathogens
Wareham	MA95-01	Buttermilk Bay	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-02	Onset Bay	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-03	Wareham River	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-05	Weweantic River	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-07	Sippican River	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-29	Agawam River	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-49	Broad Marsh River	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-50	Wankinco River	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-51	Crooked River	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-52	Cedar Island Creek	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-53	Beaverdam Creek	Fecal Coliform
Watertown	MA72-07	Charles River	Pathogens
Watertown	MA72-30	Unnamed Tributary	Pathogens
Watertown	MA72-32	Unnamed Tributary	Pathogens
Watertown	MA72-36	Charles River	Pathogens
Wellesley	MA72-06	Charles River	Pathogens
Wellesley	MA72-07	Charles River	Pathogens
Wellesley	MA72-18	Fuller Brook	Pathogens

Wellesley	MA72-25	Rosemary Brook	Pathogens
Wellfleet	MA96-32	Duck Creek	Fecal Coliform
Wellfleet	MA96-33	Herring River	Fecal Coliform
Wellfleet	MA96-34	Wellfleet Harbor	Fecal Coliform
West Bridgewater	MA62-06	Salisbury Plain River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Weston	MA72-07	Charles River	Pathogens
Westport	MA95-37	West Branch Westport River	Fecal Coliform
Westport	MA95-40	East Branch Westport River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Westport	MA95-41	East Branch Westport River	Fecal Coliform
Westport	MA95-44	Snell Creek	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Westport	MA95-45	Snell Creek	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Westport	MA95-54	Westport River	Fecal Coliform
Westport	MA95-58	Bread And Cheese Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Westport	MA95-59	Snell Creek	Fecal Coliform
Westwood	MA72-21	Rock Meadow Brook	Pathogens
Westwood	MA73-02	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Westwood	MA73-15	Germany Brook	Fecal Coliform
Westwood	MA73-24	Purgatory Brook	Fecal Coliform
Westwood	MA73-25	Pecunit Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Westwood	MA73-27	Ponkapog Brook	Fecal Coliform
Whitman	MA62-09	Beaver Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Whitman	MA62-33	Shumatuscacant River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Whitman	MA62-38	Meadow Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Wilmington	MA83-18	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Winthrop	MA93-53	Lynn Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Yarmouth	MA96-12	Bass River	Fecal Coliform
Yarmouth	MA96-35	Chase Garden Creek	Fecal Coliform
Yarmouth	MA96-36	Lewis Bay	Fecal Coliform
Yarmouth	MA96-37	Mill Creek	Fecal Coliform
Yarmouth	MA96-38	Parkers River	Fecal Coliform
Yarmouth	MA96-80	Mill Creek	Fecal Coliform
Yarmouth	MA96-82	Hyannis Inner Harbor	Fecal Coliform

Table F-8: Bacteria or pathogens impaired waterbody names and segment IDs along with primary municipality and indicator organism identified by the applicable TMDL. The term primary municipality indicates the municipality in which the majority of the segment is located, but does not necessarily indicate each municipality that has regulated discharges to the waterbody segment.

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.III.1. as follows:
    a. The permittee is relieved of additional requirements as of the date when the following conditions are met:
        i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable to the receiving water

that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for bacteria/pathogens are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL

b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional remaining requirements of Appendix F part A.III.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
    i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.III.1 to date to reduce bacteria/pathogens in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
    ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.III.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

IV. Cape Cod Nitrogen TMDL Requirements

There are 19 approved TMDLs for nitrogen for various watersheds, ponds and bays on Cape Cod.[15] The following measuress are needed to ensure that current nitrogen loads from MS4 stormwater discharged into the impaired waterbodies do not increase.

1. The operators of traditional and non-traditional MS4s located in municipalities listed in Table F-9 or any other MS4 (traditional and non-traditional) that discharges to any waterbody listed in Table F-9 or their tributaries shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:

    a. Enhanced BMPs

        i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:

            1. part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (April/May) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the Fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of nitrogen to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.V, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.

            2. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for nitrogen removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs to reduce nitrogen discharges.

[15] Final nitrogen TMDLs for Cape Cod can be found here: http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/water/watersheds/total-maximum-daily-loads-tmdls.html

3. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: establish requirements for use of slow release fertilizers on permittee owned property currently using fertilizer, in addition to reducing and managing fertilizer use as provided in in part 2.3.7.1; establish procedures to properly manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a minimum of two (2) times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

Municipality	Waterbody Name
Barnstable	Centerville River
Barnstable	Popponesset Bay
Barnstable	Shoestring Bay
Barnstable	Cotuit Bay
Barnstable	North Bay
Barnstable	Prince Cove
Barnstable	West Bay
Barnstable	Hyannis Inner Harbor
Barnstable	Lewis Bay
Bourne	Phinneys Harbor
Chatham	Crows Pond
Chatham	Bucks Creek
Chatham	Harding Beach Pond
Chatham	Mill Creek
Chatham	Mill Pond
Chatham	Oyster Pond
Chatham	Oyster Pond River
Chatham	Stage Harbor
Chatham	Taylors Pond
Chatham	Frost Fish Creek
Chatham	Ryder Cove
Falmouth	Bournes Pond
Falmouth	Great Pond
Falmouth	Green Pond
Falmouth	Perch Pond
Falmouth	Little Pond
Falmouth	Oyster Pond
Falmouth	Quashnet River
Falmouth	Inner West Falmouth Harbor

Municipality	Waterbody Name
Falmouth	West Falmouth Harbor
Falmouth	Snug Harbor
Falmouth	Harbor Head
Harwich	Muddy Creek - Lower
Harwich	Muddy Creek - Upper
Harwich	Round Cove
Mashpee	Mashpee River
Mashpee	Great River
Mashpee	Hamblin Pond
Mashpee	Jehu Pond
Mashpee	Little River
Orleans	Areys Pond
Orleans	Little Pleasant Bay
Orleans	Namequoit River
Orleans	Paw Wah Pond
Orleans	Pleasant Bay
Orleans	Pochet Neck
Orleans	Quanset Pond
Yarmouth	Mill Creek
Yarmouth	Hyannis Inner Harbor
Yarmouth	Lewis Bay

Table F-9: Waterbodies subject to a Cape Cod nitrogen TMDL and the primary municipalities

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.IV.1. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.
    a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
        i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of nitrogen are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
    b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part A.IV.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
        i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.IV.1 to date to reduce nitrogen in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
        ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.IV.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing

implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

V. Assabet River Phosphorus TMDL Requirements

On September 23, 2004 EPA approved the *Assabet River Total Maximum Daily Load for Total Phosphorus*[16]**.** The following measures are needed to ensure that current phosphorus loads from MS4 stormwater discharged directly or indirectly via tributaries into the Assabet River do not increase.

1. The operators of traditional and non-traditional MS4s located in municipalities listed in Table F-10 within the Assabet River Watershed shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:

    a. Enhanced BMPs

        i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:

            1. part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (March/April) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release and phosphorous-free fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of phosphorous to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.

            2. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for phosphorus removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs that infiltrate stormwater where feasible.

            3. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: Establish program to properly

[16] Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, 2004. *Assabet River Total Maximum Daily Load for Total Phosphorus.* CN 201.0

manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a minimum of two times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

Municipality
Acton
Berlin
Bolton
Boxborough
Boylston
Carlisle
Clinton
Concord
Grafton
Harvard
Hudson
Littleton
Marlborough
Maynard
Northborough
Shrewsbury
Stow
Westborough
Westford

Table F-10: Municipalities located in the Assabet River Watershed

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.V.1. as follows.
    a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when following conditions are met:
        i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of phosphorus are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
    b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part A.V.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
        i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.V.1 to

date to reduce phosphorus in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs

ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.V.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

B. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved Out of State TMDL

I. Nitrogen TMDL Requirements

Discharges from MS4s in Massachusetts to waters that are tributaries to the Long Island Sound, which has an approved TMDL for nitrogen[17], are subject to the requirements of this part.

1. The operators of traditional and non-traditional MS4s located in municipalities listed in Table F-11 shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:

    a. Enhanced BMPs

        i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:

            1. part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (April/May) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the Fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of nitrogen to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.II and B.III where appropriate.

            2. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for nitrogen removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs to reduce nitrogen discharges.

            3. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: establish requirements for use of

[17] Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection. 2000. *A Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis to Achieve Water Quality Standards for Dissolved Oxygen in Long Island Sound*

slow release fertilizers on permittee owned property currently using fertilizer, in addition to reducing and managing fertilizer use as provided in in part 2.3.7.1; establish procedures to properly manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a minimum of two (2) times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

b. Nitrogen Source Identification Report

i. Within four years of the permit effective date the permittee shall complete a Nitrogen Source Identification Report. The report shall include the following elements:

1. Calculation of total urbanized area within the permittee's jurisdiction that is within the Connecticut River Watershed, the Housatonic River Watershed, or the Thames River Watershed, incorporating updated mapping of the MS4 and catchment delineations produced pursuant to part 2.3.4.6,
2. All screening and monitoring results pursuant to part 2.3.4.7.d., targeting the receiving water segment(s)
3. Impervious area and DCIA for the target catchment
4. Identification, delineation and prioritization of potential catchments with high nitrogen loading
5. Identification of potential retrofit opportunities or opportunities for the installation of structural BMPs during re-development

ii. The final Nitrogen Source Identification Report shall be submitted to EPA as part of the year 4 annual report.

c. Structural BMPs

i. Within five years of the permit effective date, the permittee shall evaluate all properties identified as presenting retrofit opportunities or areas for structural BMP installation under permit part 2.3.6.d.ii. or identified in the Nitrogen Source Identification Report. The evaluation shall include:

1. The next planned infrastructure, resurfacing or redevelopment activity planned for the property (if applicable) OR planned retrofit date;
2. The estimated cost of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs; and
3. The engineering and regulatory feasibility of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs.

ii. The permittee shall provide a listing of planned structural BMPs and a plan and schedule for implementation in the year 5 annual

report. The permittee shall plan and install a minimum of one structural BMP as a demonstration project within six years of the permit effective date. The demonstration project shall be installed targeting a catchment with high nitrogen load potential. The permittee shall install the remainder of the structural BMPs in accordance with the plan and schedule provided in the year 5 annual report.

iii. Any structural BMPs listed in Table 4-3 of Attachment 1 to Appendix H installed in the urbanized area by the permittee or its agents shall be tracked and the permittee shall estimate the nitrogen removal by the BMP consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix H. The permittee shall document the BMP type, total area treated by the BMP, the design storage volume of the BMP and the estimated nitrogen removed in mass per year by the BMP in each annual report.

Adams	North Adams
Agawam	Northampton
Amherst	Oxford
Ashburnham	Palmer
Ashby	Paxton
Auburn	Pelham
Belchertown	Pittsfield
Charlton	Richmond
Cheshire	Russell
Chicopee	Rutland
Dalton	South Hadley
Douglas	Southampton
Dudley	Southbridge
East Longmeadow	Southwick
Easthampton	Spencer
Gardner	Springfield
Granby	Sturbridge
Hadley	Sutton
Hampden	Templeton
Hatfield	Ware
Hinsdale	Webster
Holyoke	West Springfield
Lanesborough	Westfield
Leicester	Westhampton
Lenox	Westminster
Longmeadow	Wilbraham
Ludlow	Williamsburg
Millbury	Winchendon

Monson	

Table F-11: Massachusetts municipalities in which MS4 discharges are within the Connecticut River Watershed, the Housatonic River Watershed, or the Thames River Watershed.

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part B.I.1. as follows:
    a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when the following conditions are met:
        i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of nitrogen are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
    b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part B.I.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
        i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part B.I.1 to date to reduce nitrogen in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
        ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part B.I.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

II. Phosphorus TMDL Requirements

There are currently eight approved phosphorus TMDLs for certain waterbody segments in Rhode Island that identify urban stormwater discharges in Massachusetts as sources that are contributing phosphorus to the impaired segments. The TMDLs include the Kickemuit Reservoir, Upper Kikemuit River, Kickemuit River, Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Turner Reservoir, Lower Ten Mile River, and Omega Pond TMDLs[18]. Table F-12 lists municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing phosphorus to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island, the impaired receiving water, and the approved TMDL name. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that operates an MS4 in a municipality listed in Table F-12 and that discharges to a waterbody or tributary of a waterbody listed on Table F-12 is subject to the requirements of this part.

1. The operators of traditional and non-traditional MS4s located in municipalities listed in Table F-12 and that discharge to a waterbody or a tributary of a waterbody identified on Table F-12 shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:

    a. Enhanced BMPs

        i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:

            1. part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (March/April) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release and phosphorous-free fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of phosphorous to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.I, and B.III where appropriate.

            2. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for

[18] See http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/quality/rest/reports.htm for all RI TMDL documents. (retrieved 6/30/2014)

adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for phosphorus removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs that infiltrate stormwater where feasible.

3. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: Establish program to properly manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a minimum of two times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

b. Phosphorus Source Identification Report

i. Within four years of the permit effective date the permittee shall complete a Phosphorus Source Identification Report. The report shall include the following elements:

1. Calculation of total urbanized area draining to the water quality limited receiving water segments or their tributaries, incorporating updated mapping of the MS4 and catchment delineations produced pursuant to part 2.3.4.6,
2. All screening and monitoring results pursuant to part 2.3.4.7.d., targeting the receiving water segment(s)
3. Impervious area and DCIA for the target catchment
4. Identification, delineation and prioritization of potential catchments with high phosphorus loading
5. Identification of potential retrofit opportunities or opportunities for the installation of structural BMPs during re development, including the removal of impervious area of permittee owned properties

ii. The phosphorus source identification report shall be submitted to EPA as part of the year 4 annual report.

c. Structural BMPs

i. Within five years of the permit effective date, the permittee shall evaluate all permittee owned properties identified as presenting retrofit opportunities or areas for structural BMP installation under permit part 2.3.6.d.ii or identified in the Phosphorus Source Identification Report that are within the drainage area of the water quality limited water or its tributaries. The evaluation shall include:

1. The next planned infrastructure, resurfacing or redevelopment activity planned for the property (if applicable) OR planned retrofit date;
2. The estimated cost of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs; and
3. The engineering and regulatory feasibility of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs.

ii. The permittee shall provide a listing of planned structural BMPs and a plan and schedule for implementation in the year 5 annual report. The permittee shall plan and install a minimum of one structural BMP as a demonstration project within the drainage area of the water quality limited water or its tributaries within six years of the permit effective date. The demonstration project shall be installed targeting a catchment with high phosphorus load potential. The permittee shall install the remainder of the structural BMPs in accordance with the plan and schedule provided in the year 5 annual report.

iii. Any structural BMPs installed in the urbanized area by the permittee or its agents shall be tracked and the permittee shall estimate the phosphorus removal by the BMP consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F. The permittee shall document the BMP type, total area treated by the BMP, the design storage volume of the BMP and the estimated phosphorus removed in mass per year by the BMP in each annual report.

Municipality	Receiving Water	TMDL Name
Attleboro	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Omega Pond and Turner Reservoir	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
North Attleborough	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Omega Pond and Turner Reservoir	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
Plainville	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Omega Pond and Turner Reservoir	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
Rehoboth	Upper Kikemuit River, Kickemuit River, Kickemuit Reservoir	Fecal Coliform and Total Phosphorus TMDLs:

Municipality	Receiving Water	TMDL Name
		Kickemuit Reservoir, Rhode Island (RI0007034L-01) Upper Kickemuit River (RI 0007034R-01) Kickemuit River (MA 61-08_2004)
Seekonk	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Omega Pond and Turner Reservoir	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
Swansea	Upper Kikemuit River, Kickemuit River, Kickemuit Reservoir	Fecal Coliform and Total Phosphorus TMDLs: Kickemuit Reservoir, Rhode Island (RI0007034L-01) Upper Kickemuit River (RI 0007034R-01) Kickemuit River (MA 61-08_2004)

Table F-12: Municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing phosphorus to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island, the impaired receiving water, and the approved TMDL name.

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part B.II.1. as follows:
    a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
        i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of phosphorus are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
    b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part B.II.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
        i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part B.II.1 to date to reduce phosphorus in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
        ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part B.II.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

III. Bacteria and Pathogen TMDL Requirements

There are currently six approved bacteria (fecal coliform bacteria) or pathogen (fecal coliform and/or enterococcus bacteria) TMDLs for certain waterbody segments in Rhode Island that identify urban stormwater discharges in Massachusetts as sources that are contributing bacteria or pathogens to the impaired segments. The TMDLs include the Kickemuit Reservoir, Upper Kikemuit River, Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River and Omega Pond TMDLs[19] Table F-13 lists municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing bacteria or pathogens to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island,, the impaired receiving water, and the approved TMDL name. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that operates an MS4 in a municipality listed in Table F-13 and that discharges to a waterbody or a tributary of a waterbody listed on Table F-13 is subject to the requirements of this part.

1) Traditional and non-traditional MS4s operating in the municipalities identified in Table F-13 and that discharge to a waterbody or a tributary of a waterbody identified on Table F-13 shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below::

    a. Enhanced BMPs

        i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:

            1. part 2.3.3. Public Education: The permittee shall supplement its Residential program with an annual message encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee or its agents shall disseminate educational materials to dog owners at the time of issuance or renewal of a dog license, or other appropriate time. Education materials shall describe the detrimental impacts of improper management of pet waste, requirements for waste collection and disposal, and penalties for non-compliance. The permittee shall also provide information to owners of septic systems about proper maintenance in any catchment that discharges to a water body impaired for bacteria or pathogens. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.I, and B.II where appropriate.

            2. part 2.3.4 Illicit Discharge: Catchments draining to any waterbody impaired for bacteria or pathogens shall be designated either Problem Catchments or HIGH priority in implementation of the IDDE program.

[19] See http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/quality/rest/reports.htm for all RI TMDL documents. (retrieved 6/30/2014)

Municipality	Receiving Water	TMDL Name
Attleboro	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
North Attleborough	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
Plainville	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
Rehoboth	Upper Kikemuit River, Kickemuit Reservoir	Fecal Coliform and Total Phosphorus TMDLs: Kickemuit Reservoir, Rhode Island (RI0007034L-01) Upper Kickemuit River (RI 0007034R-01) Kickemuit River (MA 61-08_2004)
Seekonk	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed

Table F-13: Municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing bacteria or pathogens to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island,, the impaired receiving water, and the approved TMDL name

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part B.III.1. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.
    a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
        i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of bacteria/pathogens are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
    b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part B.III.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
        i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part B.III.1 to date to reduce bacteria/pathogens in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
        ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part B.III.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation

of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

IV. Metals TMDL Requirements

There are currently five approved metals TMDL for a waterbody segment in Rhode Island that that identifies urban stormwater discharges in Massachusetts as sources that are contributing metals (Cadmium, Lead, Aluminum, Iron) to the impaired segment. The TMDLs include the Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Turner Reservoir and Omega Pond TMDLs.[20] Table F-14 lists municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing metals to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island, the impaired receiving water, the approved TMDL name, and the pollutant of concern. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that operates an MS4 in a municipality listed in Table F-14 and the discharge is to a waterbody or tributary of a waterbody listed on Table F-14 is subject to the requirements of this part.

1) Traditional and non-traditional MS4s operating in the municipalities identified in Table F-14 and that discharge to a waterbody or a tributary of a waterbody identified on Table F-14 shall identify and implement BMPs designed to reduce metals discharges from its MS4. To address metals discharges, each permittee shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:

    a. Enhanced BMPs

        i. The permittee remains subject to the requirements of part 2.3. of the permit and shall include the following enhancements to the BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit:

            1. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: stormwater management systems designed on commercial and industrial land use area draining to the water quality limited waterbody shall incorporate designs that allow for shutdown and containment where appropriate to isolate the system in the event of an emergency spill or other unexpected event. EPA also encourages the permittee to require any stormwater management system designed to infiltrate stormwater on commercial or industrial sites to provide the level of pollutant removal equal to or greater than the level of pollutant removal provided through the use of biofiltration of the same volume of runoff to be infiltrated, prior to infiltration.

            2. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots to a schedule determined by the permittee to target areas with potential for high pollutant loads. This may include, but is not limited to, increased street sweeping frequency in commercial areas and high density residential areas, or

[20] See http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/quality/rest/reports.htm for all RI TMDL documents. (retrieved 6/30/2014)

drainage areas with a large amount of impervious area. Prioritize inspection and maintenance for catch basins to ensure that no sump shall be more than 50 percent full. Clean catch basins more frequently if inspection and maintenance activities indicate excessive sediment or debris loadings. Each annual report shall include the street sweeping schedule determined by the permittee to target high pollutant loads.

Municipality	Receiving Water	TMDL Name
Attleboro	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Turner Reservoir, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
North Attleborough	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Turner Reservoir, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
Plainville	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Turner Reservoir, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
Seekonk	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Turner Reservoir, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed

Table F-14: Municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing metals to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island, the impaired receiving water, the approved TMDL name, and the pollutant of concern.

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part B.IV.1. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.
    a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
        i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of metals (Cadmium, Lead, Aluminum, Iron) are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL

b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part B.IV.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
    i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part B.IV.1 to date to reduce metals (Cadmium, Lead, Aluminum, Iron) in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
    ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part B.IV.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

C. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with a Regional TMDL

I. The "Northeast Regional Mercury TMDL (2007)"

The Northeast Regional Mercury TMDL does not specify a wasteload allocation or other requirements either individually or categorically for the MS4 discharges and specifies that load reductions are to be achieved through reduction in atmospheric deposition sources. No requirements related to this TMDL are imposed on MS4 discharges under this part. However, if the permittee becomes aware, or EPA or MassDEP determines, that an MS4 discharge is causing or contributing to such impairment to an extent that cannot be explained by atmospheric deposition (e.g. chemical spill, acid landfill leachate or other sources), the permittee shall comply with the requirements of part 2.1.1.d and 2.3.4 of the permit.

ATTACHMENT 1 TO APPENDIX F

Method to Calculate Baseline Phosphorus Load (Baseline), Phosphorus Reduction Requirements and Phosphorus load increases due to development (P_{DEVinc})

The methods and annual phosphorus load export rates presented in Attachments 1, 2 and 3 are for the purpose of measuring load reductions for various stormwater BMPs treating runoff from different site conditions (i.e. impervious or pervious) and land uses (e.g. commercial, industrial, residential). The estimates of annual phosphorus load and load reductions due to BMPs are intended for use by the permittee to measure compliance with its Phosphorus Reduction Requirement under the permit.

This attachment provides the method to calculate a baseline phosphorus load discharging in stormwater for the impaired municipalities subject to Lakes and Ponds TMDL. A complete list of municipalities subject to these TMDLs is presented in Appendix F, Table F-6. This method shall be used to calculate the following annual phosphorus loads:

1) Baseline Phosphorus Load for Permittees
2) Phosphorus Reduction Requirement

This attachment also provides the method to calculate stormwater phosphorus load increases due to development for the municipalities subject to the Charles River TMDL requirements and the Lakes & Ponds TMDL requirements:

3) Phosphorus Load Increases due to Development

The **Baseline Phosphorus Load** is a measure of the annual phosphorus load discharging in stormwater from the impervious and pervious areas of the impaired Lake Phosphorus Control Plan (LPCP) Area.

The **Baseline Phosphorus Pounds Reduction** referred to as the permittee's **Phosphorus Reduction Requirement** represents the required reduction in annual phosphorus load in stormwater to meet the WLA for the impaired watershed. The percent phosphorus reduction for each watershed (identified in Appendix F, Table F-6) is applied to the Baseline Phosphorus Load to calculate the Phosphorus Pounds Reduction.

The **Phosphorus load increases due to development (P_{DEVinc})** is the stormwater phosphorus load increases due to development over the previous reporting period and incurred to date. Increases in stormwater phosphorus load from development will increase the permittee's baseline phosphorus load and therefore, the phosphorus reduction requirement.

Examples are provided to illustrate use of the methods. Table 1-1 below provides annual composite phosphorus load export rates (PLERs) by land use category for the Baseline Load and Phosphorus Reduction Requirement calculations. The permittee shall select the land use category that most closely represents the actual use of the watershed. For watersheds with institutional type uses, such as government properties, hospitals, and schools, the permittee shall use the commercial land use category for the purpose of calculating phosphorus loads. Table 1-2 provides annual PLERs by land use category for impervious and pervious areas. The permittee shall select the land use category that most closely represents the actual use of the watershed. For pervious areas, if the hydrologic soil group (HSG) is known, use the appropriate value. If the HSG is not known, assume HSG C conditions for the phosphorus load export rate. For watersheds with

institutional type uses, such as government properties, hospitals, and schools, the permittee shall use the commercial/industrial land use category for the purpose of calculating phosphorus loads. Table 1-3 provides a crosswalk table of land use codes between Tables 1-1 and 1-2 and the codes used by MassGIS.

The composite PLERs in Table 1-1 to be used for calculating Baseline Phosphorus Load are based on the specified directly connected impervious area (DCIA). If the permittee determines through mapping and site investigations that the overall DCIA for the collective area for each land use category is different than the corresponding values in Table 1-1, then the permittee is encouraged to submit this information in its annual report and request EPA to recalculate the composite PLERs for the permittees to use in refining the Baseline Phosphorus Load calculation for the LPCP.

(1) Baseline Phosphorus Load: The permittee shall calculate the **Baseline Phosphorus Load** by the following procedure:

1) Determine the total area (acre) associated with the impaired watershed;

2) Sort the total area associated with the watershed into land use categories;

3) Calculate the annual phosphorus load associated with each land use category by multiplying the total area of land use by the appropriate land use-based composite phosphorus load export rate provided in Table 1-1; and

4) Determine the Baseline Phosphorus Load by summing the land use loads.

Example 1-1 to determine Baseline Phosphorus Load:
Watershed A is 18.0 acres, with 11.0 acres of industrial area (e.g. access drives, buildings, and parking lots), 3.0 acres of medium-density residential and 4.0 acres of unmanaged wooded area.

The **Baseline Phosphorus Load** = (Baseline P Load $_{IND}$) + (Baseline P Load $_{MDR}$) + (Baseline P Load $_{FOR}$)

Where:
Baseline P Load $_{IND}$ = (TA_{IND}) x (PLER for industrial use (Table 1-1))
= 11.0 acre x 1.27 lbs/acre/year
= 14.0 lbs P/year

Baseline P Load $_{MDR}$ = (TA_{MDR}) x (PLER for medium density residential (Table 1-1))
= 3.0 acre x 0.49 lbs/acre/year
= 1.5 lbs P/year

Baseline P Load $_{FOR}$ = (TA_{FOR}) x (PLER for forest (Table 1-1))
= 4.0 acre x 0.12 lbs/acre/year
= 0.5 lbs P/year

Baseline Phosphorus Load = 14.0 lbs P/year + 1.5 lbs P/year + 0.5 lbs P/year
= **16.0 lbs P/year**

(2) Baseline Phosphorus Pounds Reduction (Phosphorus Reduction Requirement): The Baselines Phosphorus Reduction requirement is the amount of reduction in annual phosphorus load (in pounds) that the permittee is required to achieve in the Watershed. The permittee shall calculate the **Phosphorus Reduction Requirement** by multiplying the **Baseline Phosphorus Load** by the applicable percent phosphorus reduction for that watershed specified in Table F-6 (Appendix F).

Example 1-2 to determine Watershed Phosphorus Reduction Requirement:
Table F-6 identifies Watershed A's percent phosphorus reduction as 45%; therefore the Watershed Phosphorus Reduction Requirement is:

Phosphorus Reduction Requirement = (Baseline Phosphorus Load) x (0.45)
= (16.0 lbs P/year) x (0.45)
= **7.2 lbs P/year**

(3) Phosphorus load increases due to development (P_{DEVinc}): To estimate the increases in stormwater phosphorus load due to development in the Watershed (either PCP or LPCP Area), the permittee will use the following procedure:

1) Determine the total area of development by land use category and calculate the baseline load from that area using the composite PLERs in Table 1-1;

2) Distribute the total development area into impervious and pervious subareas by land use category;

3) Calculate the phosphorus load due to development (P_{DEV}) for each land use-based impervious and pervious subarea by multiplying the subarea by the appropriate phosphorus load export rate provided in Table 1-2; and

4) Determine the phosphorus load increase (P_{DEVinc}) by subtracting the baseline phosphorus load from the increased phosphorus load due to development.

Note: If structural BMPs are installed as part of new development, the P_{DEVinc} will be reduced by the amount of BMP load treated by that BMP as calculated in Attachment 3.

Example 1-3 to determine Phosphorus Load Increases: For the same 15.11 acre Watershed A as specified in Example 1-1, a permittee has tracked development in the LPCP Area in the last year that resulted in 1.5 acres of medium density residential area and 0.5 acres of forest land being converted to high density residential impervious area as detailed below. The undeveloped MDR area is pervious area, HSG C soil and the undeveloped forest area is pervious, HSG B soil.

Land Use Category	Baseline Area (acres)	P export rate (lbs P/acre/yr)*	Baseline area unchanged (acres)	P export rate (lbs P/acre/yr)**	Developed Area converted to HDR IA (acres)	P export rate (lbs P/acre/yr)**
Industrial	11.0	1.27	No change	--	No change	--
MDR	3.0	0.49	1.5	0.21	1.5	2.32

Forest	4.0	0.12	3.5	0.12	0.5	2.32

*From Table 1-1; ** From Table 1-2

The phosphorus load increase is calculated as:

Baseline Load = (Baseline P Load $_{IND}$) +
(Baseline P Load $_{MDR}$) +
(Baseline P Load $_{FOR}$)
= **16.0 lb/year** (determined in Example 1-1)

P_{DEV} = (TA_{IND} x $PLER_{IND}$)+(IA_{HDR} x $PLER_{HDR}$)+(PA_{MDR} x $PLER_{MDR}$)+(PA_{FOR} x $PLER_{For}$)
= (11.0 acres * 1.27) + (2.0 acres * 2.32) + (1.5 acres * 0.21) + (3.5 * 0.12)
= **19.0 lbs P/year**

$\mathbf{P_{DEVinc}}$ = P_{DEV} – Baseline Load
= 19.0 – 16.0
= **3.0 lbs/year**

Table 1-1. Annual composite phosphorus load export rates

Land Cover	Representative DCIA, %	Composite PLERs, lb/ac/yr	Composite PLERs, kg/ha/yr
Commercial	**57**	**1.13**	**1.27**
Industrial	**67**	**1.27**	**1.42**
High Density Residential	**36**	**1.04**	**1.16**
Medium Density Residential	**16**	**0.49**	**0.55**
Low Density Residential	**11**	**0.30**	**0.34**
Freeway	**44**	**0.73**	**0.82**
Open Space	**8**	**0.26**	**0.29**
Agriculture	**0.4**	**0.45**	**0.50**
Forest	**0.1**	**0.12**	**0.13**

Table 1-2: Proposed average annual distinct P Load export rates for use in estimating P Load reduction credits the MA MS4 Permit

Phosphorus Source Category by Land Use	Land Surface Cover	P Load Export Rate, lbs/acre/year	P Load Export Rate, kg/ha/yr
Commercial (Com) and Industrial (Ind)	Directly connected impervious	1.78	2.0
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Multi-Family (MFR) and High-Density Residential (HDR)	Directly connected impervious	2.32	2.6
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Medium -Density Residential (MDR)	Directly connected impervious	1.96	2.2
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Low Density Residential (LDR) - "Rural"	Directly connected impervious	1.52	1.7
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Highway (HWY)	Directly connected impervious	1.34	1.5
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Forest (For)	Directly connected impervious	1.52	1.7
	Pervious	0.13	0.13
Open Land (Open)	Directly connected impervious	1.52	1.7
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Agriculture (Ag)	Directly connected impervious	1.52	1.7
	Pervious	0.45	0.5
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV)- Hydrologic Soil Group A	Pervious	0.03	0.03
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV)- Hydrologic Soil Group B	Pervious	0.12	0.13
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - Hydrologic Soil Group C	Pervious	0.21	0.24
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - Hydrologic Soil Group C/D	Pervious	0.29	0.33
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - Hydrologic Soil Group D	Pervious	0.37	0.41

Table 1-3: Crosswalk of MassGIS land-use categories to land-use groups for P Load Calculations

Mass GIS Land Use LU_CODE	Description	Land Use group for calculating P Load - 2013/14 MA MS4
1	Crop Land	Agriculture
2	Pasture (active)	Agriculture
3	Forest	Forest
4	Wetland	Forest
5	Mining	Industrial
6	Open Land includes inactive pasture	open land
7	Participation Recreation	open land
8	spectator recreation	open land
9	Water Based Recreation	open land
10	Multi-Family Residential	High Density Residential
11	High Density Residential	High Density Residential
12	Medium Density Residential	Medium Density Residential
13	Low Density Residential	Low Density Residential
14	Saltwater Wetland	Water
15	Commercial	Commercial
16	Industrial	Industrial
17	Urban Open	open land
18	Transportation	Highway
19	Waste Disposal	Industrial
20	Water	Water
23	cranberry bog	Agriculture
24	Powerline	open land
25	Saltwater Sandy Beach	open land
26	Golf Course	Agriculture
29	Marina	Commercial
31	Urban Public	Commercial
34	Cemetery	open land
35	Orchard	Forest
36	Nursery	Agriculture
37	Forested Wetland	Forest
38	Very Low Density residential	Low Density Residential
39	Junkyards	Industrial
40	Brush land/Successional	Forest

ATTACHMENT 2 TO APPENDIX F

Phosphorus Reduction Credits for Selected Enhanced Non-Structural BMPs

The permittee shall use the following methods to calculate phosphorus load reduction credits for the following enhanced non-structural control practices implemented in the Watershed:

1) Enhanced Sweeping Program;
2) Catch Basin Cleaning;
   and
3) Organic Waste and Leaf Litter Collection program

The methods include the use of default phosphorus reduction factors that EPA has determined are acceptable for calculating phosphorus load reduction credits for these practices.

The methods and annual phosphorus load export rates presented in this attachment are for the purpose of counting load reductions for various BMPs treating storm water runoff from varying site conditions (i.e., impervious or pervious surfaces) and different land uses (e.g. industrial and commercial) within the impaired watershed. Table 2-1 below provides annual phosphorus load export rates by land use category for impervious and pervious areas. The estimates of annual phosphorus load and load reductions resulting from BMP implementation are intended for use by the permittee to measure compliance with its Phosphorus Reduction Requirement under the permit.

Examples are provided to illustrate use of the methods. In calculating phosphorus export rates, the permittee shall select the land use category that most closely represents the actual use for the area in question. For watersheds with institutional type uses, such as government properties, hospitals, and schools, the permittee shall use the commercial land use category for the purpose of calculating phosphorus loads. Table 2-2 provides a crosswalk table of land use codes between land use groups in Table 2-1 and the codes used by Mass GIS. For pervious areas, permittees should use the appropriate value for the hydrologic soil group (HSG) if known, otherwise, assume HSG C conditions.

Alternative Methods and/or Phosphorus Reduction Factors: A permittee may propose alternative methods and/or phosphorus reduction factors for calculating phosphorus load reduction credits for these non-structural practices. EPA will consider alternative methods and/or phosphorus reduction factors, provided that the permittee submits adequate supporting documentation to EPA. At a minimum, supporting documentation shall consist of a description of the proposed method, the technical basis of the method, identification of alternative phosphorus reduction factors, supporting calculations, and identification of references and sources of information that support the use of the alternative method and/or factors in the Watershed. If EPA determines that the alternative methods and/or factors are not adequately supported, EPA will notify the permittee and the permittee may receive no phosphorus reduction credit other than a reduction credit calculated by the permittee following the methods in this attachment for the identified practices.

Table 2-1: Proposed average annual distinct P Load export rates for use in estimating P Load reduction credits in the MA MS4 Permit

Phosphorus Source Category by Land Use	Land Surface Cover	P Load Export Rate, lbs/acre/year	P Load Export Rate, kg/ha/yr
Commercial (Com) and Industrial (Ind)	Directly connected impervious	1.78	2.0
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Multi-Family (MFR) and High-Density Residential (HDR)	Directly connected impervious	2.32	2.6
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Medium -Density Residential (MDR)	Directly connected impervious	1.96	2.2
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Low Density Residential (LDR) - "Rural"	Directly connected impervious	1.52	1.7
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Highway (HWY)	Directly connected impervious	1.34	1.5
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Forest (For)	Directly connected impervious	1.52	1.7
	Pervious	0.13	0.13
Open Land (Open)	Directly connected impervious	1.52	1.7
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Agriculture (Ag)	Directly connected impervious	1.52	1.7
	Pervious	0.45	0.5
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) – HSG A	Pervious	0.03	0.03
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) – HSG B	Pervious	0.12	0.13
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) – HSG C	Pervious	0.21	0.24
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) – HSG C/D	Pervious	0.29	0.33
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) – HSG D	Pervious	0.37	0.41

Notes:

- For pervious areas, if the hydrologic soil group (HSG) is known, use the appropriate value from this table. If the HSG is not known, assume HSG C conditions for the phosphorus load export rate.
- Agriculture includes row crops. Actively managed hay fields and pasture lands. Institutional land uses such as government properties, hospitals and schools are to be included in the commercial and industrial land use grouping for the purpose of calculating phosphorus loading.
- Impervious surfaces within the forest land use category are typically roadways adjacent to forested pervious areas.

Table 2-2: Crosswalk of Mass GIS land use categories to land use groups for P load calculations

Mass GIS Land Use LU_CODE	Description	Land Use group for calculating P Load - 2013/14 MA MS4
1	Crop Land	Agriculture
2	Pasture (active)	Agriculture
3	Forest	Forest
4	Wetland	Forest
5	Mining	Industrial
6	Open Land includes inactive pasture	open land
7	Participation Recreation	open land
8	spectator recreation	open land
9	Water Based Recreation	open land
10	Multi-Family Residential	High Density Residential
11	High Density Residential	High Density Residential
12	Medium Density Residential	Medium Density Residential
13	Low Density Residential	Low Density Residential
14	Saltwater Wetland	Water
15	Commercial	Commercial
16	Industrial	Industrial
17	Urban Open	open land
18	Transportation	Highway
19	Waste Disposal	Industrial
20	Water	Water
23	cranberry bog	Agriculture
24	Powerline	open land
25	Saltwater Sandy Beach	open land
26	Golf Course	Agriculture
29	Marina	Commercial
31	Urban Public	Commercial
34	Cemetery	open land
35	Orchard	Forest
36	Nursery	Agriculture
37	Forested Wetland	Forest
38	Very Low Density residential	Low Density Residential
39	Junkyards	Industrial
40	Brush land/Successional	Forest

(1) Enhanced Sweeping Program: The permittee may earn a phosphorus reduction credit for conducting an enhanced sweeping program of impervious surfaces. Table 2-2 below outlines the default phosphorus removal factors for enhanced sweeping programs. The credit shall be calculated by using the following equation:

$$\text{Credit}_{\text{sweeping}} = \text{IA}_{\text{swept}} \times \text{PLE}_{\text{IC-land use}} \times \text{PRF}_{\text{sweeping}} \times \text{AF} \qquad \textbf{(Equation 2-1)}$$

Where:

$\text{Credit}_{\text{sweeping}}$	=	Amount of phosphorus load removed by enhanced sweeping program (lb/year)
IA_{swept}	=	Area of impervious surface that is swept under the enhanced sweeping program (acres)
$\text{PLE}_{\text{IC-land use}}$	=	Phosphorus Load Export Rate for impervious cover and specified land use (lb/acre/yr) (see Table 2-1)
$\text{PRF}_{\text{sweeping}}$	=	Phosphorus Reduction Factor for sweeping based on sweeper type and frequency (see Table 2-3).
AF	=	Annual Frequency of sweeping. For example, if sweeping does not occur in Dec/Jan/Feb, the AF would be 9 mo./12 mo. = 0.75. For year-round sweeping, AF=1.0[1]

As an alternative, the permittee may apply a credible sweeping model of the Watershed and perform continuous simulations reflecting build-up and wash-off of phosphorus using long-term local rainfall data.

Table 2-3: Phosphorus reduction efficiency factors ($\text{PRF}_{\text{sweeping}}$) for sweeping impervious areas

Frequency[1]	Sweeper Technology	$\text{PRF}_{\text{sweeping}}$
2/year (spring and fall)[2]	Mechanical Broom	0.01
2/year (spring and fall)[2]	Vacuum Assisted	0.02
2/year (spring and fall)[2]	High-Efficiency Regenerative Air-Vacuum	0.02
Monthly	Mechanical Broom	0.03
Monthly	Vacuum Assisted	0.04
Monthly	High Efficiency Regenerative Air-Vacuum	0.08
Weekly	Mechanical Broom	0.05
Weekly	Vacuum Assisted	0.08
Weekly	High Efficiency Regenerative Air-Vacuum	0.10

[1]For full credit for monthly and weekly frequency, sweeping must be conducted year round. Otherwise, the credit should be adjusted proportionally based on the duration of the sweeping season (using AF factor).

[2] In order to earn credit for semi-annual sweeping the sweeping must occur in the spring following snow-melt and road sand applications to impervious surfaces and in the fall after leaf-fall and prior to the onset to the snow season.

Example 2-1: Calculation of enhanced sweeping program credit (Credit $_{sweeping}$): A permittee proposes to implement an enhanced sweeping program and perform weekly sweeping from March 1 – December 1 (9 months) in their Watershed, using a vacuum assisted sweeper on 20.3 acres of parking lots and roadways in a high-density residential area of the Watershed. For this site the needed information is:

IA_{swept}	= 20.3 acres
$PLE_{IC\text{-}HDR}$	= 2.32 lb/acre/yr (from Table 2-1)
$PRF_{sweeping}$	= 0.08 (from Table 2-3)
AF	= (9 months / 12 months) = 0.75

Substitution into equation 2-1 yields a Credit $_{sweeping}$ of 3.2 pounds of phosphorus removed per year.

$$\text{Credit}_{sweeping} = IA_{swept} \times PLE_{land\ use} \times PRF_{sweeping} \times AF$$
$$= 20.3 \text{ acres} \times 2.32 \text{ lbs/acre/yr} \times 0.08 \times 0.75$$
$$\mathbf{= 2.8 \text{ lbs/yr}}$$

(2) Catch Basin Cleaning: The permittee may earn a phosphorus reduction credit, Credit $_{CB}$, by removing accumulated materials from catch basins (i.e., catch basin cleaning) in the Watershed such that a minimum sump storage capacity of 50% is maintained throughout the year. The credit shall be calculated by using the following equation:

$$\text{Credit}_{CB} = IA_{CB} \times PLE_{IC\text{-}land\ use} \times PRF_{CB} \qquad \textbf{(Equation 2-2)}$$

Where:

Credit_{CB}	=	Amount of phosphorus load removed by catch basin cleaning (lb/year)
IA_{CB}	=	Impervious drainage area to catch basins (acres)
$PLE_{IC\text{-and use}}$	=	Phosphorus Load Export Rate for impervious cover and specified land use (lb/acre/yr) (see Table 2-1)
PRF_{CB}	=	Phosphorus Reduction Factor for catch basin cleaning (see Table 2-4)

Table 2-4: Phosphorus reduction efficiency factor (PRF_{CB}) for semi-annual catch basin cleaning

Frequency	Practice	PRF_{CB}
Semi-annual	Catch Basin Cleaning	0.02

Example 2-2: Calculation for catch basin cleaning credit (Credit $_{CB}$):
A permittee proposes to clean catch basins in their Watershed (i.e., remove accumulated sediments and contaminants captured in the catch basins) that drain runoff from 15.3 acres of medium-density residential impervious area. For this site the needed information is:

IA_{CB}	= 15.3 acre
$PLE_{IC\text{-}MDR}$	= 1.96 lbs/acre/yr (from Table 2-1)
PRF_{CB}	= 0.02 (from Table 2-4)

Substitution into equation 2-2 yields a Credit $_{CB}$ of 0.6 pounds of phosphorus removed per year:

$$\text{Credit}_{CB} = IA_{CB} \times PLE_{IC\text{-}MDR} \times PRF_{CB}$$
$$= 15.3 \text{ acre} \times 1.96 \text{ lbs/acre/yr} \times 0.02$$
$$\mathbf{= 0.6 \text{ lbs/yr}}$$

(3) Enhanced Organic Waste and Leaf Litter Collection program: The permittee may earn a phosphorus reduction credit by performing regular gathering, removal and disposal of landscaping wastes, organic debris, and leaf litter from impervious surfaces from which runoff discharges to the TMDL waterbody or its tributaries. In order to earn this credit (Credit $_{leaf\ litter}$), the permittee must gather and remove all landscaping wastes, organic debris, and leaf litter from impervious roadways and parking lots at least once per week during the period of September 1 to December 1 of each year. Credit can only be earned for those impervious surfaces that are cleared of organic materials in accordance with the description above. The gathering and removal shall occur immediately following any landscaping activities in the Watershed and at additional times when necessary to achieve a weekly cleaning frequency. The permittee must ensure that the disposal of these materials will not contribute pollutants to any surface water discharges. The permittee may use an enhanced sweeping program (e.g., weekly frequency) as part of earning this credit provided that the sweeping is effective at removing leaf litter and organic materials. The Credit $_{leaf\ litter}$ shall be determined by the following equation:

$$\text{Credit}_{leaf\ litter} = (\text{Watershed Area}) \times (PLE_{IC\text{-}land\ use}) \times (0.05) \qquad \textbf{(Equation 2-3)}$$

Where:

Credit $_{leaf\ litter}$	= Amount of phosphorus load reduction credit for organic waste and leaf litter collection program (lb/year)
Watershed Area	= All impervious area (acre) from which runoff discharges to the TMDL waterbody or its tributaries in the Watershed
$PLE_{IC\text{-}land\ use}$	= Phosphorus Load Export Rate for impervious cover and specified land use (lbs/acre/yr) (see Table 2-1)
0.05	= 5% phosphorus reduction factor for organic waste and leaf litter collection program in the Watershed

Example 2-3: Calculation for organic waste and leaf litter collection program credit (Credit $_{leaf\ litter}$): A permittee proposes to implement an organic waste and leaf litter collection program by sweeping the parking lots and access drives at a minimum of once per week using a mechanical broom sweeper for the period of September 1 to December 1 over 12.5 acres of impervious roadways and parking lots in an industrial/commercial area of the Watershed. Also, the permittee will ensure that organic materials are removed from impervious areas immediately following all landscaping activities at the site. For this site the needed information to calculate the Credit $_{leaf\ litter}$ is:

Watershed Area = 12.5 acres; and
PLE $_{IC\text{-}commercial}$ = 1.78 lbs/acre/yr (from Table 2-1)

Substitution into equation 2-4 yields a Credit $_{leaf\ litter}$ of 1.1 pounds of phosphorus removed per year:

Credit $_{leaf\ litter}$ = (12.5 acre) x (1.78 lbs/acre/yr) x (0.05)
= 1.1 lbs/yr

The permittee also may earn a phosphorus reduction credit for enhanced sweeping of roads and parking lot areas (i.e., Credit $_{sweeping}$) for the three months of use. Using equation 2-1, Credit $_{sweeping}$ is:

Credit $_{sweeping}$ = IA $_{swept}$ x PLE $_{IC\text{-}land\ use}$ x PRF $_{sweeping}$ x AF **(Equation 2-1)**
IA $_{swept}$ = 12.5 acre
PLE $_{IC\text{-}commercial}$ = 1.78 lbs/acre/yr (from Table 2-1)
PRF $_{sweeping}$ = 0.05 (from Table 2-3)
AF = 3 mo./12 mo. = 0.25

Substitution into equation 2-1 yields a Credit $_{sweeping}$ of 0.28 pounds of phosphorus removed per year.

Credit $_{sweeping}$ = IA $_{swept}$ x PLE $_{IC\text{-}commercial}$ x PRF $_{sweeping}$ x AF
= 12.5 acre x 1.78 lbs/acre/yr x 0.05 x 0.25
= 0.3 lbs/yr

ATTACHMENT 3 TO APPENDIX F

Methods to Calculate Phosphorus Load Reductions for Structural Stormwater Best Management Practices

List of Tables:

Table 3-1: Average annual distinct phosphorus load (P Load) export rates for use in estimating phosphorus load reduction credits the MA MS4 Permit 10
Table 3- 2: MassGIS land-use categories with associated land-use groups for phosphorus load calculations 11
Table 3- 3: Developed Land Pervious Area Runoff Depths based on Precipitation depth and Hydrological Soil Groups (HSGs) 20
Table 3- 4: Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.17 in/hr) BMP Performance Table 34
Table 3- 5: Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table 35
Table 3- 6: Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.52 in/hr) BMP Performance Table 36
Table 3- 7: Infiltration Trench (IR = 1.02 in/hr) BMP Performance Table 37
Table 3- 8: Infiltration Trench (IR = 2.41 in/hr) BMP Performance Table 38
Table 3- 9: Infiltration Trench (8.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table 39
Table 3- 10: Infiltration Basin (0.17 in/hr) BMP Performance Table 40
Table 3- 11: Infiltration Basin (0.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table 41
Table 3- 12: Infiltration Basin (0.52 in/hr) BMP Performance Table 42
Table 3- 13: Infiltration Basin (1.02 in/hr) BMP Performance Table 43
Table 3- 14: Infiltration Basin (2.41 in/hr) BMP Performance Table 44
Table 3- 15: Infiltration Basin (8.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table 45
Table 3- 16: Biofiltration BMP Performance Table 46
Table 3- 17: Gravel Wetland BMP Performance Table 47
Table 3- 18: Porous Pavement BMP Performance Table 48
Table 3- 19: Wet Pond BMP Performance Table 49
Table 3- 20: Dry Pond BMP Performance Table 49
Table 3- 21: Grass Swale BMP Performance Table 50
Table 3- 22: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 8:1 51
Table 3- 23: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 6:1 53
Table 3- 24: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 4:1 56
Table 3- 25: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 2:1 58
Table 3- 26: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 1:1 61
Table 3- 27: Impervious Area Disconnection Performance Table 63
Table 3- 28: Performance Table for Conversion of Impervious Areas to Pervious Area based on Hydrological Soil Groups 64
Table 3- 29: Performance Table for Conversion of Low Permeable Pervious Area to High Permeable Pervious Area based on Hydrological Soil Group 65

List of Figures:

Figure 3- 1: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 0.17 in/hr)..... 34
Figure 3- 2: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 0.27 in/hr)..... 35
Figure 3- 3: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 0.52 in/hr)..... 36
Figure 3- 4: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 1.02 in/hr)..... 37
Figure 3- 5: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 2.41 in/hr)..... 38
Figure 3- 6: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 8.27 in/hr)..... 39
Figure 3- 7: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 0.17 in/hr)..... 40
Figure 3- 8: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 0.27 in/hr)..... 41
Figure 3- 9: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 0.52 in/hr)..... 42
Figure 3- 10: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (Soil infiltration rate = 1.02 in/hr) ... 43
Figure 3- 11: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 2.41 in/hr)..... 44
Figure 3- 12: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 8.27 in/hr)..... 45
Figure 3- 13: BMP Performance Curve: Biofiltration 46
Figure 3- 14: BMP Performance Curve: Gravel Wetland 47
Figure 3- 15: BMP Performance Curve: Porous Pavement 48
Figure 3- 16: BMP Performance Curve: Dry Pond 49
Figure 3- 17: BMP Performance Curve: Grass Swale 50
Figure 3- 18: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG A Soils 51
Figure 3- 19: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG B Soils 52
Figure 3- 20: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG C Soils 52
Figure 3- 21: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG D Soils 53
Figure 3- 22: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG A Soils 54
Figure 3- 23: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG B Soils 54
Figure 3- 24: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG C Soils 55
Figure 3- 25: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG D Soils 55
Figure 3- 26: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG A Soils 56
Figure 3- 27: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG B Soils 57
Figure 3- 28: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG C Soils 57
Figure 3- 29: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG D Soils 58
Figure 3- 30: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio= 2:1 for HSG A Soils 59
Figure 3- 31: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio= 2:1 for HSG B Soils 59

Figure 3- 32: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio= 2:1 for HSG C Soils 60
Figure 3- 33: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio= 2:1 for HSG D Soils 60
Figure 3- 34: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 1:1 for HSG A Soils 61
Figure 3- 35: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 1:1 for HSG B Soils 62
Figure 3- 36: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 1:1 for HSG C Soils 62
Figure 3- 37: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 1:1 for HSG D Soils 63
Figure 3- 38: Impervious Area Disconnection Performance Curves 64

Methods to Calculate Phosphorus Load Reductions for Structural Stormwater Best Management Practices in the Watershed

This attachment provides methods to determine design storage volume capacities and to calculate phosphorus load reductions for the following structural Best Management Practices (structural BMPs) for a Watershed:

1) Infiltration Trench;
2) Infiltration Basin or other surface infiltration practice;
3) Bio-filtration Practice;
4) Gravel Wetland System;
5) Porous Pavement;
6) Wet Pond or wet detention basin;
7) Dry Pond or detention basin; and
8) Dry Water Quality Swale/ Grass Swale.

Additionally, this attachment provides methods to design and quantify associated phosphorus load reduction credits for the following four types of semi-structural/non-structural BMPs

9) Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage (e.g., rain barrels, cisterns, etc);
10) Impervious Area Disconnection;
11) Conversions of Impervious Area to Permeable Pervious Area; and
12) Soil Amendments to Enhance Permeability of Pervious Areas.

Methods and examples are provided in this Attachment to calculate phosphorus load reductions for structural BMPs for the four following purposes:

1) To determine the design volume of a structural BMP to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious;
2) To determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known design volume when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious;
3) To determine the design volume of a structural BMP to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces; and
4) To determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known design volume when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces.

Examples are also provided for estimating phosphorus load reductions associated with the four semi-structural/non-structural BMPs.

Also, this attachment provides the methodology for calculating the annual stormwater phosphorus load that will be delivered to BMPs for treatment (BMP Load) and to be used for quantifying phosphorus load reduction credits. The methods and annual phosphorus export load rates presented in this attachment are for the purpose of counting load reductions for various BMPs treating storm water runoff from varying site conditions (i.e., impervious or pervious surfaces) and different land uses (e.g. commercial and industrial). The estimates of annual phosphorus load and load reductions by BMPs are to demonstrate compliance with the permittee's Phosphorus Reduction Requirement under the permit.

Structural BMP performance credits: For each structural BMP type identified above (BMPs 1-8), long-term cumulative performance information is provided to calculate phosphorus load reductions or to determine needed design storage volumes to achieve a specified reduction target (e.g., 65% phosphorus load reduction). The performance information is expressed as cumulative phosphorus load removed (% removed) depending on the physical storage capacity of the structural BMP (expressed as inches of runoff from impervious area) and is provided at the end of this Attachment (see Tables 3-1 through 3-18 and performance curves Figures 3-1 through 3-17). Multiple tables and performance curves are provided for the infiltration practices to represent cumulative phosphorus load reduction performance for six infiltration rates (IR), 0.17, 0.27, 0.53, 1.02, 2.41, and 8.27 inches/hour. These infiltration rates represent the saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soils. The permittee may use the performance curves provided in this attachment to interpolate phosphorus load removal reductions for field measured infiltration rates that are different than the infiltration rates used to develop the performance curves. Otherwise, the permittee shall use the performance curve for the IR that is nearest, but less than, the field measured rate. Physical storage capacity equals the total physical storage volume of the control structure to contain water at any instant in time. Typically, this storage capacity is comprised of the surface ponding storage volume prior to overflow and subsurface storage volumes in storage units and pore spaces of coarse filter media. Table 3-30 provides the formulae to calculate physical storage capacities for the structural control types for using the performance curves.

Semi-Structural/Non-structural BMP performance credits: For each semi-structural/non-structural BMP type identified above (BMPs 9-12), long-term cumulative performance information is provided to calculate phosphorus load reductions or to determine needed design specifications to achieve a desired reduction target (e.g., 50% phosphorus load reduction). The performance information is expressed as cumulative runoff volume reduction (% removed) depending on the design specifics and actual field conditions. Cumulative percent runoff volume reduction is being used to estimate the cumulative phosphorus load reduction credit for these BMPs. To represent a wide range of potential conditions for implementing these types of BMPs, numerous performance tables and curves have been developed to reflect a wide range of potential conditions and designs such as varying storage volumes (expressed in terms of varying ratios of storage volume to impervious area (0.1 to 2.0 inches)); varying ratios of impervious source area to receiving pervious area based on hydrologic soil groups (HSGs) A, B, C and D (8:1, 6:1, 4:1, 2: 1 and 1:1); and varying discharge time periods for temporary storage (1, 2 or 3 days) . The default credits are provided at the end of this Attachment (see Tables 3-19 through 3-26 and performance curves Figures 3-18 through 3-38).

EPA will consider phosphorus load reductions calculated using the methods provided below to be valid for the purpose of complying with the terms of this permit for BMPs that have not been explicitly modeled if the desired BMP has functionality that is similar to one of the simulated BMP types. Please note that only the surface infiltration and the infiltration trench BMP types were simulated to direct storm water runoff into the ground (i.e., infiltration). All of the other simulated BMPs represent practices that have either under-drains or impermeable liners and therefore, are not hydraulically connected to the sub-surface soils (i.e., no infiltration). Following are some simple guidelines for selecting the BMP type and/or determining whether the results of any of the BMP types provided are appropriate for another BMP of interest.

Infiltration Trench is a practice that provides temporary storage of runoff using the void spaces within the soil/sand/gravel mixture that is used to backfill the trench for subsequent infiltration into the surrounding sub-soils. Performance results for the infiltration trench can be used for all subsurface infiltration practices including systems that include pipes and/or chambers that provide temporary storage. Also, the results for this BMP type can be used for bio-retention systems that rely on infiltration when the majority of the temporary storage capacity is provided in the void spaces of the soil filter media and porous pavements that allow infiltration to occur.

Surface Infiltration represents a practice that provides temporary surface storage of runoff (e.g., ponding) for subsequent infiltration into the ground. Appropriate practices for use of the surface infiltration performance estimates include infiltration basins, infiltration swales, rain gardens and bio-retention systems that rely on infiltration and provide the majority of storage capacity through surface-ponding. If an infiltration system includes both surface storage through ponding and a lessor storage volume within the void spaces of a coarse filter media, then the physical storage volume capacity used to determine the long-term cumulative phosphorus removal efficiency from the infiltration basin performance curves would be equal to the sum of the surface storage volume and the void space storage volume. General design specifications for various surface infiltration systems are provided in the most recent version of *the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook, Volume 2/Chapter2* (http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/laws/i-thru-z/v2c2.pdf).

Bio-filtration is a practice that provides temporary storage of runoff for filtering through an engineered soil media. The storage capacity is typically made of void spaces in the filter media and temporary ponding at the surface of the practice. Once the runoff has passed through the filter media it is collected by an under-drain pipe for discharge. The performance curve for this control practice assumes zero infiltration. If a filtration system has subsurface soils that are suitable for infiltration, then user should use the either performance curves for the infiltration trench or the infiltration basin depending on the predominance of storage volume made up by free standing storage or void space storage. Depending on the design of the filter media manufactured or packaged bio-filter systems such as tree box filters may be suitable for using the bio-filtration performance results. Design specifications for bio-filtration systems are provided in the most recent version of *the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook, Volume 2/Chapter2* (http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/laws/i-thru-z/v2c2.pdf).

Gravel Wetland performance results should be used for practices that have been designed in accordance or share similar features with the design specifications for gravel wetland systems provided in the most recent version of *the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook, Volume 2/Chapter2* (http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/laws/i-thru-z/v2c2.pdf).

Porous Pavement performance results represent systems with an impermeable under-liner and an under-drain. *If porous pavement systems do not have an impermeable under-liner so that filtered runoff can infiltrate into sub-soils then the performance results for an infiltration trench may be used for these systems.* Design specifications for porous pavement systems are provided in the most recent version of *the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook, Volume 2/Chapter2* (http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/laws/i-thru-z/v2c2.pdf).

Extended Dry Detention Pond performance results should only be used for practices that have been designed in accordance with the design specifications for extended dry detention ponds provided in the most recent version of *the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook, Volume 2/Chapter2* (http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/laws/i-thru-z/v2c2.pdf)

Dry Water Quality Swale/ Grass Swale performance results should only be used for practices that have been designed in accordance with the design specifications for a water quality dry swale provided in the most recent version of *the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook, Volume 2/Chapter2* (http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/laws/i-thru-z/v2c2.pdf)

Impervious Area Disconnection using Storage (e.g., rain barrels, cistern, etc) performance results are for collecting runoff volumes from impervious areas such as roof tops, providing temporary storage of runoff volume using rain barrels, cisterns or other storage containers, and discharging stored volume to adjacent permeable pervious surfaces over an extended period of time.

Impervious Area Disconnection performance results are for diverting runoff volumes from impervious areas such as roadways, parking lots and roof tops, and discharging it to adjacent vegetated permeable surfaces that are of sufficient size with adequate soils to receive the runoff without causing negative impacts to adjacent down-gradient properties. Careful consideration must be given to the ratio of impervious area to the pervious area that will receive the discharge. Also, devices such as level spreaders to disperse the discharge and provide sheet flow should be employed whenever needed to increase recharge and avoid flow concentration and short circuiting through the pervious area. Soil testing is needed to classify the permeability of the receiving pervious area in terms of HSG.

Conversion of Impervious Area to Permeable Pervious Area phosphorus load reduction credits are for replacing existing impervious surfaces (such as traditional pavements and buildings with roof tops) with permeable surfaces. To be eligible for credit, it is essential that the area previously covered with impervious surface be restored to provide natural or enhanced hydrologic functioning so that the surface is permeable. Sub-soils beneath pavements are typically highly compacted and will require reworking to loosen the soil and the possible addition of soil amendments to restore permeability. Soil testing is needed to classify the permeability (in terms of HSG) of the restored pervious area.

Soil Amendments to Increase Permeability of Pervious Areas performance results are for the practice of improving the permeability of pervious areas through incorporation of soil amendments, tilling and establishing dense vegetation. This practice may be used to compliment other practices such as impervious area disconnection to improve overall performance and increase reduction credits earned. Soil testing is needed to classify the permeability (in terms of HSG) of the restored pervious area.

Alternative Methods:

A permittee may propose alternative long-term cumulative performance information or alternative methods to calculate phosphorus load reductions for the structural BMPs identified above or for other structural BMPs not identified in this Attachment.

EPA will consider alternative long-term cumulative performance information and alternative methods to calculate phosphorus load reductions for structural BMPs provided that the permittee provides EPA with adequate supporting documentation. At a minimum, the supporting documentation shall include:

1) Results of continuous BMP model simulations representing the structural BMP, using a verified BMP model and representative long-term (i.e., 10 years) climatic data including hourly rainfall data;
2) Supporting calculations and model documentation that justify use of the model, model input parameters, and the resulting cumulative phosphorus load reduction estimate;
3) If pollutant removal performance data are available for the specific BMP, model calibration results should be provided; and
4) Identification of references and sources of information that support the use of the alternative information and method.

If EPA determines that the long-term cumulative phosphorus load reductions developed based on alternative information are not adequately supported, EPA will notify the permittee in writing, and the permittee may receive no phosphorus reduction credit other than a reduction credit calculated by the permittee using the default phosphorus reduction factors provided in this attachment for the identified practices. The permittee is required to submit to EPA valid phosphorus load reductions for structural BMPs in the watershed in accordance with the submission schedule requirements specified in the permit and Appendix F.

Method to Calculate Annual Phosphorus Load Delivered to BMPs (BMP Load)

The **BMP Load** is the annual phosphorus load from the drainage area to each proposed or existing BMP used by permittee to claim credit against its stormwater phosphorus load reduction requirement (i.e., Phosphorus Reduction Requirement). The BMP Load is the starting point from which the permittee calculates the reduction in phosphorus load achieved by each existing and proposed BMP.

Examples are provided to illustrate use of the methods. Table 3-1 below provides annual phosphorus load export rates (PLERs) by land use category for impervious and pervious areas. The permittee shall select the land use category that most closely represents the actual use of the watershed. For pervious areas, if the hydrologic soil group (HSG) is known, use the appropriate value. If the HSG is not known, assume HSG C conditions for the phosphorus load export rate. For watersheds with institutional type uses, such as government properties, hospitals, and schools, the permittee shall use the commercial/industrial land use category for the purpose of calculating phosphorus loads. Table 3-2 provides a crosswalk table of land use codes between land use groups in Table 3-1 and the codes used by MassGIS.

BMP Load: To estimate the annual phosphorus load reduction that a storm water BMP can achieve, it is first necessary to estimate the amount of annual phosphorus load that the BMP will receive or treat (BMP Load).
For a given BMP:

1) Determine the total drainage area to the BMP;

2) Distribute the total drainage area into impervious and pervious subareas by land use category as defined by Tables 3-1 and 3-2;

3) Calculate the phosphorus load for each land use-based impervious and pervious subarea by multiplying the subarea by the appropriate phosphorus load export rate provided in Table 3-1; and

4) Determine the total annual phosphorus load to the BMP by summing the calculated impervious and pervious subarea phosphorus loads.

Example 3-1 to determine phosphorus load to a proposed BMP: A permittee is proposing a surface stormwater infiltration system that will treat runoff from an industrial site with an area of 12.87 acres (5.21 hectares) and is made up of 10.13 acres of impervious cover (e.g., roadways, parking areas and rooftops), 1.85 acres of landscaped pervious area and 0.89 acres of wooded area both with HSG C soils. The drainage area information for the proposed BMP is:

BMP Subarea ID	Land Use Category	Cover Type	Area (acres)	P export rate (lb/acre/yr)*
1	Industrial	impervious	10.13	1.78
2	Landscaped (HSG C)	pervious	1.85	0.21
3	Forest (HSG C)	pervious	0.89	0.12

*From Table 3-1

The phosphorus load to the proposed BMP (BMP Load) is calculated as:

BMP Load $= (IA_{Ind} \times PLER_{Ind}) + (PA_{Ind} \times PLER_{Ind}) + (PA_{FOREST} \times PLER_{For})$
$= (10.13 \times 1.78) + (1.85 \times 0.21) + (0.89 \times 0.12)$
= 18.53 lbs P/year

Table 3-1: Average annual distinct phosphorus load (P Load) export rates for use in estimating phosphorus load reduction credits the MA MS4 Permit

Phosphorus Source Category by Land Use	Land Surface Cover	P Load Export Rate, lbs/acre/year	P Load Export Rate, kg/ha/yr
Commercial (Com) and Industrial (Ind)	Directly connected impervious	1.78	2.0
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Multi-Family (MFR) and High-Density Residential (HDR)	Directly connected impervious	2.32	2.6
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Medium -Density Residential (MDR)	Directly connected impervious	1.96	2.2
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Low Density Residential (LDR) - "Rural"	Directly connected impervious	1.52	1.7
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Highway (HWY)	Directly connected impervious	1.34	1.5
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Forest (For)	Directly connected impervious	1.52	1.7
	Pervious	0.13	0.13
Open Land (Open)	Directly connected impervious	1.52	1.7
	Pervious	See* DevPERV	See* DevPERV
Agriculture (Ag)	Directly connected impervious	1.52	1.7
	Pervious	0.45	0.5
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV)- Hydrologic Soil Group A	Pervious	0.03	0.03
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV)- Hydrologic Soil Group B	Pervious	0.12	0.13
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - Hydrologic Soil Group C	Pervious	0.21	0.24
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - Hydrologic Soil Group C/D	Pervious	0.29	0.33
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - Hydrologic Soil Group D	Pervious	0.37	0.41

Table 3- 2: MassGIS land-use categories with associated land-use groups for phosphorus load calculations

Mass GIS Land Use LU_CODE	Description	Land Use group for calculating P Load - 2013/14 MA MS4
1	Crop Land	Agriculture
2	Pasture (active)	Agriculture
3	Forest	Forest
4	Wetland	Forest
5	Mining	Industrial
6	Open Land includes inactive pasture	open land
7	Participation Recreation	open land
8	spectator recreation	open land
9	Water Based Recreation	open land
10	Multi-Family Residential	High Density Residential
11	High Density Residential	High Density Residential
12	Medium Density Residential	Medium Density Residential
13	Low Density Residential	Low Density Residential
14	Saltwater Wetland	Water
15	Commercial	Commercial
16	Industrial	Industrial
17	Urban Open	open land
18	Transportation	Highway
19	Waste Disposal	Industrial
20	Water	Water
23	cranberry bog	Agriculture
24	Powerline	open land
25	Saltwater Sandy Beach	open land
26	Golf Course	Agriculture
29	Marina	Commercial
31	Urban Public	Commercial
34	Cemetery	open land
35	Orchard	Forest
36	Nursery	Agriculture
37	Forested Wetland	Forest
38	Very Low Density residential	Low Density Residential
39	Junkyards	Industrial
40	Brush land/Successional	Forest

(1) Method to determine the design volume of a structural BMP to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious:

Flow Chart 1 illustrates the steps to determine the design volume of a structural BMP to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious.



Flow Chart 1: Method to determine BMP design volume to achieve a known phosphorous load reduction when contributing drainage area is 100% impervious.

1) Determine the desired cumulative phosphorus load reduction target (P_{target}) in percentage for the structural BMP;

2) Determine the contributing impervious drainage area (IA) in acres to the structural BMP;

3) Determine the structural BMP type (e.g., infiltration trench, gravel wetland). For infiltration systems, determine the appropriate infiltration rate for the location of the BMP in the Watershed;

4) Using the cumulative phosphorus removal performance curve for the selected structural BMP (Figures 3-1 through 3-18), determine the storage volume for the BMP (BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$), in inches of runoff, needed to treat runoff from the contributing IA to achieve the reduction target;

5) Calculate the corresponding BMP storage volume in cubic feet (BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}ft^3}$) using BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$ determined from step 4 and equation 3-1:

   BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}ft^3}$ = IA (acre) x BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$ x 3630 ft^3/ac-in **(Equation 3-1)**

6) Provide supporting calculations using the dimensions and specifications of the proposed structural BMP showing that the necessary storage volume, BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}ft^3}$, determined from step 5 will be provided to achieve the P_{Target}; and

7) Calculate the cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$) for the structural BMP using the BMP Load (as calculated from the procedure in Attachment 1 to Appendix F) and P_{target} by using equation 3-2:

   BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = BMP Load x (P_{target} /100) **(Equation 3-2)**

Example 3-2 to determine design volume of a structural BMP with a 100% impervious drainage area to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target:

A permittee is considering a surface infiltration practice to capture and treat runoff from 2.57 acres (1.04 ha) of commercial impervious area that will achieve a 70% reduction in annual phosphorus load. The infiltration practice would be located adjacent to the impervious area. The permittee has measured an infiltration rate (IR) of 0.39 inches per hour (in/hr) in the vicinity of the proposed infiltration practice. Determine the:

A) Design storage volume needed for an surface infiltration practice to achieve a 70% reduction in annual phosphorus load from the contributing drainage area (BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}ft^3}$); and

B) Cumulative phosphorus reduction in pounds that would be accomplished by the BMP (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$)

<u>Solution:</u>

1) Contributing impervious drainages area (IA) = 2.57 acres

BMP type is a surface infiltration practice (i.e., basin) with an infiltration rate (IR) of 0.39 in/hr

Solution continued:

3) Phosphorus load reduction target (P_{target}) = 70%

4) The performance curve for the infiltration basin (i.e., surface infiltration practice), Figure 3-8, IR = 0.27 in/hr is used to determine the design storage volume of the BMP (BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$) needed to treat runoff from the contributing IA and achieve a P_{target} = 70%. The curve for an infiltration rate of 0.27 in/hr is chosen because 0.27 in/hr is the nearest simulated IR that is less than the field measured IR of 0.39 in/hr. From Figure 3-8, the BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$ for a P_{target} = 70% is 0.36 in.

5) The BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$ is converted to cubic feet (BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}ft^3}$) using Equation 3-1:

BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}ft^3}$ = IA (acre) x BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$ x 3,630 ft^3/acre-in
BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}ft^3}$ = 2.57 acre x 0.36 in x 3,630 ft^3/acre-in
= **3,359 ft^3**

6) A narrow trapezoidal infiltration basin with the following characteristics is proposed to achieve the P_{Target} of 70%:

Length (ft)	Design Depth (ft)	Side Slopes	Bottom area (ft^2)	Pond surface area (ft^2)	Design Storage Volume (ft^3)
355	1.25	3:1	1,387	4,059	3,404

The volume of the proposed infiltration practice, 3,404 ft^3, exceeds the BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}ft^3}$ needed, 3,359 ft^3 and is sufficient to achieve the P Target of 70%.

7) The cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus for the infiltration practice (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$) is calculated using Equation 3-2. The BMP Load is first determined using the method described above.

BMP Load = IA x impervious cover phosphorus export loading rate for commercial use (see Table 3-1)
= 2.57 acres x 1.78 lbs/acre/yr
= 4.58 lbs/yr

BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = BMP Load x (P_{target} /100)
BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = 4.58 lbs/yr x (70/100)
= **3.21 lbs/yr**

Alternate Solution: Alternatively, the permittee could determine the design storage volume needed for an IR = 0.39 in/hr by performing interpolation of the results from the surface

infiltration performance curves for IR = 0.27 in/hr and IR = 0.52 in/hr as follows (replacing steps 3 and 4 on the previous page):

Alternate solution continued:

Using the performance curves for the infiltration basin (i.e., surface infiltration practice), Figures 3-8, IR = 0.27 in/hr and 3-9, IR = 0.52 in/hr, interpolate between the curves to determine the design storage volume of the BMP (BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$) needed to treat runoff from the contributing IA and achieve a P $_{target}$ = 70%.

First calculate the interpolation adjustment factor (IAF) to interpolate between the infiltration basin performance curves for infiltration rates of 0.27 and 0.52 in/hr:

$$IAF = (0.39 - 0.27)/ (0.52 – 0.27) = 0.48$$

From the two performance curves, develop the following table to estimate the general magnitude of the needed storage volume for an infiltration swale with an IR = 0.39 in/hr and a P $_{target}$ of 70%.

Table Example 3-1-1: Interpolation Table for determining design storage volume of infiltration basin with IR = 0.39 in/hr and a phosphorus load reduction target of 70%

BMP Storage Volume	% Phosphorus Load Reduction IR = 0.27 in/hr ($PR_{IR=0.27}$)	% Phosphorus Load Reduction IR = 0.52 in/hr ($PR_{IR=0.52}$)	Interpolated % Phosphorus Load Reduction IR = 0.39 in/hr ($PR_{IR=0.39}$) **$PR_{IR=0.39}$= IAF($PR_{IR=0.52}$ – $PR_{IR=0.27}$) + $PR_{IR=0.27}$**
0.3	64%	67%	65%
0.4	74%	77%	75%
0.5	79%	82%	80%

As indicated from Table Example 3-1, the BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$ for $PR_{IR=0.39}$ of 70% is between 0.3 and 0.4 inches and can be determined by interpolation:

$$\text{BMP-Volume}_{IA\text{-}in} = (70\% - 65\%)/ (75\% - 65\%) \times (0.4 \text{ in} – 0.3 \text{ in}) + 0.3 \text{ in}$$
$$= 0.35 \text{ inches}$$

5 alternative) Convert the resulting BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$ to cubic feet (BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}ft^3}$) using equation 3-1:

$$\text{BMP-Volume}_{IA\text{-}ft^3} = 2.57 \text{ acre} \times 0.35 \text{ in} \times 3{,}630 \text{ ft}^3\text{/acre-in}$$
$$= \mathbf{3{,}265 \text{ ft}^3}$$

(2) <u>Method to determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known design volume when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious:</u>

Flow Chart 2 illustrates the steps to determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known design volume when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious.



Flow Chart 2: Method to determine the phosphorus load reduction for a BMP with a known design volume when contributing drainage area is 100% impervious.

1) Identify the structural BMP type and contributing impervious drainage area (IA);

2) Document the available storage volume (ft^3) of the structural BMP (BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$) using the BMP dimensions and design specifications (e.g., maximum storage depth, filter media porosity);

3) Convert BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$ into inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP-Volume $_{IA-in}$) using equation 3-3:

BMP-Volume $_{IA-in}$ = BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$/ IA (acre) x 12 in/ft x 1 acre/43560 ft^2 **(Equation 3-3)**

4) Determine the % phosphorus load reduction for the structural BMP (BMP Reduction $_{\%-P}$) using the appropriate BMP performance curve (Figures 3-1 through 3-18) and the BMP-Volume $_{IA-in}$ calculated in step 3; and

5) Calculate the cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus for the structural BMP (BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$) using the BMP Load as calculated from the procedure described above and the percent phosphorus load reduction determined in step 4 by using equation 3-4:

BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$/100) **(Equation 3-4)**

Example 3-2: Determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known storage volume capacity when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious:

A permittee is considering a bio-filtration system to treat runoff from 1.49 acres of high density residential (HDR) impervious area. Site constraints would limit the bio-filtration system to have a surface area of 1200 ft^2 and the system would have to be located next to the impervious drainage area to be treated. The design parameters for the bio-filtration system are presented in Table Example 3-2-1.

Table Example 3-2-1: Design parameters for bio-filtration system for Example 3-2

Components of representation	Parameters	Value
Ponding	Maximum depth	0.5 ft
	Surface area	1200 ft^2
	Vegetative parameter[a]	85-95%
Soil mix	Depth	2.5 ft
	Porosity	0.40
	Hydraulic conductivity	4 inches/hour
Gravel layer	Depth	0.67 ft
	Porosity	0.40
	Hydraulic conductivity	14 inches/hour
Orifice #1	Diameter	0.5 ft

[a] Refers to the percentage of surface covered with vegetation

Determine the:

A) Percent phosphorus load reduction (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$) for the specified bio-filtration system and contributing impervious drainage area; and

B) Cumulative phosphorus reduction in pounds that would be accomplished by the bio-filtration system (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$)

Solution:

1) The BMP is a bio-filtration system that will treat runoff from 1.49 acres of impervious area (IA = 1.49 acre);

2) The available storage volume capacity (ft^3) of the bio-filtraton system (BMP-Volume $_{BMP\text{-}ft^3}$) is determined using the surface area of the system, depth of ponding, and the porosity of the filter media:

BMP-Volume $_{BMP\text{-}ft^3}$ = (surface area x pond maximum depth) + ((soil mix depth + gravel layer depth)/12 in/ft) x surface area x gravel layer porosity)
= (1,200 ft^2 x 0.5 ft) + ((38/12) x 1,200 ft^2 x 0.4)
= 2,120 ft^3

Solution continued:

3) The available storage volume capacity of the bio-filtration system in inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$) is calculated using equation 3-3:

BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$ = (BMP-Volume $_{ft}^3$/ IA (acre) x 12 in/ft x 1 acre/43560 ft^2
BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$ = (2120 ft^3/1.49 acre) x 12 in/ft x 1 acre/43560 ft^2
= 0.39 in

4) Using the bio-filtration performance curve shown in Figure 3-13, a **51%** phosphorus load reduction (BMP Reduction %-P) is determined for a bio-filtration system sized for 0.39 in of runoff from 1.49 acres of impervious area; and

5) Calculate the cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus for the bio-filtration system (BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$) using the BMP Load as calculated from the procedure described above and the BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$ determined in step 4 by using equation 3-4. First, the BMP Load is determined as specified above:

BMP Load = IA x impervious cover phosphorus export loading rate for HDR (see Table 3-1) = 1.49 acres x 2.32 lbs/acre/yr
= 3.46 lbs/yr

BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$/100)
BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = 3.46 lbs/yr x (51/100)
= **1.76 lbs/yr**

(3) Method to determine the design storage volume of a structural BMP to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces:

Flow Chart 3 illustrates the steps to determine the design storage volume of a structural BMP to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces.

Appendix F Attachment 3



Flow Chart 3: Method to determine the design storage volume of a BMP to reach a known P load reduction when both impervious and pervious drainage areas are present.

1) Determine the desired cumulative phosphorus load reduction target (P_{target}) in percentage for the structural BMP;
2) Characterize the contributing drainage area to the structural BMP by identifying the following information for the impervious and pervious surfaces:
   Impervious area (IA) - Area (acre) and land use (e.g., commercial)

   Pervious area (PA) – Area (acre) and runoff depths based on hydrologic soil group (HSG) and rainfall depth. Table 3-3 provides values of runoff depth from pervious areas for various rainfall depths and HSGs. Soils are assigned to an HSG on the basis of their permeability. HSG A is the most permeable, and HSG D is the least permeable. HSG categories for pervious areas in the drainage area shall be estimated by consulting local soil surveys prepared by the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) or by a storm water professional evaluating soil testing results from the drainage area. If the HSG condition is not known, a HSG D soil condition should be assumed.

Table 3- 3: Developed Land Pervious Area Runoff Depths based on Precipitation depth and Hydrological Soil Groups (HSGs)

Developed Land Pervious Area Runoff Depths based on Precipitation depth and Hydrological Soil Groups					
	Runoff Depth, inches				
Rainfall Depth, Inches	Pervious HSG A	Pervious HSG B	Pervious HSG C	Pervious HSG C/D	Pervious HSG D
0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.20	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.02
0.40	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.06
0.50	0.00	0.01	0.05	0.07	0.09
0.60	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.09	0.11
0.80	0.02	0.03	0.09	0.13	0.16
1.00	0.03	0.04	0.12	0.17	0.21
1.20	0.04	0.05	0.14	0.27	0.39
1.50	0.08	0.11	0.39	0.55	0.72
2.00	0.14	0.22	0.69	0.89	1.08
Notes: Runoff depths derived from combination of volumetric runoff coefficients from Table 5 of *Small Storm Hydrology and Why it is Important for the Design of Stormwater Control Practices*, (Pitt, 1999), and using the Stormwater Management Model (SWMM) in continuous model mode for hourly precipitation data for Boston, MA, 1998-2002.					

3) Determine the structural BMP type (e.g., infiltration trench, gravel wetland). For infiltration systems, determine the appropriate infiltration rate for the location of the BMP in the Watershed;
4) Using the cumulative phosphorus removal performance curve for the selected structural BMP, determine the storage volume capacity of the BMP in inches needed to treat runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$);

5) Using Equation 3-5 below and the pervious area runoff depth information from Table 3-3-1, determine the total volume of runoff from the contributing pervious drainage area in cubic feet (BMP Volume $_{PA\text{-}ft^3}$) for a rainfall size equal to the sum of BMP Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$, determined in step 4. The runoff volume for each distinct pervious area must be determined;

   BMP-Volume $_{PA\ ft^3}$ = ∑ (PA x (runoff depth) x 3,630 ft^3/acre-in) $_{(PA1,\ldots\ PAn)}$ **(Equation 3-5)**
6) Using equation 3-6 below, calculate the BMP storage volume in cubic feet (BMP-Volume $_{IA\&PA\text{-}ft^3}$) needed to treat the runoff depth from the contributing impervious (IA) and pervious areas (PA);

   BMP-Volume $_{IA\&PA\text{-}ft^3}$ = BMP Volume $_{PA\text{-}ft^3}$ + (BMP Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$ x IA (acre) x 3,630 ft^3/acre-in) **(Equation 3-6)**
7) Provide supporting calculations using the dimensions and specifications of the proposed structural BMP showing that the necessary storage volume determined in step 6, BMP-Volume $_{IA\&PA\text{-}ft^3}$, will be provided to achieve the P $_{Target}$; and
8) Calculate the cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$) for the structural BMP using the BMP Load (as calculated from the procedure in Attachment 1 to Appendix F) and the P $_{target}$ by using equation 3-2:

BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = BMP Load x (P $_{target}$ /100) **(Equation 3-2)**

<u>Example 3-3: Determine the design storage volume of a structural BMP to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces</u>

A permittee is considering a gravel wetland system to treat runoff from a high-density residential (HDR) site. The site is 7.50 acres of which 4.00 acres are impervious surfaces and 3.50 acres are pervious surfaces. The pervious area is made up of 2.5 acres of lawns in good condition surrounding cluster housing units and 1.00 acre of stable unmanaged woodland. Soils information indicates that all of the woodland and 0.50 acres of the lawn is hydrologic soil group (HSG) B and the other 2.00 acres of lawn are HSG C. The permittee wants to size the gravel wetland system to achieve a cumulative phosphorus load reduction (P $_{Target}$) of 55% from the entire 7.50 acres.

Determine the:

A) Design storage volume needed for a gravel wetland system to achieve a 55% reduction in annual phosphorus load from the contributing drainage area (BMP-Volume $_{IA\&PA\text{-}ft^3}$); and
B) Cumulative phosphorus reduction in pounds that would be accomplished by the BMP (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$)

Example 3-3 continued:
Solution:

1) The BMP type is gravel wetland system.

2) The phosphorus load reduction target (P_{Target}) = 55%.

3) Using the cumulative phosphorus removal performance curve for the gravel wetland system shown in Figure 3-14, the storage volume capacity in inches needed to treat runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$) is 0.71 in;

Using equation 3-5 and the pervious runoff depth information from Table 3-3, the volume of runoff from the contributing pervious drainage area in cubic feet (BMP Volume $_{PA-ft^3}$) for a rainfall size equal to 0.71 in is summarized in Table Example 3-3-A. As indicated from Table 3-3, the runoff depth for a rainfall size equal to 0.71 inches is between 0.6 and 0.8 inches and can be determined by interpolation (example shown for runoff depth of HSG C):

Runoff depth (HSG C) = (0.71 – 0.6)/(0.8 – 0.6) x (0.09 in – 0.06 in) + 0.06 in
= 0.07 inches

Table Example 3-3-A: Runoff contributions from pervious areas for HDR site

ID	Type	Pervious Area (acre)	HSG	Runoff (in)	Runoff = (runoff) x PA (acre-in)	Runoff = Runoff (acre-in) x 3630 ft^3/acre-in (ft^3)
PA1	Grass	2.00	C	0.07	0.14	508
PA2	Grass	0.50	B	0.01	0.0	0.0
PA3	Woods	1.00	B	0.01	0.0	0.0
Total	-----	**3.50**	-----	-----	**0.14**	**508**

4) Using equation 3-6, determine the BMP storage volume in cubic feet (BMP-Volume $_{IA\&PA\text{-}ft^3}$) needed to treat 0.71 inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (IA) and the runoff of 0.14 acre-in from the contributing pervious areas, determined in step 5 is:

BMP Volume$_{IA\&PA\text{-}ft^3}$ = BMP Volume $_{PA\ ac\text{-}in}$ + (BMP Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$ x IA (acre)) x 3,630 ft^3/acre-in)
BMP Volume$_{IA\&PA\text{-}ft^3}$ = (508 ft^3+ (0.71 in x 4.00 acre)) x 3,630 ft^3/acre-in
= 10,817 ft^3

5) Table Example 3-3-B provides design details for of a potential gravel wetland system

Solution continued:

Table Example 3-3-B: Design details for gravel wetland system

Gravel Wetland System Components	Design Detail	Depth (ft)	Surface Area (ft^2)	Volume (ft^3)
Sediment Forebay	**10% of Treatment Volume**			
Pond area	**----**	1.33	896	1,192
Wetland Cell #1	**45% of Treatment Volume**	----------	----------	----------
Pond area	**----**	2.00	1,914	3,828
Gravel layer	porosity = 0.4	2.00	1,914	1,531
Wetland Cell #2	**45% of Treatment Volume**	----------	----------	----------
Pond area	**----**	2.00	1,914	3,828
Gravel layer	porosity = 0.4	2.00	1,914	1,531

The total design storage volume for the proposed gravel wetland system identified in Table Example 3-3-C is 11,910 ft^3. This volume is greater than 11,834 ft^3 ((BMP-Volume $_{IA\&PA\text{-}ft^3}$), calculated in step 6) and is therefore sufficient to achieve a P_{Target} of 55%.

6) The cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$) for the proposed gravel wetland system is calculated by using equation 3-2 with the BMP Load and the P_{target} = 55%.

BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = BMP Load x (P_{target} /100) (**Equation 3-2**)

Using Table 3-1, the BMP Load is calculated:
BMP Load = (IA x PLER $_{HDR}$) + (PA lawn $_{HSG\ B}$ x PLER $_{HSG\ B}$) + (PA lawn $_{HSG\ C}$ x PLER $_{HSG\ C}$) +(PA forest x PA PLER $_{For}$)
= (4.00 acre x 2.32 lbs/acre/yr) + (0.50 acres x 0.12 lbs/acre/yr) + (1.00 acre x 0.21 lbs/acre/yr) + (1.00 acres x 0.13)
= 9.68 lbs/yr
BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = BMP Load x (P_{target} /100)
BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = 9.68 lbs/yr x 55/100
= **5.32 lbs/yr**

(4) Method to determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known storage volume when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces:

Flow Chart 4 illustrates the steps to determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known storage volume when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces.

Appendix F Attachment 3



Flow Chart 4: Method to determine the phosphorus load reduction for a BMP with known storage volume when both pervious and impervious drainage areas are present.

1) Identify the type of structural BMP and characterize the contributing drainage area to the structural BMP by identifying the following information for the impervious and pervious surfaces:

Impervious area (IA) – Area (acre) and land use (e.g., commercial)

Pervious area (PA) – Area (acre) and runoff depth based on hydrologic soil group (HSG) and size of rainfall event. Table 3-3 provides values of runoff depth for various rainfall depths and HSGs. Soils are assigned to an HSG based on their permeability. HSG categories for pervious areas in the Watershed shall be estimated by consulting local soil surveys prepared by the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) or by a storm water professional evaluating soil testing results from the Watershed. If the HSG condition is not known, a HSG C/D soil condition should be assumed.

2) Determine the available storage volume (ft^3) of the structural BMP (BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$) using the BMP dimensions and design specifications (e.g., maximum storage depth, filter media porosity);

3) To estimate the phosphorus load reduction of a BMP with a known storage volume capacity, it is first necessary to determine the portion of available BMP storage capacity (BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$) that would treat the runoff volume generated from the contributing impervious area (IA) for a rainfall event with a depth of *i* inches (in). This will require knowing the corresponding amount of runoff volume that would be generated from the contributing pervious area (PA) for the same rainfall event (depth of *i* inches). Using equation 3-6a below, solve for the BMP capacity that would be available to treat runoff from the contributing imperious area for the unknown rainfall depth of *i* inches (see equation 3-6b):

$$\text{BMP-Volume}_{ft^3} = \text{BMP-Volume}_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)i} + \text{BMP-Volume}_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)i} \quad \textbf{(Equation 3-6a)}$$

Where:

BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$= the available storage volume of the BMP;

BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)i}$ = the available storage volume of the BMP that would fully treat runoff generated from the contributing impervious area for a rainfall event of size *i* inches; and

BMP-Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)i}$ = the available storage volume of the BMP that would fully treat runoff generated from the contributing pervious area for a rainfall event of size *i* inches

Solving for BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)i}$:

BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)i}$ = BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$ - BMP-Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)i}$ **(Equation 3-6b)**

To determine BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)i}$, requires performing an iterative process of refining estimates of the rainfall depth used to calculate runoff volumes until the rainfall depth used results in the sum of runoff volumes from the contributing IA and PA equaling the available BMP storage capacity (BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$). For the purpose of estimating BMP performance, it will be considered adequate when the IA runoff depth (in) is within 5% IA runoff depth used in the previous iteration.

For the first iteration (1), convert the BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$ determined in step 2 into inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)1}$) using equation 3-7a.

BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)1}$ = (BMP-Volume$_{ft^3}$/ IA (acre)) x (12 in/ft /43,560 ft^2/acre) **(Equation 3-7a)**;

For iterations 2 through n (2…n), convert the BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)2\ldots n}$, determined in step 5a below, into inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)2\ldots n}$) using equation 3-7b.

BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)2\ldots n}$ = (BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)2\ldots n}$ / IA (acre)) x (12 in/ft /43,560 ft^2/acre) **(Equation 3-7b)**;

4) For 1 to n iterations, use the pervious runoff depth information from Table 3-3 and equation 3-8 to determine the total volume of runoff (ft^3) from the contributing PA (BMP Volume $_{PA\text{-}ft^3}$) for a rainfall size equal to the sum of BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)1}$, determined in step 3. The runoff volume for each distinct pervious area must be determined.

BMP Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)1\ldots n}$ = $\sum$ ((PA x (runoff depth$_{(PA1,\ PA2..PAn)}$ x (3,630 ft^3/acre-in) **(Equation 3-8)**

5) For iteration 1, estimate the portion of BMP Volume that is available to treat runoff from only the IA by subtracting BMP-Volume $_{PA\text{-}ft^3}$, determined in step 4, from BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$, determined in step 2, and convert to inches of runoff from IA (see equations 3-9a and 3-9b):

BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)2}$ = ((BMP-Volume$_{ft^3}$- BMP Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)1}$) **(Equation 3-9a)**

BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)2}$ = (BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)2}$/IA (acre)) x (12 in/ft x 1 acre/43,560 ft^2) **(Equation 3-9b)**

If additional iterations (i.e., 2 through n) are needed, estimate the portion of BMP volume that is available to treat runoff from only the IA (BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)3..n+1}$) by subtracting BMP Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)2..n}$, determined in step 4, from BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)3..n+1}$, determined in step 5, and by converting to inches of runoff from IA using equation 3-9b):

6) For iteration a (an iteration between 1 and n+1), compare BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)a}$ to BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)a\text{-}1}$ determined from the previous iteration (a-1). If the difference in these values is greater than 5% of BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)a}$ then repeat steps 4 and 5, using BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)a}$ as the new starting value for the next iteration (a+1). If the difference is less than or equal to 5 % of BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)a}$ then the permittee may proceed to step 7;

7) Determine the % phosphorus load reduction for the structural BMP (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$) using the appropriate BMP performance curve and the BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)n}$ calculated in the final iteration of step 5; and

8) Calculate the cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus for the structural BMP (BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$) using the BMP Load as calculated from the procedure in Attachment 1 to Appendix F and the percent phosphorus load reduction (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$) determined in step 7 by using equation 3-4:

BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$/100) **(Equation 3-4)**

Example 3-4: Determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known design volume when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces

A permittee is considering an infiltration basin to capture and treat runoff from a portion of the medium density residential area (MDR). The contributing drainage area is 16.55 acres and has 11.75 acres of impervious area and 4.8 acres of pervious area (PA) made up mostly of lawns and landscaped areas that is 80% HSG D and 20% HSG C. An infiltration basin with the following specifications can be placed at the down-gradient end of the contributing drainage area where soil testing results indicates an infiltration rate (IR) of 0.28 in/hr:

Table Example 3-4-A: Infiltration basin characteristics

Structure	Bottom area (acre)	Top surface area (acre)	Maximum pond depth (ft)	Design storage volume (ft^3)	Infiltration Rate (in/hr)
Infiltration basin	0.65	0.69	1.65	48,155	0.28

Determine the:

A) Percent phosphorus load reduction (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$) for the specified infiltration basin and the contributing impervious and pervious drainage area; and

B) Cumulative phosphorus reduction in pounds that would be accomplished by the BMP (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$)

Example continued:

Solution:

1) A surface infiltration basin is being considered. Information for the contributing impervious (IA) and pervious (PA) areas are summarized in Tables Example 3-4-A and Example 3-4-B, respectively.

Table Example 3-4-B: Impervious area characteristics

ID	Land use	Area (acre)
IA1	MDR	11.75

Table Example 3-4-C: Pervious area characteristics

ID	Area (acre)	Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG)
PA1	3.84	D
PA2	0.96	C

2) The available storage volume (ft^3) of the infiltration basin (BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$) is determined from the design details and basin dimensions; BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$ = 48,155 ft^3.

3) To determine what the BMP design storage volume is in terms of runoff depth (in) from IA, an iterative process is undertaken:

Solution Iteration 1

For the first iteration (1), the BMP-Volume$_{ft^3}$ is converted into inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)1}$) using equation 3-5a.

BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)1}$ = (48,155 ft^2/ 11.75 acre) x (12 in/ft /43,560 ft^2/acre)
= 1.13 in

4-1) The total volume of runoff (ft^3) from the contributing PA (BMP Volume $_{PA\text{-}ft^3}$) for a rainfall size equal to the sum of BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)1}$ determined in step 3 is determined for each distinct pervious area identified in Table Example 3-4-B using the information from Table 3-3 and equation 3-5. Interpolation was used to determine runoff depths.

BMP Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)1}$ = ((3.84 acre x (0.33 in) + (0.96 acre x (0.13 in)) x 3,630 ft^3/acre-in
= 5052 ft^3

5-1) For iteration 1, the portion of BMP Volume that is available to treat runoff from only the IA is estimated by subtracting the BMP Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)1}$, determined in step 4-1, from BMP Volume$_{ft^3}$, determined in step 2, and converted to inches of runoff from IA:

BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)\,2}$ = 48,155 ft^3 – 5052 ft^3
= 43,103 ft^3
BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)\,2}$ = (43,103 ft^3/11.75 acre) x (12 in/ft x 1 acre/43,560 ft^2)
= 1.01 in

Solution continued:

6-1) The % difference between BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)\,2}$, 1.01 in, and BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)1}$, 1.13 in is determined and found to be significantly greater than 5%:

% Difference = ((1.13 in – 1.01 in)/1.01 in) x 100
= 12%

Therefore, steps 4 through 6 are repeated starting with BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)\,2}$ = 1.01 in.

Solution Iteration 2

4-2) BMP-Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)2}$ = ((3.84 acre x 0.21 in) + (0.96 acre x 0.12 in)) x 3,630 ft^3/acre-in
= 3,358 ft^3

5-2) BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)\,3}$ = 48,155 ft^3 – 3,358 ft^3
= 44,797 ft^3

BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)\,3}$ = (44,797 ft^3/11.75 acre) x (12 in/ft x 1 acre/43,560 ft^2)
= 1.05 in

6-2) % Difference = ((1.05 in – 1.01 in)/1.05 in) x 100
= 4%

The difference of 4% is acceptable.

7) The % phosphorus load reduction for the infiltration basin (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$) is determined by using the infiltration basin performance curve for an infiltration rate of 0.27 in/hr and the treatment volume (BMP-Volume $_{Net\ IA\text{-}in}$ = 1.05 in) calculated in step 5-2 and is **BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$ = 93%**.

The performance curve for IR = 0.27 is used rather than interpolating between the performance curves for IR = 0.27 in/hr and 0.52 in/hr to estimate performance for IR = 0.28 in/hr. An evaluation of the performance curves for IR = 0.27 in/hr and IR = 0.52 in/hr for a design storage volume of 1.05 in indicate a small difference in estimated performance (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$ = 93% for IR = 0.27 in/hr and BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$ = 95% for IR = 0.52 in/hr).

8) The cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$) for the proposed infiltration basin is calculated by using equation 3-2 with the BMP Load and the P $_{target}$ of 93%.

BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = BMP Load x (P $_{target}$ /100) **(Equation 3-2)**

Using Table 3-1, the BMP load is calculated:

BMP Load = (IA x impervious cover phosphorus export loading rate for industrial)
+ (PA $_{HSG\ D}$ x pervious cover phosphorus export loading rate for HSG D)
+ (PA $_{HSG\ C}$ x pervious cover phosphorus export loading rate for HSG C)

Solution continued:

= (11.75 acre x 1.96 lbs/acre/yr) + (3.84 acre x 0.37 lbs/acre/yr)
+ (0.96 acre x 0.21 lbs/acre/yr)
= 24.65 lbs/yr

BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = 24.22 lbs/yr x 93/100 = **22.93 lbs/yr**

Example 3-5: Determine the phosphorus load reduction for disconnecting impervious area using storage with delayed release.

A commercial operation has an opportunity to divert runoff from 0.75 acres of impervious roof top to a 5000 gallon (668.4 ft^3) storage tank for temporary storage and subsequent release to 0.09 acres of pervious area (PA) with HSG C soils.
Determine the:

A) Percent phosphorus load reduction rates (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$) for the specified impervious area (IA) disconnection and storage system assuming release times of 1, 2 and 3 days for the stored volumes to discharge to the pervious area; and
B) Cumulative phosphorus reductions in pounds that would be accomplished by the system (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$) for the three storage release times, 1, 2 and 3 days.

Solution:

1. Determine the storage volume in units of inches of runoff depth from contributing impervious area:
   Storage Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$ = (668.4 ft^3/(0.75 acre x 43.560 ft^2/acre)) x 12 inch/ft
   = 0.25 inches

2. Determine the ratio of the contributing impervious area to the receiving pervious area:
   IA:PA = 0.75 acres/0.09 acres
   = 8.3
3. Using Table 3-21 for a IA:PA ratio of 8:1, determine the phosphorus load reduction rates for a storage volume of 0.25 inches that discharges to HSG C with release rates of 1, 2 and 3 days: Using interpolation the reduction rates are shown in Table 3-5-A:

Table Example 3-5-A: Reduction Rates

Percent Phosphorus load reduction for IA disconnection with storage HSG C			
Storage Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$	Storage release rate, days		
	1	2	3
0.25	39%	42%	43%

4. The cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus for the IA disconnection with storage (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$) is calculated using Equation 3-2. The BMP Load is first determined using the method described above.

Solution continued:

BMP Load = IA x phosphorus export loading rate for commercial IA (see Table 3-1)
= 0.75 acres x 1.78 lbs/acre/yr
= 1.34 lbs/yr

BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$/100)

BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = 1.34 lbs/yr x (39/100)
= **0.53 lbs/yr**

Table Example 3-5-B presents the BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ for each of the release rates:

Table Example 3-5-B: Reduction Load

Phosphorus load reduction for IA disconnection with storage HSG C, lbs			
Storage Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$	Storage release rate, days		
	1	2	3
0.25	0.53	0.56	0.58

Example 3-6: Determine the phosphorus load reduction for disconnecting impervious area with and without soil augmentation in the receiving pervious area.

The same commercial property as in example 3-5 wants to evaluate disconnecting drainage from the 0.75 acre impervious roof top and discharging it directly to 0.09 acres of pervious area (PA) with HSG C. Also, the property has the opportunity to purchase a small adjoining area (0.06 acres), also HSG C,to increase the size of the receiving PA from 0.09 to 0.15 acres and to allow the property owner to avoid having to install a drainage structure to capture overflow runoff from the PA. The property owner has been informed that the existing PA soil can be tilled and augmented with soil amendments to support denser vegetative growth and improve hydrologic function to approximate HSG B.

Determine the:

A) Percent phosphorus load reduction rates (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$) for the specified impervious area (IA) disconnection to both the 0.09 and 0.15 acre receiving PAs with and without soil augmentation; and
B) Cumulative phosphorus reductions in pounds that would be accomplished by the IA disconnection for the various scenarios (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$).

Solution:

1. Determine the ratio of the contributing impervious area to the receiving pervious area:
   IA:PA = 0.75 acres/0.09 acres
   = 8.3
   IA:PA = 0.75 acres/0.15 acres
   = 5.0

Solution Continued:

2. Using Table 3-26 and Figure 3-40 for a IA:PA ratios of 8:1 and 5:1, respectively, determine the phosphorus load reduction rates for IA disconnections to HSG C and HSG B:

Table Example 3-6-A: Reduction Rates

Percent Phosphorus load reduction rates for IA disconnection		
Receiving PA	**IA:PA**	
	8:1	5:1
HSG C	7%	14%
HSG B (soil augmentation)	14%	22%

3. The cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus for the IA disconnection with storage (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$) is calculated using Equation 3-2. The BMP Load was calculated in example 3-5 and is 1.34 lbs/yr.

   BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$/100)
   For PA of 0.09 acres HSG C the BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ is calculated as follows:
   BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P(0.09ac\text{-}\ HSG\ C)}$ = 1.34 lbs/yr x (7/100)
   = **0.09 lbs/yr**
   Table Example 3-6-B presents the BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ for each of the scenarios:

Table Example 3-6-B: Reduction

Pounds Phosphorus load reduction for IA disconnection, lbs/yr		
Receiving PA	**Area of Receiving PA, acres**	
	0.09	**0.15**
HSG C	0.09	0.19
HSG B (soil augmentation)	0.19	0.29

Example 3-7: Determine the phosphorus load reduction for converting impervious area to permeable/pervious area.

A municipality is planning upcoming road reconstruction work in medium density residential (MDR) neighborhoods and has identified an opportunity to convert impervious surfaces to permeable/pervious surfaces by narrowing the road width of 3.7 miles (mi) of roadway from 32 feet (ft) to 28 ft and eliminating 3.2 miles of 4 ft wide paved sidewalk (currently there are sidewalks on both sides of the roadways targeted for restoration). The newly created permeable/pervious area will be tilled and treated with soil amendments to support vegetated growth in order to restore hydrologic function to at least HSG B.

Determine the:

A) Percent phosphorus load reduction rate (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$) for the conversion of impervious area (IA) to permeable/pervious area (PA); and
B) Cumulative phosphorus reduction in pounds that would be accomplished by the project (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$).

Solution:

1. Determine the area of IA to be converted to PA:

   New PA = (((3.7 mi x 4 ft) + (3.2 mi x 4 ft)) x 5280 ft/mi)/43,560 ft^2/acre
   = 3.35 acres

2. Using Table 3-27, the phosphorus load reduction rate for converting IA to HSG B is 94.1%
3. The BMP Load is first determined using the method described above.

   BMP Load = IA x phosphorus export loading rate for MDR IA (see Table 3-1)
   = 3.35 acres x 1.96 lbs/acre/yr
   = 6.57 lbs/yr

4. The cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus for the IA conversion (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$) is calculated using Equation 3-2.

   BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}P}$/100)
   BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}P}$ = 6.57 lbs/yr x (94.1/100)
   = 6.18 lbs/yr

Table 3- 4: Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.17 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.17 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Runoff Volume Reduction	14.7%	27.6%	48.6%	64.1%	74.9%	82.0%	91.6%	95.4%
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	18%	33%	57%	73%	83%	90%	97%	99%

Figure 3- 1: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 0.17 in/hr)



Table 3- 5: Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Runoff Volume Reduction	17.8%	32.5%	55.0%	70.0%	79.3%	85.2%	93.3%	96.3%
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	20%	37%	63%	78%	86%	92%	97%	99%

Figure 3- 2: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 0.27 in/hr)



Table 3- 6: Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.52 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.52 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Runoff Volume Reduction	22.0%	38.5%	61.8%	75.7%	83.7%	88.8%	95.0%	97.2%
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	23%	42%	68%	82%	89%	94%	98%	99%

Figure 3- 3: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 0.52 in/hr)



Table 3- 7: Infiltration Trench (IR = 1.02 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

Infiltration Trench (IR = 1.02 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Runoff Volume Reduction	26.3%	44.6%	68.2%	81.0%	88.0%	92.1%	96.5%	98.3%
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	27%	47%	73%	86%	92%	96%	99%	100%

Figure 3- 4: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 1.02 in/hr)



Table 3- 8: Infiltration Trench (IR = 2.41 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

Infiltration Trench (IR = 2.41 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Runoff Volume Reduction	34.0%	54.7%	78.3%	88.4%	93.4%	96.0%	98.8%	99.8%
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	33%	55%	81%	91%	96%	98%	100%	100%

Figure 3- 5: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 2.41 in/hr)



Table 3- 9: Infiltration Trench (8.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

Infiltration Trench (8.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Runoff Volume Reduction	53.6%	76.1%	92.6%	97.2%	98.9%	99.5%	100.0%	100.0%
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	50%	75%	94%	98%	99%	100%	100%	100%

Figure 3- 6: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 8.27 in/hr)



Table 3- 10: Infiltration Basin (0.17 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

Infiltration Basin (0.17 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Runoff Volume Reduction	13.0%	24.6%	44.2%	59.5%	70.6%	78.1%	89.2%	93.9%
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	35%	52%	72%	82%	88%	92%	97%	99%

Figure 3- 7: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 0.17 in/hr)



Table 3- 11: Infiltration Basin (0.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

Infiltration Basin (0.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Runoff Volume Reduction	16.3%	29.8%	51.0%	66.0%	76.0%	82.4%	91.5%	95.2%
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	37%	54%	74 %	85%	90%	93%	98%	99%

Figure 3- 8: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 0.27 in/hr)



Table 3- 12: Infiltration Basin (0.52 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

Infiltration Basin (0.52 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Runoff Volume Reduction	20.2%	35.6%	58.0%	72.6%	81.3%	86.9%	94.2%	96.7%
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	38%	56%	77%	87%	92%	95%	98%	99%

Figure 3- 9: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 0.52 in/hr)



Table 3- 13: Infiltration Basin (1.02 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

Infiltration Basin (1.02 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Runoff Volume Reduction	24.5%	42.0%	65.6%	79.4%	86.8%	91.3%	96.2%	98.1%
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	41%	60%	81%	90%	94%	97%	99%	100%

Figure 3- 10: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (Soil infiltration rate = 1.02 in/hr)



Table 3- 14: Infiltration Basin (2.41 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

Infiltration Basin (2.41 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Runoff Volume Reduction	32.8%	53.8%	77.8%	88.4%	93.4%	96.0%	98.8%	99.8%
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	46%	67%	87%	94%	97%	98%	100%	100%

Figure 3- 11: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 2.41 in/hr)



Table 3- 15: Infiltration Basin (8.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

Infiltration Basin (8.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Runoff Volume Reduction	54.6%	77.2%	93.4%	97.5%	99.0%	99.6%	100.0%	100.0%
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	59%	81%	96%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Figure 3- 12: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 8.27 in/hr)



Table 3- 16: Biofiltration BMP Performance Table

Biofiltration BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	19%	34%	53%	64%	71%	76%	84%	89%

Figure 3- 13: BMP Performance Curve: Biofiltration



Table 3- 17: Gravel Wetland BMP Performance Table

Gravel Wetland BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	19%	26%	41%	51%	57%	61%	65%	66%

Figure 3- 14: BMP Performance Curve: Gravel Wetland



Table 3- 18: Porous Pavement BMP Performance Table

Porous Pavement BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction				
BMP Capacity: Depth of Filter Course Area (inches)	12.0	18.0	24.0	32.0
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	62%	70%	75%	78%

Figure 3- 15: BMP Performance Curve: Porous Pavement



Table 3- 19: Wet Pond BMP Performance Table

Wet Pond BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	14%	25%	37%	44%	48%	53%	58%	63%

Table 3- 20: Dry Pond BMP Performance Table

Dry Pond BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	3%	6%	8%	9%	11%	12%	13%	14%

Figure 3- 16: BMP Performance Curve: Dry Pond



Table 3- 21: Grass Swale BMP Performance Table

Grass Swale BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction								
BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0
Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction	2%	5%	9%	13%	17%	21%	29%	36%

Figure 3- 17: BMP Performance Curve: Grass Swale



Table 3- 22: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 8:1

Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage : Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 8:1												
Storage volume to impervious area ratio	Total Runoff Volume (TP) Reduction Percentages											
	HSG A			HSG B			HSG C			HSG D		
	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day
0.1 in	24%	23%	22%	24%	23%	22%	24%	23%	22%	22%	22%	21%
0.2 in	40%	38%	37%	40%	38%	37%	37%	38%	37%	24%	26%	27%
0.3 in	52%	50%	49%	52%	50%	49%	40%	46%	49%	24%	26%	27%
0.4 in	61%	59%	58%	59%	59%	58%	40%	48%	54%	24%	26%	27%
0.5 in	67%	66%	64%	62%	66%	64%	40%	48%	56%	24%	26%	27%
0.6 in	70%	71%	70%	62%	70%	70%	40%	48%	56%	24%	26%	27%
0.8 in	71%	78%	77%	62%	73%	77%	40%	48%	56%	24%	26%	27%
1.0 in	71%	80%	80%	62%	73%	79%	40%	48%	56%	24%	26%	27%
1.5 in	71%	81%	87%	62%	73%	81%	40%	48%	56%	24%	26%	27%
2.0 in	71%	81%	88%	62%	73%	81%	40%	48%	56%	24%	26%	27%

Figure 3- 18: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG A Soils



Figure 3- 19: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG B Soils



Figure 3- 20: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG C Soils



Figure 3- 21: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG D Soils



Table 3- 23: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 6:1

Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 6:1												
Rain barrel volume to impervious area ratio	Total Runoff Volume and Phosphorus Load (TP) Reduction Percentages											
	HSG A			HSG B			HSG C			HSG D		
	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day
0.1 in	24%	23%	22%	24%	23%	22%	24%	23%	22%	23%	23%	22%
0.2 in	40%	38%	37%	40%	38%	37%	40%	38%	37%	28%	30%	33%
0.3 in	52%	50%	49%	52%	50%	49%	47%	50%	49%	29%	31%	34%
0.4 in	61%	59%	58%	61%	59%	58%	48%	55%	58%	29%	31%	34%
0.5 in	67%	66%	64%	67%	66%	64%	48%	57%	63%	29%	31%	34%
0.6 in	73%	71%	70%	70%	71%	70%	48%	57%	65%	29%	31%	34%
0.8 in	78%	78%	77%	71%	78%	77%	48%	57%	66%	29%	31%	34%
1.0 in	79%	81%	80%	71%	79%	80%	48%	57%	66%	29%	31%	34%
1.5 in	79%	87%	88%	71%	80%	87%	48%	57%	66%	29%	31%	34%
2.0 in	79%	87%	91%	71%	80%	87%	48%	57%	66%	29%	31%	34%

Figure 3- 22: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG A Soils



Figure 3- 23: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG B Soils



Figure 3- 24: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG C Soils



Figure 3- 25: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG D Soils



Table 3- 24: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 4:1

Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 4:1												
Storage volume to impervious area ratio	Total Runoff Volume and Phosphorus Load (TP) Reduction Percentages											
	HSG A			HSG B			HSG C			HSG D		
	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day
0.1 in	24%	23%	22%	24%	23%	22%	24%	23%	22%	24%	23%	22%
0.2 in	40%	38%	37%	40%	38%	37%	40%	38%	37%	37%	37%	37%
0.3 in	52%	50%	49%	52%	50%	49%	52%	50%	49%	39%	42%	45%
0.4 in	61%	59%	58%	61%	59%	58%	58%	59%	58%	39%	42%	47%
0.5 in	67%	66%	64%	67%	66%	64%	60%	65%	64%	40%	42%	47%
0.6 in	73%	71%	70%	73%	71%	70%	61%	68%	70%	40%	42%	47%
0.8 in	79%	78%	77%	79%	78%	77%	61%	69%	75%	40%	42%	47%
1.0 in	82%	81%	80%	80%	81%	80%	61%	69%	76%	40%	42%	47%
1.5 in	87%	89%	88%	80%	87%	88%	61%	69%	76%	40%	42%	47%
2.0 in	87%	91%	91%	80%	88%	91%	61%	69%	76%	40%	42%	47%

Figure 3- 26: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG A Soils



Figure 3- 27: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG B Soils



Figure 3- 28: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG C Soils



Figure 3- 29: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG D Soils



Table 3- 25: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 2:1

Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 2:1												
Storage volume to impervious area ratio	Total Runoff Volume and Phosphorus Load (TP) Reduction Percentages											
	HSG A			HSG B			HSG C			HSG D		
	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day
0.1 in	24%	23%	22%	24%	23%	22%	24%	23%	22%	24%	23%	22%
0.2 in	40%	38%	37%	40%	38%	37%	40%	38%	37%	40%	38%	37%
0.3 in	52%	50%	49%	52%	50%	49%	52%	50%	49%	51%	50%	49%
0.4 in	61%	59%	58%	61%	59%	58%	61%	59%	58%	57%	58%	57%
0.5 in	67%	66%	64%	67%	66%	64%	67%	66%	64%	59%	62%	63%
0.6 in	73%	71%	70%	73%	71%	70%	72%	71%	70%	59%	62%	67%
0.8 in	79%	78%	77%	79%	78%	77%	77%	78%	77%	59%	62%	67%
1.0 in	82%	81%	80%	82%	81%	80%	78%	81%	80%	59%	62%	67%
1.5 in	89%	89%	88%	89%	89%	88%	78%	84%	88%	59%	62%	67%
2.0 in	92%	92%	91%	91%	92%	91%	78%	84%	89%	59%	62%	67%

Figure 3- 30: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio= 2:1 for HSG A Soils



Figure 3- 31: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio= 2:1 for HSG B Soils



Figure 3- 32: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio= 2:1 for HSG C Soils



Figure 3- 33: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio= 2:1 for HSG D Soils



Table 3- 26: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 1:1

Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 1:1												
Storage volume to impervious area ratio	Total Runoff Volume and Phosphorus Load (TP) Reduction Percentages											
	HSG A			HSG B			HSG C			HSG D		
	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day	1-day	2-day	3-day
0.1 in	24%	23%	22%	24%	23%	22%	24%	23%	22%	24%	23%	22%
0.2 in	40%	38%	37%	40%	38%	37%	40%	38%	37%	40%	38%	37%
0.3 in	52%	50%	49%	52%	50%	49%	52%	50%	49%	52%	50%	49%
0.4 in	61%	59%	58%	61%	59%	58%	61%	59%	58%	61%	59%	58%
0.5 in	67%	66%	64%	67%	66%	64%	67%	66%	64%	67%	66%	64%
0.6 in	73%	71%	70%	73%	71%	70%	73%	71%	70%	72%	71%	70%
0.8 in	79%	78%	77%	79%	78%	77%	79%	78%	77%	78%	78%	77%
1.0 in	82%	81%	80%	82%	81%	80%	82%	81%	80%	79%	80%	80%
1.5 in	89%	89%	88%	89%	89%	88%	89%	89%	88%	80%	82%	86%
2.0 in	92%	92%	91%	92%	92%	91%	91%	92%	91%	80%	82%	86%

Figure 3- 34: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 1:1 for HSG A Soils



Figure 3- 35: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 1:1 for HSG B Soils



Figure 3- 36: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 1:1 for HSG C Soils



Figure 3- 37: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 1:1 for HSG D Soils



Table 3- 27: Impervious Area Disconnection Performance Table

Impervious area to pervious area ratio	Soil type of Receiving Pervious Area			
	HSG A	HSG B	HSG C	HSG D
8:1	30%	14%	7%	3%
6:1	37%	18%	11%	5%
4:1	48%	27%	17%	9%
2:1	64%	45%	33%	21%
1:1	74%	59%	49%	36%
1:2	82%	67%	60%	49%
1:4	85%	72%	67%	57%

Figure 3- 38: Impervious Area Disconnection Performance Curves



Table 3- 28: Performance Table for Conversion of Impervious Areas to Pervious Area based on Hydrological Soil Groups

Land-Use Group	Cumulative Reduction in Annual Stormwater Phosphorus Load				
	Conversion of impervious area to pervious area-HSG A	Conversion of impervious area to pervious area-HSG B	Conversion of impervious area to pervious area-HSG C	Conversion of impervious area to pervious area-HSG C/D	Conversion of impervious area to pervious area-HSG D
Commercial (Com) and Industrial (Ind)	98.5%	93.5%	88.0%	83.5%	79.5%
Multi-Family (MFR) and High-Density Residential (HDR)	98.8%	95.0%	90.8%	87.3%	84.2%
Medium -Density Residential (MDR)	98.6%	94.1%	89.1%	85.0%	81.4%
Low Density Residential (LDR) - "Rural"	98.2%	92.4%	85.9%	80.6%	75.9%
Highway (HWY)	98.0%	91.3%	84.0%	78.0%	72.7%
Forest (For)	98.2%	92.4%	85.9%	80.6%	75.9%
Open Land (Open)	98.2%	92.4%	85.9%	80.6%	75.9%
Agriculture (Ag)	70.6%	70.6%	70.6%	70.6%	70.6%

Table 3- 29: Performance Table for Conversion of Low Permeable Pervious Area to High Permeable Pervious Area based on Hydrological Soil Group

Land Cover	Cumulative Reduction in Annual SW Phosphorus Load from Pervious Area				
	Conversion of pervious area HSG D to pervious area-HSG A	Conversion of pervious area HSG D to pervious area-HSG B	Conversion of pervious area HSG D to pervious area-HSG C	Conversion of pervious area HSG C to pervious area-HSG A	Conversion of pervious area HSG C to pervious area-HSG B
Developed Pervious Land	92.7%	68.3%	41.5%	83.5%	79.5%

Table 3-30 Method for determining stormwater control design volume (DSV) (i.e., capacity) using Long-term cumulative performance curves

Stormwater Control Type	Description	Applicable Structural Stormwater Control Performance Curve	Equation for calculating Design Storage Capacity for Estimating Cumulative Reductions using Performances Curves
Infiltration Trench	Provides temporary storage of runoff using the void spaces within the soil/sand/gravel mixture that is used to backfill the trench for subsequent infiltration into the surrounding sub-soils.	Infiltration Trench (6 infiltration rates: 0.17, 0.27, 0.52, 1.02, 2.41 and 8.27 inches per hour)	DSV = void space volumes of gravel and sand layers DSV = $(L \times W \times D_{stone} \times n_{stone}) + (L \times W \times D_{sand} \times n_{sand})$
Subsurface Infiltration	Provides temporary storage of runoff using the combination of storage structures (e.g., galleys, chambers, pipes, etc.) and void spaces within the soil/sand/gravel mixture that is used to backfill the system for subsequent infiltration into the surrounding sub-soils.	Infiltration Trench (6 infiltration rates: 0.17, 0.27, 0.52, 1.02, 2.41 and 8.27 inches per hour)	DSV = Water storage volume of storage units and void space volumes of backfill materials. Example for subsurface galleys backfilled with washed stone: DSV = $(L \times W \times D)_{galley} + (L \times W \times D_{stone} \times n_{stone})$
Surface Infiltration	Provides temporary storage of runoff through surface ponding storage structures (e.g., basin or swale) for subsequent infiltration into the underlying soils.	Infiltration Basin (6 infiltration rates: 0.17, 0.27, 0.52, 1.02, 2.41 and 8.27 inches per hour)	DSV = Water volume of storage structure before bypass. Example for linear trapezoidal vegetated swale DSV = $(L \times ((W_{bottom}+W_{top@Dmax})/2) \times D)$
Rain Garden/Bio-retention (no underdrains)	Provides temporary storage of runoff through surface ponding and possibly void spaces within the soil/sand/gravel mixture that is used to filter runoff prior to infiltration into underlying soils.	Infiltration Basin (6 infiltration rates: 0.17, 0.27, 0.52, 1.02, 2.41 and 8.27 inches per hour)	DSV = Ponding water storage volume and void space volumes of soil filter media. Example for raingarden : DSV = $(A_{pond} \times D_{pond}) + (A_{soil} \times D_{soil} \times n_{soil\ mix})$
Tree Filter (no underdrain)	Provides temporary storage of runoff through surface ponding and void spaces within the soil/sand/gravel mixture that is used to filter runoff prior to infiltration into underlying soils.	Infiltration Trench (6 infiltration rates: 0.17, 0.27, 0.52, 1.02, 2.41 and 8.27 inches per hour)	DSV = Ponding water storage volume and void space volumes of soil filter media. DSV = $(L \times W \times D_{ponding}) + (L \times W \times D_{soil} \times n_{soil\ mix})$
Bio-Filtration (w/underdrain)	Provides temporary storage of runoff for filtering through an engineered soil media. The storage capacity includes void spaces in the filter media and temporary ponding at the surface. After runoff has passed through the filter media it is collected by an under-drain pipe for discharge. Manufactured or packaged bio-filter systems such as tree box filters may be suitable for using the bio-filtration performance results.	Bio-filtration	DSV = Ponding water storage volume and void space volume of soil filter media. Example of a linear biofilter: DSV = $(L \times W \times D_{ponding}) + (L \times W \times D_{soil} \times n_{soil})$
Gravel Wetland	Based on design by the UNH Stormwater Center (UNHSC). Provides temporary surface ponding storage of runoff in a vegetated wetland cell that is eventually routed to an underlying saturated gravel internal storage reservoir (ISR) for nitrogen treatment. Outflow is controlled by an elevated orifice that has its invert elevation equal to the top of the ISR layer and provides a retention time of at least 24 hours.	Gravel Wetland	DSV = pretreatment volume + ponding volume + void space volume of gravel ISR. DSV = $(A_{pretreatment} \times D_{preTreatment}) + (A_{wetland} \times D_{ponding}) + (A_{ISR} \times D_{gravel} \times n_{gravel})$
Porous Pavement with subsurface infiltration	Provides filtering of runoff through a filter course and temporary storage of runoff within the void spaces of a subsurface gravel reservoir prior to infiltration into subsoils.	Infiltration Trench (6 infiltration rates: 0.17, 0.27, 0.52, 1.02, 2.41 and 8.27 inches per hour)	DSV = void space volumes of gravel layer DSV = $(L \times W \times D_{stone} \times n_{stone})$
Porous pavement w/ impermeable underliner w/underdrain	Provides filtering of runoff through a filter course and temporary storage of runoff within the void spaces prior to discharge by way of an underdrain.	Porous Pavement	Depth of Filter Course = D_{FC}
Wet Pond	Provides treatment of runoff through routing through permanent pool.	Wet Pond	DSV= Permanent pool volume prior to high flow bypass DSV=$A_{pond} \times D_{pond}$ (does not include pretreatment volume)
Extended Dry Detention Basin	Provides temporary detention storage for the design storage volume to drain in 24 hours through multiple out let controls.	Dry Pond	DSV= Ponding volume prior to high flow bypass DSV=$A_{pond} \times D_{pond}$ (does not include pretreatment volume)
Dry Water Quality Swale/Grass Swale	Based on MA design standards. Provides temporary surface ponding storage of runoff in an open vegetated channel through permeable check dams. Treatment is provided by filtering of runoff by vegetation and check dams and infiltration into subsurface soils.	Grass swale	DSV = Volume of swale at full design depth DSV=Lswale x Aswale
Definitions: DSV= Design Storage Volume = physical storage capacity to hold water; **VSV** = Void Space Volume; **L** = length, **W** = width, **D** = depth at design capacity before bypass, **n** = porosity fill material, **A**= average surface area for calculating volume; **Infiltration rate** = saturated soil hydraulic conductivity			

Appendix G
Massachusetts Small MS4 Permit Monitoring Requirements For Discharges into Impaired Waters – Parameters and Methods

Pollutant Causing Impairment	Monitoring Parameter	EPA or Approved Method No.
Aluminum	Aluminum, Total	200.7; 200.8; 200.9
Ammonia (Un-ionized)	Ammonia – Nitrogen	350.1
Arsenic	Arsenic, Total	200.7; 200.8; 200.9
Cadmium	Cadmium, Total	200.7; 200.8; 200.9
Chlordane	NMR	608; 625
Chloride	Chloride	300
Chromium (total)	Chromium, Total	200.7; 200.8; 200.9
Copper	Copper, Total	200.7; 200.8; 200.9
DDT	NMR	608; 625
DEHP (Di-sec-octyl phthalate)	NMR	---
Dioxin (including 2,3,7,8-TCDD)	NMR	613; 1613
Dioxin (2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin only)	NMR	613
Lead	Lead, Total	200.7; 200.8; 200.9
Mercury in Water Column	NMR unless potentially present such (e.g., salvage yards crushing vehicles with Hg switches)	200.7; 200.8; 200.9
Nitrogen (Total)	Nitrogen, Total	351.1/351.2 + 353.2
Pentachlorophenol (PCP)	NMR	---
Petroleum Hydrocarbons	Oil and Grease	1664
Phosphorus (Total)	Phosphorus, Total	365.1; 365.2; 365.3; SM 4500-P-E
Polychlorinated biphenyls	NMR	---
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) (Aquatic Ecosystems)	PAHs	610; 1625
Sulfide-Hydrogen Sulfide	NMR	---
Mercury in Fish Tissue	NMR	---
PCB in Fish Tissue	NMR	---
Total Dissolved Solids	Total Dissolved Solids	160.1
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Total Suspended Solids	160.2, 180.1
Turbidity	Total Suspended Solids and Turbidity	160.2, 180.1
Secchi disk transparency	Total Suspended Solids	160.2
Sediment Screening Value (Exceedence)	Total Suspended Solids	160.2

Sedimentation/Siltation	Total Suspended Solids	160.2
Bottom Deposits	Total Suspended Solids	160.2
Color	NMR	---
pH, High	pH	150.2
pH, Low	pH	150.2
Taste and Odor	NMR	---
Temperature, water	NMR	---
Salinity	Specific Conductance	120.1
Enterococcus	Enterococcus	1106.1; 1600; Enterolert® 12 22.
Escherichia coli	E. coli	1103.1; 1603; Colilert® 12 16, Colilert-18® 12 15 16.; mColiBlue-24®17.
Fecal Coliform	Fecal Coliform	1680; 1681
Organic Enrichment (Sewage) Biological Indicators	Enterococcus (marine waters) or E. coli (freshwater)	1106.1; 1600
Debris/Floatables/Trash	NMR	or
Foam/Flocs/Scum/Oil Slicks	Contact MassDEP	1103.1; 1603
Oil and Grease	Oil and Grease	---
Chlorophyll-a	Total Phosphorus (freshwater)	---
	Total Nitrogen (marine waters)	1664
Nutrient/Eutrophication Biological Indicators	Total Phosphorus (freshwater)	365.1; 365.2; 365.3
	Total Nitrogen (marine waters)	351.1/351.2 + 353.2
Dissolved oxygen saturation / Oxygen, Dissolved	Dissolved Oxygen	365.1; 365.2; 365.3
	Temperature	351.1/351.2 + 353.2
	BOD_5	360.1; 360.2
	Total Phosphorus (freshwater)	SM-2550
	Total Nitrogen (marine waters)	SM-5210
Excess Algal Growth	Total Phosphorus (freshwater)	365.1; 365.2; 365.3
	Total Nitrogen (marine waters)	351.1/351.2 + 353.2
Aquatic Plants (Macrophytes)	NMR	---

Abnormal Fish deformities, erosions, lesions, tumors (DELTS)	NMR	---
Abnormal Fish Histology (Lesions)	NMR	---
Estuarine Bioassessments	Contact MassDEP	---
Fishes Bioassessments	Contact MassDEP	---
Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments	Contact MassDEP	---
Combined Biota/Habitat Bioassessments	Contact MassDEP	---
Habitat Assessment (Streams)	Contact MassDEP	---
Lack of a coldwater assemblage	Contact MassDEP	---
Fish Kills	Contact MassDEP	---
Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)	Contact MassDEP	---
Ambient Bioassays -- Chronic Aquatic Toxicity	Contact MassDEP	---
Sediment Bioassays -- Acute Toxicity Freshwater	Contact MassDEP	---
Sediment Bioassays -- Chronic Toxicity Freshwater	Contact MassDEP	---
Fish-Passage Barrier	NMR	---
Alteration in stream-side or littoral vegetative covers	NMR	---
Low flow alterations	NMR	---
Other flow regime alterations	NMR	---
Physical substrate habitat alterations	NMR	---
Other anthropogenic substrate alterations	NMR	---
Non-Native Aquatic Plants	NMR	---
Eurasian Water Milfoil, Myriophyllum spicatum	NMR	---
Zebra mussel, Dreissena polymorph	NMR	---
Other	Contact MassDEP	---

Notes:

NMR” indicates no monitoring required

“Total Phosphorus (freshwater)” indicates monitoring required for total phosphorus where stormwater discharges to a water body that is freshwater

“Total Nitrogen (marine water)” indicates monitoring required for total nitrogen where stormwater discharges to a water body that is a marine or estuarine water

APPENDIX H

Requirements Related to Discharges to Certain Water Quality Limited Waterbodies

Table of Contents

I. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies and their tributaries where nitrogen is the cause of the impairment 1

II. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies and their tributaries where phosphorus is the cause of the impairment 5

III. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where bacteria or pathogens is the cause of the impairment 8

IV. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where chloride is the cause of the impairment 10

V. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies and their tributaries where solids, oil and grease (hydrocarbons), or metals is the cause of the impairment 13

Attachment 1- Nitrogen Reduction Credits For Selected Structural BMPs

I. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies and their tributaries where nitrogen is the cause of the impairment

1. Part 2.2.2.a.i. of the permit identifies the permittees subject to additional requirements to address nitrogen in their stormwater discharges because they discharge to waterbodies that are water quality limited due to nitrogen, or their tributaries, without an EPA approved TMDL. Permittees identified in part 2.2.2.a.i of the permit must identify and implement BMPs designed to reduce nitrogen discharges in the impaired catchment(s). To address nitrogen discharges each permittee shall comply with the following requirements:

    a. Additional or Enhanced BMPs

        i. The permittee remains subject to all the requirements of part 2.3. of the permit and shall include the following enhancements to the BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit:

            1. Part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (April/May) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the Fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual

message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of nitrogen to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.

2. Part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for nitrogen removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs to reduce nitrogen discharges.

3. Part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: establish requirements for use of slow release fertilizers on permittee owned property currently using fertilizer, in addition to reducing and managing fertilizer use as provided in 2.3.7.1; establish procedures to properly manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increase street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a minimum of two times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

b. Nitrogen Source Identification Report

i. Within four years of the permit effective date the permittee shall complete a Nitrogen Source Identification Report. The report shall include the following elements:

1. Calculation of total MS4 area draining to the water quality limited water segments or their tributaries, incorporating updated mapping of the MS4 and catchment delineations produced pursuant to part 2.3.4.6,
2. All screening and monitoring results pursuant to part 2.3.4.7.d., targeting the receiving water segment(s)
3. Impervious area and DCIA for the target catchment
4. Identification, delineation and prioritization of potential catchments with high nitrogen loading
5. Identification of potential retrofit opportunities or opportunities for the installation of structural BMPs during redevelopment

ii. The final Nitrogen Source Identification Report shall be submitted to EPA as part of the year 4 annual report.

c. Potential Structural BMPs

i. Within five years of the permit effective date, the permittee shall evaluate all permittee-owned properties identified as presenting retrofit opportunities or areas for structural BMP installation under permit part 2.3.6.d.ii. or identified in the Nitrogen Source Identification Report that are within the drainage area of the impaired water or its tributaries. The evaluation shall include:

1. The next planned infrastructure, resurfacing or redevelopment activity planned for the property (if applicable) OR planned retrofit date;
2. The estimated cost of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs; and
3. The engineering and regulatory feasibility of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs.

ii. The permittee shall provide a listing of planned structural BMPs and a plan and schedule for implementation in the year 5 annual report. The permittee shall plan and install a minimum of one structural BMP as a demonstration project within the drainage area of the water quality limited water or its tributaries within six years of the permit effective date. The demonstration project shall be installed targeting a catchment with high nitrogen load potential. The permittee shall install the remainder of the structural BMPs in accordance with the plan and schedule provided in the year 5 annual report.

iii. Any structural BMPs listed in Table 3 of Attachment 1 to Appendix H already existing or installed in the regulated area by the permittee or its agents shall be tracked and the permittee shall estimate the nitrogen removal by the BMP consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix H. The permittee shall document the BMP type, total area treated by the BMP, the design storage volume of the BMP and the estimated nitrogen removed in mass per year by the BMP in each annual report.

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix H part I.1. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.

a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
   i. The receiving water and all downstream segments are determined to no longer be impaired due to nitrogen by MassDEP and EPA concurs with such determination.
   ii. An EPA approved TMDL for the receiving water or downstream receiving water indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of nitrogen are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations as part of the approved TMDL.
b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the determination provided for in paragraph a. above or the approved TMDL date in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional requirements of Appendix H part I.1. as of the applicable date and the permittee shall comply with the following:

i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities that have been implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix H part I.1. as of the applicable date to reduce nitrogen in its discharges, including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs

ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix H part I.1. required to be done prior to the date of determination or the date of the approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

II. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies and their tributaries where phosphorus is the cause of the impairment

1. Part 2.2.2.b.i. of the permit identifies the permittees subject to additional requirements to address phosphorus in their stormwater discharges because they discharge to waterbodies that are water quality limited due to phosphorus, or their tributaries, without an EPA approved TMDL. Permittees identified in part 2.2.2.b.i. of the permit must identify and implement BMPs designed to reduce phosphorus discharges in the impaired catchment(s). To address phosphorus discharges each permittee shall comply with the following requirements:

    a. Additional or Enhanced BMPs

        i. The permittee remains subject to the requirements of part 2.3. of the permit and shall include the following enhancements to the BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit:

            1. Part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (March/April) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release and phosphorous-free fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of phosphorous to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.

            2. Part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for phosphorus removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs that infiltrate stormwater where feasible.

            3. Part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: Establish procedures to properly manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a

minimum of two times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

b. Phosphorus Source Identification Report

i. Within four years of the permit effective date the permittee shall complete a Phosphorus Source Identification Report. The report shall include the following elements:

1. Calculation of total MS4 area draining to the water quality limited receiving water segments or their tributaries, incorporating updated mapping of the MS4 and catchment delineations produced pursuant to part 2.3.4.6,
2. All screening and monitoring results pursuant to part 2.3.4.7.d., targeting the receiving water segment(s)
3. Impervious area and DCIA for the target catchment
4. Identification, delineation and prioritization of potential catchments with high phosphorus loading
5. Identification of potential retrofit opportunities or opportunities for the installation of structural BMPs during redevelopment, including the removal of impervious area

ii. The phosphorus source identification report shall be submitted to EPA as part of the year 4 annual report.

c. Potential Structural BMPs

i. Within five years of the permit effective date, the permittee shall evaluate all permittee-owned properties identified as presenting retrofit opportunities or areas for structural BMP installation under permit part 2.3.6.d.ii or identified in the Phosphorus Source Identification Report that are within the drainage area of the water quality limited water or its tributaries. The evaluation shall include:

1. The next planned infrastructure, resurfacing or redevelopment activity planned for the property (if applicable) OR planned retrofit date;
2. The estimated cost of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs; and
3. The engineering and regulatory feasibility of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs.

ii. The permittee shall provide a listing of planned structural BMPs and a plan and schedule for implementation in the year 5 annual report. The permittee shall plan and install a minimum of one structural BMP as a demonstration project within the drainage area of the water quality limited water or its tributaries within six years of the permit effective date. The demonstration project shall be installed targeting a catchment with high phosphorus load potential. The permittee shall install the

remainder of the structural BMPs in accordance with the plan and schedule provided in the year 5 annual report.

iii. Any structural BMPs installed in the regulated area by the permittee or its agents shall be tracked and the permittee shall estimate the phosphorus removal by the BMP consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F. The permittee shall document the BMP type, total area treated by the BMP, the design storage volume of the BMP and the estimated phosphorus removed in mass per year by the BMP in each annual report.

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix H part II.1. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.

   a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
      i. The receiving water and all downstream segments are determined to no longer be impaired due to phosphorus by MassDEP and EPA concurs with such determination.
      ii. An EPA approved TMDL for the receiving water or downstream receiving water indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of phosphorus are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations as part of the approved TMDL.
   b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the determination provided for in paragraph a. above or the approved TMDL date in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional requirements of Appendix H part II.1. as of the applicable date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
      i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities that have been implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix H part II.1. as of the applicable date to reduce phosphorus in its discharges, including implementation schedules for non structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
      ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix H part II.1. required to be done prior to the date of determination or the date of the approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

III. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where bacteria or pathogens is the cause of the impairment

1. Consistent with part 2.2.2.c.i. of the permit, permittees that discharge to waterbodies that are water quality limited due to bacteria or pathogens, without an EPA approved TMDL, are subject to the following additional requirements to address bacteria or pathogens in their stormwater discharges.

2. Additional or Enhanced BMPs

    a. The permittee remains subject to the requirements of part 2.3. of the permit and shall include the following enhancements to the BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit:

        i. Part 2.3.2. Public Education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential program with an annual message encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee or its agents shall disseminate educational materials to dog owners at the time of issuance or renewal of a dog license, or other appropriate time. Education materials shall describe the detrimental impacts of improper management of pet waste, requirements for waste collection and disposal, and penalties for non-compliance. The permittee shall also provide information to owners of septic systems about proper maintenance in any catchment that discharges to a water body impaired for bacteria or pathogens. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I and II as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.

        ii. Part 2.3.4 Illicit Discharge: The permittee shall implement the illicit discharge program required by this permit. Catchments draining to any waterbody impaired for bacteria or pathogens shall be designated either Problem Catchments or HIGH priority in implementation of the IDDE program.

3. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix H part III.2. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.

    a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
        i. The receiving water is determined to be no longer impaired due to bacteria or pathogens by MassDEP and EPA concurs with such a determination.
        ii. An EPA approved TMDL for the receiving water indicates that no additional stormwater controls are necessary for the control of bacteria or pathogens from the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations as part of the approved TMDL.
        iii. The permittee's discharge is determined to be below applicable water quality criteria[1] and EPA agrees with such a determination. The permittee shall submit data to EPA that accurately characterizes the concentration of bacteria or pathogens in their discharge. The characterization shall include water quality

[1] Applicable water quality criteria are the state standards that have been federally approved as of the effective date of this permit and are compiled by EPA at http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/standards/wqslibrary/

and flow data sufficient to accurately assess the concentration of bacteria or pathogens in all seasons during storm events of multiple sizes and for the duration of the storm events including the first flush, peak storm flow and return to baseflow.

b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the determination, date of approved TMDL or date of EPA concurrence that the discharge meets water quality criteria in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional requirements of Appendix H part III.2. as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
    i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix H part III.2. to date to reduce bacteria or pathogens in its discharges, including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
    ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix H part III.3. required to be done prior to the date of determination date, date of approved TMDL, or date of EPA concurrence that the discharge meets water quality criteria, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications

IV. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where chloride is the cause of the impairment

1. Consistent with part 2.2.2.c.i. of the permit, permittees that discharge to waterbodies that are water quality limited due to chloride, without an EPA approved TMDL, are subject to the following additional requirements to address chloride in their stormwater discharges.

2. Permittees discharging to a waterbody listed as impaired due to chloride in categories 5 or 4b on the Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act sections 303(d) and 305(b) shall develop a Salt Reduction Plan that includes specific actions designed to achieve salt reduction on municipal roads and facilities, and on private facilities that discharge to its MS4 in the impaired catchment(s). The Salt Reduction Plan shall be completed within three years of the effective date of the permit and include the BMPs in part IV.4. below. The Salt Reduction Plan shall be fully implemented five years after the effective date of the permit.

3. Permittees that, during the permit term, become aware that their discharge is to a waterbody that is impaired due to chloride must update their Salt Reduction Plan within 60 days of becoming aware of the situation to include salt reduction practices targeted at lowering chloride in discharges to the impaired waterbody. If the permittee does not have a Salt Reduction Plan already in place, then the permittee shall complete a Salt Reduction Plan that includes the BMPs in part IV 4) below within 3 years of becoming aware of the situation and fully implement the Salt Reduction Plan within 5 years of becoming aware of the situation.

4. Additional or Enhanced BMPs

   a. For municipally maintained surfaces:

      i. Tracking of the types and amount of salt applied to all permittee owned and maintained surfaces and reporting of salt use beginning in the year of the completion of the Salt Reduction Plan in the permittee's annual reports;

      ii. Planned activities for salt reduction on municipally owned and maintained surfaces, which shall include but are not limited to the following unless the permittee determines one or more of the following is not applicable to its system and documents that determination as part of the Salt Reduction Plan:
         - Operational changes such as pre-wetting, pre-treating the salt stockpile, increasing plowing prior to de-icing, monitoring of road surface temperature, etc.;
         - Implementation of new or modified equipment providing pre-wetting capability, better calibration rates, or other capability for minimizing salt use;
         - Training for municipal staff and/or contractors engaged in winter maintenance activities;
         - Adoption of guidelines for application rates for roads and parking lots (see *Winter Parking Lot and Sidewalk Maintenance*

Manual (Revised edition June 2008) http://www.pca.state.mn.us/publications/parkinglotmanual.pdf; and the application guidelines on page 17 of *Minnesota Snow and Ice Control: Field Handbook for Snow Operators (September 2012)* http://www.mnltap.umn.edu/publications/handbooks/documents/snowice.pdf for examples);

- Regular calibration of spreading equipment;
- Designation of no-salt and/or low salt zones;
- Measures to prevent exposure of salt stockpiles (if any) to precipitation and runoff; and
- An estimate of the total tonnage of salt reduction expected by each activity.

b. For privately maintained facilities that discharge to the MS4:

i. Establish an ordinance, bylaw, or other regulatory mechanism requiring measures to prevent exposure of any salt stockpiles to precipitation and runoff at all commercial and industrial properties within the regulated area.

ii. Part 2.3.2. Public Education and Outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Commercial/Industrial education program with an annual message to private road salt applicators and commercial and industrial site owners on the proper storage and application rates of winter deicing material. The educational materials shall be disseminated in the November/December timeframe and shall describe steps that can be taken to minimize salt use and protect local waterbodies.

iii. Part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment – establish procedures and requirements to minimize salt usage and require the use of salt alternatives where the permittee deems necessary.

c. The completed Salt Reduction Plan shall be submitted to EPA along with the annual report following the Salt Reduction Plan's completion. Each subsequent annual report shall include an update on Plan implementation progress, any updates to the Salt Reduction Plan deemed necessary by the permittee, as well as the types and amount of salt applied to all permittee owned and maintained surfaces.

5. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix H part IV as follows:

a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:

i. The receiving water is determined to be no longer impaired due to chloride by MassDEP and EPA concurs with such a determination.

ii. An EPA approved TMDL for the receiving water indicates that no additional stormwater controls are necessary for the control of chloride from the

permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations as part of the approved TMDL.

iii. The permittee's discharge is determined to be below applicable water quality criteria[2] and EPA agrees with such a determination. The permittee shall submit data to EPA that accurately characterizes the concentration of chloride in their discharge during the deicing season (November – March). The characterization shall include water quality and flow data sufficient to accurately assess the concentration of chloride in the deicing season during storm events of multiple sizes and for the duration of the storm events including the first flush, peak storm flow and return to baseflow and include samples collected during deicing activities.

b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the determination, date of approved TMDL or date of EPA concurrence that the discharge meets water quality criteria in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional requirements of Appendix H part IV as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:

i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix H part IV to date to reduce chloride in its discharges, including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs

ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix H part IV required to be done by the date of determination date, date of approved TMDL, or date of EPA concurrence that the discharge meets water quality criteria, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs

[2] Applicable water quality criteria are the state standards that have been federally approved as of the effective date of this permit and are compiled by EPA at http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/standards/wqslibrary/

V. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies and their tributaries where solids, oil and grease (hydrocarbons), or metals is the cause of the impairment

1. Consistent with part 2.2.2.c.i. of the permit, permittees that discharge to waterbodies that are water quality limited due to solids, metals, or oil and grease (hydrocarbons), without an EPA approved TMDL, are subject to the following additional requirements to address solids, metals, or oil and grease (hydrocarbons) in their stormwater discharges.

2. Additional or Enhanced BMPs

    a. The permittee remains subject to the requirements of part 2.3. of the permit and shall include the following enhancements to the BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit:

        i. Part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: stormwater management systems designed on commercial and industrial land use area draining to the water quality limited waterbody shall incorporate designs that allow for shutdown and containment where appropriate to isolate the system in the event of an emergency spill or other unexpected event. EPA also encourages the permittee to require any stormwater management system designed to infiltrate stormwater on commercial or industrial sites to provide the level of pollutant removal equal to or greater than the level of pollutant removal provided through the use of biofiltration of the same volume of runoff to be infiltrated, prior to infiltration.

        ii. Part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots to a schedule determined by the permittee to target areas with potential for high pollutant loads. This may include, but is not limited to, increased street sweeping frequency in commercial areas and high density residential areas, or drainage areas with a large amount of impervious area. Prioritize inspection and maintenance for catch basins to ensure that no sump shall be more than 50 percent full. Clean catch basins more frequently if inspection and maintenance activities indicate excessive sediment or debris loadings. Each annual report shall include the street sweeping schedule determined by the permittee to target high pollutant loads.

3. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix H part V.2. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.

    a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
        i. The receiving water is determined to be no longer impaired due to solids, metals, or oil and grease (hydrocarbons) by MassDEP and EPA concurs with such a determination.
        ii. An EPA approved TMDL for the receiving water indicates that no additional stormwater controls are necessary for the control of solids, metals, or oil and grease (hydrocarbons) from the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations as part of the approved TMDL.

iii. The permittee's discharge is determined to be below applicable water quality criteria and EPA agrees with such a determination[3]. The permittee shall submit data to EPA that accurately characterizes the concentration of bacteria or pathogens in their discharge. The characterization shall include water quality and flow data sufficient to accurately assess the concentration of bacteria or pathogens in all seasons during storm events of multiple sizes and for the duration of the storm events including the first flush, peak storm flow and return to baseflow.

b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the determination, date of approved TMDL or date of EPA concurrence that the discharge meets water quality criteria in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional requirements of Appendix H part V.2. as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:

iv. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix H part V.2. to date to reduce solids, metals, or oil and grease (hydrocarbons) in its discharges, including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs

v. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix H part V.3. required to be done by the date of determination date, date of approved TMDL, or date of EPA concurrence that the discharge meets water quality criteria, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications

[3] Applicable water quality criteria are the state standards that have been federally approved as of the effective date of this permit and are compiled by EPA at http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/standards/wqslibrary/

ATTACHMENT 1 TO APPENDIX H

The estimates of nitrogen load reductions resulting from BMP installation are intended for informational purposes only and there is no associated permittee-specific required nitrogen load reduction in the Draft Permit. Nitrogen load reduction estimates calculated consistent with the methodologies below may be used by the permittee to comply with future permit requirements providing the EPA determines the calculated reductions are appropriate for demonstrating compliance with future permit requirements. This attachment provides the method and an example to calculate the BMP nitrogen load as well as methods to calculate nitrogen load reductions for structural BMPs in an impaired watershed.

BMP N Load:

The **BMP N Load** is the annual nitrogen load from the drainage area to each proposed or existing BMP used by permittee. This measure is used to estimate the amount of annual nitrogen load that the BMP will receive or treat (BMP N Load).

To calculate the BMP N Load for a given BMP:

1) Determine the total drainage area to the BMP and sort the total drainage area into two categories: total impervious area (IA) and total pervious area (PA);

2) Calculate the nitrogen load associated with impervious area (N Load $_{IA}$) and the pervious area (N Load $_{PA}$) by multiplying the IA and PA by the appropriate land use-based nitrogen load export rate provided in Table 1; and

3) Determine the total nitrogen load to the BMP by summing the calculated impervious and pervious subarea nitrogen loads.

Table 1: Annual nitrogen load export rates

Nitrogen Source Category by Land Use	Land Surface Cover	Nitrogen Load Export Rate, lbs/ac/yr	Nitrogen Load Export Rate, kg/ha/yr
All Impervious Cover	Impervious	14.1	15.8
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV)- HSG A	Pervious	0.3	0.3
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV)- HSG B	Pervious	1.2	1.3
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) – HSG C	Pervious	2.4	2.7
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - HSG C/D	Pervious	3.0	3.4
*Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - HSG D	Pervious	3.7	4.1
Notes: For pervious areas, if the hydrologic soil group (HSG) is known, use the appropriate value from this table. If the HSG is not known, assume HSG C/D conditions for the nitrogen load export rate.			

Example 1 to determine nitrogen load to a proposed BMP when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious: A permittee is proposing a storm water infiltration system that will treat runoff from 1.49 acres of impervious area.

Table 1-1: Design parameters for Bio-filtration w/ ISR systems for Example 1

Components of representation	Parameters	Value
Ponding	Maximum depth	0.33 ft
	Surface area	645 ft^2
Soil mix	Depth	2.0 ft
	Porosity	0.24
	Hydraulic conductivity	2.5 inches/hour
Stone Reservoir (ISR)	Depth	2.50 ft
	Porosity	0.42
	Hydraulic conductivity	500 inches/hour
ISR Volume: System Storage Volume	Ratio	0.56
Orifices	Diameter	12 in
		Installed 2.5 above impermeable soil layer

Determine:

A) Percent nitrogen load reduction (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}N}$) for the specified bio-filtration w/ISR system and contributing impervious drainage area; and

B) Nitrogen reduction in pounds that would be accomplished by the bio-filtration w/ISR system (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}N}$)

Solution:

1) The BMP is a bio-filtration w/ISR system that will treat runoff from 1.49 acres of impervious area (IA = 1.49 acre);

2) The available storage volume capacity (ft^3) of the bio-filtration w/ISR system (BMP-Volume $_{BMP\text{-}ft^3}$) is determined using the surface area of the system, depth of ponding, the porosity of the filter media and the porosity of the stone reservoir:

BMP-Volume $_{BMP\text{-}ft^3}$ =Surface area x (pond maximum depth + (soil mix depth x soil mix porosity) + stone reservoir depth x gravel layer porosity))
= 520 ft^2 x (0.33 ft + (2.0ft x 0.24) + (2.5 ft x 0.42))
= 1,200 ft^3

3) The available storage volume capacity of the bio-filtration w/ISR system in inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$) is calculated using equation 1:

BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$ = (BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$/ IA (acre) x 12 in/ft x 1 acre/43560 ft^2 **(Equation 1)**

Example 1 Continued:

BMP-Volume $_{IA\text{-}in}$ = (1,200 ft^3/1.49 acre) x 12 in/ft x 1 acre/43560 ft^2
= 0.22 in

4) Using the Regional Performance Curve shown in Figure 1 for a bio-filtration w/ ISR system, a **61%** nitrogen load reduction (BMP Reduction %-N) is determined for a bio-filtration w/ ISR systems sized for 0.22 in of runoff from 1.49 acres of impervious area; and

5) Calculate the nitrogen load reduction in pounds of nitrogen for the bio-filtration w/ISR system (BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}N}$) using the BMP Load calculation method shown above in Example 1 and the BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}N}$ determined in step 4 by using equation 2.

First, the BMP Load is determined as specified in Example 1:

BMP Load = IA (acre) x 14.1 lb/ac/yr
= 1.49 acres x 14.1 lbs/acre/yr
= 21.0 lbs/yr
BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}N}$ = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}N}$/100) **(Equation 2)**
BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}N}$ = 21 lbs/yr x (61/100)
= **12.8 lbs/yr**

Method to determine the nitrogen load reduction for a structural BMP with a known storage volume when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces



Flow Chart 2 (previous page). Method to determine the nitrogen load reduction for a BMP with known storage volume when both pervious and impervious drainage areas are present.

1) Identify the type of structural BMP and characterize the contributing drainage area to the structural BMP by identifying the following information for the impervious and pervious surfaces:

Impervious area (IA) – Area (acre) and export rate (Table 1)

Pervious area (PA) – Area (acre) and runoff depth based on hydrologic soil group (HSG) and size of rainfall event. Table 2 provides values of runoff depth for various rainfall depths and HSGs. Soils are assigned to an HSG based on their permeability. HSG categories for pervious areas in the Watershed shall be estimated by consulting local soil surveys prepared by the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) or by a storm water professional evaluating soil testing results from the Watershed. If the HSG condition is not known, a HSG D soil condition should be assumed.

Table 2: Developed Land Pervious Area Runoff Depths based on Precipitation depth and Hydrological Soil Groups (HSGs)

Rainfall Depth, Inches	Runoff Depth, inches		
	Pervious HSG A/B	Pervious HSG C	Pervious HSG D
0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.20	0.00	0.01	0.02
0.40	0.00	0.03	0.06
0.50	0.00	0.05	0.09
0.60	0.01	0.06	0.11
0.80	0.02	0.09	0.16
1.00	0.03	0.12	0.21
1.20	0.04	0.14	0.39
1.50	0.11	0.39	0.72
2.00	0.24	0.69	1.08

Notes: Runoff depths derived from combination of volumetric runoff coefficients from Table 5 of *Small Storm Hydrology and Why it is Important for the Design of Stormwater Control Practices*, Pitt, 1999 and using the Stormwater Management Model (SWMM) in continuous model mode for hourly precipitation data for Boston, MA, 1998-2002.

2) Determine the available storage volume (ft^3) of the structural BMP (BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$) using the BMP dimensions and design specifications (e.g., maximum storage depth, filter media porosity);

3) To estimate the nitrogen load reduction of a BMP with a known storage volume capacity, it is first necessary to determine the portion of available BMP storage capacity (BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$) that would treat the runoff volume generated from the contributing impervious area (IA) for a rainfall event with a depth of *i* inches (in). This will require knowing the corresponding amount of runoff volume that would be generated from the contributing pervious area (PA) for the same rainfall event (depth of *i* inches). Using equation 3 below, solve for the BMP capacity that would be available to treat runoff from the contributing imperious area for the unknown rainfall depth of *i* inches (see equation 4):

BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$ = BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)i}$ + BMP-Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)i}$ **(Equation 3)**

Where:

BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$	= the available storage volume of the BMP
BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)i}$	= the available storage volume of the BMP that would fully treat runoff generated from the contributing impervious area for a rainfall event of size *i* inches
BMP-Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)i}$	= the available storage volume of the BMP that would fully treat runoff generated from the contributing pervious area for a rainfall event of size *i* inches

Solving for BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)i}$:

BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)i}$ = BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$ - BMP-Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)i}$ **(Equation 4)**

To determine BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)i}$, requires performing an iterative process of refining estimates of the rainfall depth used to calculate runoff volumes until the rainfall depth used results in the sum of runoff volumes from the contributing IA and PA equaling the available BMP storage capacity (BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$). For the purpose of estimating BMP performance, it will be considered adequate when the IA runoff depth (in) is within 5% IA runoff depth used in the previous iteration.

For the first iteration (1), convert the BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$ determined in step 2 into inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)1}$) using equation 5.

BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)1}$ = (BMP-Volume$_{ft^3}$/ IA (acre)) x (12 in/ft /43,560 ft^2/acre) **(Equation 5)**;

For iterations 2 through n (2…n), convert the BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)2\ldots n}$, determined in step 5a below, into inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)2\ldots n}$) using equation 6.

BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)2\ldots n}$ = (BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)2\ldots n}$ / IA (acre)) x (12 in/ft /43,560 ft^2/acre) **(Equation 6)**;

4) For 1 to n iterations, use the pervious runoff depth information from Table 2 and equation 7 to determine the total volume of runoff (ft^3) from the contributing PA (BMP Volume

$_{PA\text{-}ft^3}$) for a rainfall size equal to the sum of BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)1}$, determined in step 3. The runoff volume for each distinct pervious area must be determined.

BMP Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)1...n}$ = ∑ ((PA x (runoff depth)$_{(PA1,\ PA2..PAn)}$ x (3,630 ft^3/acre-in) **(Equation 7)**

5) For iteration 1, estimate the portion of BMP Volume that is available to treat runoff from only the IA by subtracting BMP-Volume $_{PA\text{-}ft^3}$, determined in step 4, from BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$, determined in step 2, and convert to inches of runoff from IA (see equations 8 and 9):

BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)2}$ = ((BMP-Volume$_{ft^3}$- BMP Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)1}$) **(Equation 8)**

BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)2}$ = (BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)2}$/IA (acre)) x (12 in/ft x 1 acre/43,560 ft^2) **(Equation 9)**

If additional iterations (i.e., 2 through n) are needed, estimate the portion of BMP volume that is available to treat runoff from only the IA (BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)3..n+1}$) by subtracting BMP Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)2..n}$, determined in step 4, from BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)3..n+1}$, determined in step 5, and by converting to inches of runoff from IA using equation 9):

6) For iteration A (an iteration between 1 and n+1), compare BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)a}$ to BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)a\text{-}1}$ determined from the previous iteration (a-1). If the difference in these values is greater than 5% of BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)a}$ then repeat steps 4 and 5, using BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)a}$ as the new starting value for the next iteration (a+1). If the difference is less than or equal to 5 % of BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)a}$ then the permittee may proceed to step 7.

7) Determine the % nitrogen load reduction for the structural BMP (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}N}$) using the appropriate BMP curve on Figure 1 or 2 and the BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)n}$ calculated in the final iteration of step 5; and

8) Calculate the nitrogen load reduction in pounds of nitrogen for the structural BMP (BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}N}$) using the BMP Load as calculated above in Example 1 and the percent nitrogen load reduction (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}N}$) determined in step 7 by using equation 10:

BMP Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}N}$ = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}N}$/100) **(Equation 10)**

Example 2: Determine the nitrogen load reduction for a structural BMP with a known design volume when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces

A permittee is considering an infiltration basin to capture and treat runoff from a portion of the Watershed draining to the impaired waterbody. The contributing drainage area is 16.55 acres and is 71% impervious. The pervious drainage area (PA) is 80% HSG D and 20% HSG C. An infiltration basin with the following specifications can be placed at the down-gradient end of the contributing drainage area where soil testing results indicates an infiltration rate (IR) of 0.28 in/hr:

Example continued:

Structure	Bottom area (acre)	Top surface area (acre)	Maximum pond depth (ft)	Design storage volume (ft^3)	Infiltration Rate (in/hr)
Infiltration basin	0.65	0.69	1.65	48,155	0.28

Determine the:

A) Percent nitrogen load reduction (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}N}$) for the specified infiltration basin and the contributing impervious and pervious drainage area; and

B) Nitrogen reduction in pounds that would be accomplished by the BMP (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs\text{-}N}$)

<u>Solution:</u>

1) A surface infiltration basin is being considered. Information for the contributing impervious (IA) and pervious (PA) areas are summarized in below.

Impervious area characteristics

ID	% Impervious	Area (acre)
IA1	100	11.75

Pervious area characteristics

ID	Area (acre)	Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG)
PA1	3.84	D
PA2	0.96	C

2) The available storage volume (ft^3) of the infiltration basin (BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$) is determined from the design details and basin dimensions; BMP-Volume $_{ft^3} = 48{,}155\ ft^3$.

3) To determine what the BMP design storage volume is in terms of runoff depth (in) from IA, an iterative process is undertaken:

Solution Iteration 1

For the first iteration (1), the BMP-Volume$_{ft^3}$ is converted into inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)1}$) using equation 5.

$$\text{BMP Volume}_{(IA\text{-}in)1} = (48{,}155\ ft^2 / 11.75\ acre) \times (12\ in/ft\ /43{,}560\ ft^2/acre)$$
$$= 1.13\ in$$

Solution Continued:

4-1) The total volume of runoff (ft^3) from the contributing PA (BMP Volume $_{PA\text{-}ft^3}$) for a rainfall size equal to the sum of BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)1}$ determined in step 3 is determined

for each distinct pervious area using the information from Table 2 and equation 7. Interpolation was used to determine runoff depths.

BMP Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)1}$ = ((3.84 acre x (0.33 in) + (0.96 acre x (0.13 in)) x 3,630 ft^3/acre-in
= 5052 ft^3

5-1) For iteration 1, the portion of BMP Volume that is available to treat runoff from only the IA is estimated by subtracting the BMP Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)1}$, determined in step 4-1, from BMP Volume$_{ft^3}$, determined in step 2, and converted to inches of runoff from IA:

BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)\,2}$ = 48,155 ft^3 – 5052 ft^3
= 43,103 ft^3
BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)\,2}$ = (43,103 ft^3/11.75 acre) x (12 in/ft x 1 acre/43,560 ft^2)
= 1.01 in

6-1) The % difference between BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)\,2}$, 1.01 in, and BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)1}$, 1.13 in is determined and found to be significantly greater than 5%:

% Difference = ((1.13 in – 1.01 in)/1.01 in) x 100
= 12%

Therefore, steps 4 through 6 are repeated starting with BMP Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)\,2}$ = 1.01 in.

Solution Iteration 2

4-2) BMP-Volume $_{(PA\text{-}ft^3)2}$ = ((3.84 acre x 0.21 in) + (0.96 acre x 0.12 in)) x 3,630 ft^3/acre-in
= 3,358 ft^3

5-2) BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}ft^3)\,3}$ = 48,155 ft^3 – 3,358 ft^3
= 44,797 ft^3

BMP-Volume $_{(IA\text{-}in)\,3}$ = (44,797 ft^3/11.75 acre) x (12 in/ft x 1 acre/43,560 ft^2)
= 1.05 in

6-2) % Difference = ((1.05 in – 1.01 in)/1.05 in) x 100
= 4%

The difference of 4% is acceptable.

Solution Continued:

7) The % nitrogen load reduction for the infiltration basin (BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}N}$) is determined by using the RR treatment curve in Figure 2 and the treatment volume (BMP-Volume $_{\text{Net IA-in}}$ = 1.05 in) calculated in step 5-2 and is **BMP Reduction $_{\%\text{-}N}$ = 56%**.

9) The nitrogen load reduction in pounds of nitrogen (BMP-Reduction $_{\text{lbs-N}}$) for the proposed infiltration basin is calculated by using equation 11 with the BMP Load (as determined by the procedure in Example 4-1) and the N $_{\text{target}}$ of 56%.

$$\text{BMP-Reduction}_{\text{lbs-N}} = \text{BMP N Load} \times (N_{\text{target}}/100) \qquad \textbf{(Equation 11)}$$

Following example 1, the BMP load is calculated:

BMP N Load = (IA x impervious cover nitrogen export loading rate)
+ ($PA_{HSG\ D}$ x pervious cover nitrogen export loading rate, HSG D
+ ($PA_{HSG\ C}$ x pervious cover nitrogen export loading rate, HSG C)
= (16.55 acre x 15.4 lbs/acre/yr) + (3.84 acre x 3.7 lbs/acre/yr) + (0.96 acre x 2.4 lbs/acre/yr)
= 271.4 lbs/yr

BMP-Reduction $_{\text{lbs-N}}$ = 275.13 lbs/yr x 56/100 = **152.0 lbs/yr**

Figure 1: Regional BMP Performance Curve for Annual Nitrogen Load Removal: System Design by the University of New Hampshire Stormwater Center (UNHSWC)



Table 3. Classification of BMP to Determine Nitrogen Reduction[1]

Structural BMP	Classification
Infiltration Trench	Runoff Reduction (RR)
Infiltration Basin or other surface infiltration practice	Runoff Reduction (RR)
Bioretention Practice	Runoff Reduction (RR)
Gravel Wetland System	Stormwater Treatment (ST)
Porous Pavement	Runoff Reduction (RR)
Wet Pond or wet detention basin	Stormwater Treatment (ST)
Dry Pond or detention basin	Runoff Reduction (RR)
Water Quality Swale	Runoff Reduction (RR)

[1]**Recommendations of the Expert Panel to Define Removal Rates for New State Stormwater Performance Standards**
http://chesapeakestormwater.net/wp-content/plugins/download-monitor/download.php?id=25, Retrieved 12/14/2012

Figure 2: Total Nitrogen Removal for RR and ST Practices



Adopted from: Final CBP Approved Expert Panel Report on Stormwater Retrofits
http://chesapeakestormwater.net/wp-content/plugins/download-monitor/download.php?id=25, Retrieved 12/14/2012

Appendix B - Stormwater Management Plan Revision Tracking Form

Review Date	Reason for Revision	Amended Date	Revision No.

Appendix C - Endangered Species Act and National Historic Preservation Act Review

Technical Memo

To: Town of Hingham

From: Rich Niles, Wood; Jean Haggerty, Wood

Date: June 19, 2019

Re. Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit Endangered Species and Historic Cultural Review

Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc. (Wood) understands that the Town of Hingham, Massachusetts is seeking coverage under the April 4, 2016 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) General Permit (GP) administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), and as part of this permit approval process, needs to evaluate the GP eligibility criteria related to the Endangered Species Act (ESA) and National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). These requirements are outlined in Part 1.9 of the MS4 GP and the preliminary results of the special eligibility determinations were documented in Part I of the Notice of Intent (NOI) that was submitted to the USEPA on September 26, 2018.

This memo updates the findings and the supporting documentation of compliance with Part 1.9 of the MS4 GP and will be included in the Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP), as required by the GP. Since the submittal of the NOI, the results of the special eligibility determinations were reviewed with the most up to date U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Conservation (IPaC) online-database output and Massachusetts Historical Commission (MHC) inventory results. The most current inventories are included in the attachments.

As you are aware, owners and operators of MS4s are required to develop, implement, and enforce a SWMP per the NPDES MS4 GP requirements. Applicants applying for permit coverage must assess the impacts of their stormwater discharges and discharge-related activities on federally listed threatened and endangered (T&E) species and designated critical habitat under the ESA, as well as the effect on historic properties that are either listed on, or are eligible for listing on, the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under the NHPA. The following provides information related to T&E species, critical habitat, and historic properties in Hingham and the procedures required to ensure compliance with the ESA and NRHP, as specified in Appendices C and D in the MS4 GP. Definitions of the ESA and NHPA eligibility criteria are provided in

Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.

271 Mill Road, 3rd Floor
Chelmsford, MA 01824
T: +1 978-692-9090
www.woodplc.com

Attachment A. A series of three figures identifying the 2010 Urbanized Area[1], known T&E species habitat, and national historic properties in Hingham are provided in **Attachment B**.

1. Endangered Species Act Compliance

The only federally-listed T&E species in the MS4 urbanized area of Hingham, based on review of the USFWS IPaC on-line database, is the threatened Northern long-eared bat (NLEB; *Myotis septentrionalis*), which was listed on April 2, 2015 and is listed statewide in Massachusetts (see **Attachment C**). There is no critical habitat designated for the NLEB. The USFWS developed a final 4(d) rule, which was published in the *Federal Register* on January 14, 2016, and specifically defines the NLEB "take" prohibitions. The term "take" is defined in the ESA to include harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, collect, or attempt to engage in any such conduct.

Incidental take of the NLEB or its habitat that is not prohibited under the 4(d) rule may still affect individual NLEBs. The USFWS has provided a framework to streamline Section 7 ESA consultations when actions may affect the NLEB but not cause prohibited take. However, the streamlined consultation does not necessarily solicit a response from the USFWS, which is required for eligibility certification under the MS4 GP, as discussed below. The USFWS key to determining whether federally regulated actions may cause incidental or prohibited take of the NLEB is provided as **Attachment D**.

<u>Evaluation of USFWS Criteria for MS4 GP Eligibility</u>

Criterion A cannot be used to certify eligibility consistent with Appendix C of the MS4 GP because the NLEB is listed statewide and therefore throughout the action area in Hingham. For projects that are deemed to have "no effect" on the NLEB or its habitat (e.g., if suitable habitat is not present in the action area and the project does not otherwise present a risk to the species), typically no further consultation is required. Since the only federally listed species present in Hingham is the NLEB, Criterion B does not apply.

<u>Criterion C can be met if during the course of the permit term, installation of any structural Best Management Practices (BMPs) within the Urbanized Area are screened for impacts to federal T&E species and the USFWS is consulted if activities "may affect" or are "not likely to adversely affect" T&E species</u>. For instance, if tree removal was required for construction of a stormwater basin or to gain access to an existing outfall, consultation with the USFWS would be required (see consultation options below). However, activities which would not impact the NLEB or its habitat such as maintenance (with no tree clearing) of an existing outfall would not require consultation with the USFWS. **Criterion C, therefore, is the appropriate criterion for the Town of Hingham to cite for ESA compliance in the NOI and in the SWMP for the MS4 GP.**

<u>USFWS Consultation Guidance for the NLEB (for future reference)</u>

In general, the following activities that may affect the NLEB or its habitat are not eligible for the streamlined Section 7 ESA consultation and are typically deemed as having the potential to affect the NLEB:

[1] As outlined in Part 1.2.1 of the MS4 GP: "If the small MS4 is not located entirely within an urbanized area, only the portion of the MS4 that is located within the urbanized area is regulated under 40 CFR §122.32(a) (1)". The special eligibility determinations of the MS4 GP, therefore, only apply to activities within the Urbanized Area of Hingham. The Town may wish to establish a policy for MS4 project reviews that applies town-wide to ensure consistency with the ESA and NHPA requirements and enhance natural and historic resource protection.

- Purposeful take (non-incidental take);
- Direct impact to caves or hibernaculum, or their entrance, where NLEBs are known to hibernate;
- Removal of a NLEB known occupied maternity roost tree or any trees within 150 feet of a known occupied maternity roost tree from June 1 through July 31; and
- Removal of any trees within 0.25 miles of NLEB hibernaculum at any time of year.

The USFWS has relied on state agencies to identify the locations of known NLEB hibernacula and maternity roost trees for compliance with these requirements. The USFWS does not require surveys for NLEB maternity roost trees or hibernacula, but recommends they be conducted, if possible. The Massachusetts Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP) has mapped all known hibernacula and maternity roost trees in the state, which is available online at http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/dfg/dfw/natural-heritage/species-information-and-conservation/rare-mammals/northern-long-eared-bat.html.

As of the latest map update on June 4, 2019, there are no known NLEB maternity roost trees mapped outside of Cape Cod and the islands and there are no known NLEB hibernacula in Hingham. The USFWS 4(d) Rule Streamlined Consultation Form is included as **Attachment E** as it identifies the type of information the USFWS will require for consultation for activities that qualify for streamlined consultation within the Urbanized Area. This will be relevant for any future stormwater projects that involve construction of new stormwater infrastructure or substantial maintenance or rehabilitation of existing stormwater infrastructure within the Urbanized Area and where the limit of work extends beyond the existing footprint and involves tree clearing.

2. National Historic Preservation Act Compliance

Section 106 of the NHPA requires projects with a federal action to take into account the effects of "undertakings" on historic properties that are either listed on, or eligible for listing on, the NRHP. Historic properties are defined in the NHPA regulations to include prehistoric or historic districts, sites, buildings, structures, or objects that are included in, or are eligible for inclusion in, the NRHP. Coordination with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and/or Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) and others may be required to determine effects of discharges on historic properties.

Evaluation of NHPA Criteria for MS4 GP Eligibility

The USEPA has stated in Appendix D of the MS4 GP that authorized discharges confined to existing channels, outfalls, or natural drainage areas are deemed *to not have the potential to cause effects on historical properties*. These activities meet Criteria A in Appendix D of the MS4 GP, as they do not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties. MS4 GP eligibility under Criterion A is discussed further below. Existing information regarding historic properties provided by the MHC and the NRHP was utilized to determine the presence and extent of historic properties. Historic surveys were not conducted; therefore, Criterion B in Appendix D of the MS4 GP does not apply.

Certain activities such as the construction and/or installation of stormwater control measures that involve subsurface disturbance of less than one acre of land may have the potential to effect historic properties. For these activities within the Urbanized Area, the Town of Hingham will need to ensure that historic

properties will not be impacted or that they are in compliance with a written agreement with the SHPO, THPO, or other tribal representative that outlines all measures the Town will carry out to mitigate or prevent any adverse effects on historic properties. Note that ground disturbance greater than one acre requires coverage under the USEPA NPDES Construction General Permit (CGP), which contains the same permit eligibility requirements with respect to the NHPA.

Based on review of the Massachusetts Cultural Resource Information System (MACRIS) database, the Lincoln Historic District and the South Hingham Historic District are listed on the NRHP and are within the Urbanized Area and contain numerous historic properties. There are currently nine known outfalls, 156 catch basins, and 32 manholes located in the Lincoln Historic District and nine outfalls, five catch basins, and six manholes located in the South Hingham Historic District. Other individual properties listed in the NRHP are also located in the urbanized area, including the Cushing Homestead and the Thomas Chubbuck Jr. House. These properties are shown on Attachment A and listed in Attachment E. **Wood understands that the Town is not currently undertaking any stormwater compliance activity involving subsurface land disturbance less than one acre (associated with the storm drain system); therefore, the Town meets Criterion A in Appendix D of the MS4 GP.**

SHPO and THPO Consultation Guidance (for future reference)

Future activities within the Historic Districts or immediately proximate to other NRHP properties depicted in Attachment A and within the Urbanized Area that involve subsurface disturbance in areas that have not already been disturbed (so as to preclude the possibility of containing historic properties) will need to be reviewed to determine if consultation with the SHPO and THPOs are required. If consultation with the SHPO and THPOs is necessary, a Project Notification Form (PNF) must be submitted (a copy is provided as **Attachment G** for reference).

List of Attachments

Attachment A: ESA and NHPA Eligibility Criteria

Attachment B: Figures (Sheets 1-3)

Attachment C: Federally Listed Endangered and Threatened Species in Massachusetts and IPaC Output

Attachment D: Key to the Northern Long-Eared Bat 4(d) Rule for Federal Actions that May Affect Northern Long-Eared Bats

Attachment E: USFWS 4(d) Rule Streamlined Consultation Form (blank)

Attachment F: MACRIS List of Properties in Hingham on the National Register of Historic Places

Attachment G: Massachusetts Historical Commission Project Notification Form (blank)

Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.

271 Mill Road, 3rd Floor
Chelmsford, MA 01824
T: +1 978-692-9090
www.woodplc.com

Attachment A:

ESA and NHPA Eligibility Criteria

Endangered Species Guidance:

- **USFWS Criterion A:** No endangered or threatened species or critical habitat are in proximity to the stormwater discharges or discharge related activities.
- **USFWS Criterion B:** In the course of formal or informal consultation with the Fish and Wildlife Service, under section 7 of the ESA, the consultation resulted in either a no jeopardy opinion (formal consultation) or a written concurrence by USFWS on a finding that the stormwater discharges and discharge related activities are "not likely to adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat (informal consultation).
- **USFWS Criterion C:** Using the best scientific and commercial data available, the effect of the stormwater discharge and discharge related activities on listed species and critical habitat have been evaluated. Based on those evaluations, a determination is made by EPA, or by the applicant and affirmed by EPA, that the stormwater discharges and discharge related activities will have "no affect" on any federally threatened or endangered listed species or designated critical habitat under the jurisdiction of the USFWS.

National Historic Preservation Act Guidance:

- **Criterion A:** The discharges do not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties.
- **Criterion B:** A historic survey was conducted. The survey concluded that no historic properties are present. Discharges do not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties.
- **Criterion C:** The discharges and discharge related activities have the potential to have an effect on historic properties, and the applicant has obtained and is in compliance with a written agreement with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (TPHO), or other tribal representative that outlines measures the applicant will carry out to mitigate or prevent any adverse effects on historic properties.

Attachment B:

Figures (Sheets 1-3)

Historic District and Property Locations

Hingham, MA

Legend

- Historic Property
- Town Boundary
- National Register of Historic Places
- Local Historic District and NRHP
- 2010 Urbanized Area (UA)

Town Location

Notes & Sources

Source:
"Massachusetts Historical Commission Historic Inventory"
Modified 2019-02-07
From MassGIS

0 0.5 1 Mile

N

Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.
271 Mill Road
Chelmsford, MA 01824
(978) 692-9090

FIGURE 1

Stormwater Infrastructure in The Lincoln Historic District

Hingham, MA

Legend

- Catch Basin
- Manhole
- Outfall
- Lincoln Historic District
- 2010 Urbanized Area (UA)

Town Location

Notes & Sources

Source:
"Massachusetts Historical Commission Historic Inventory"
Modified 2019-02-07
From MassGIS

0 450 900 Feet

N

Wood
Environment & Infrastructure, Inc.
271 Mill Road
Chelmsford, MA 01824
(978) 692-9090

FIGURE 2



Stormwater Infrastructure in The South Hingham Historic District

Hingham, MA

Legend

- Catch Basin
- Manhole
- Outfall
- South Hingham Historic District
- Local Historic District and NRHP
- 2010 Urbanized Area (UA)

Town Location

Notes & Sources

Source:
"Massachusetts Historical Commission Historic Inventory"
Modified 2019-02-07
From MassGIS

0 450 900 Feet

N

wood.

Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.
271 Mill Road
Chelmsford, MA 01824
(978) 692-9090

FIGURE 3

H:\TownofHinghamMA\Task4\MXD\Hingham_MS4_Historic_SoHingham.mxd May 14, 2019 DWN: ilana.ton CHKD: JMH

Attachment C:

Federally Listed Endangered and Threatened Species in Massachusetts and IPaC Output

FEDERALLY LISTED ENDANGERED AND THREATENED SPECIES IN MASSACHUSETTS

COUNTY	SPECIES	FEDERAL STATUS	GENERAL LOCATION/HABITAT	TOWNS
Barnstable	Piping Plover	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	All Towns
	Roseate Tern	Endangered	Coastal beaches and the Atlantic Ocean	All Towns
	Northeastern beach tiger beetle	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	Chatham
	Sandplain gerardia	Endangered	Open areas with sandy soils.	Sandwich and Falmouth.
	Northern Red-bellied Cooter	Endangered	Inland Ponds and Rivers	Bourne (north of the Cape Cod Canal)
	Red Knot[1]	Threatened	Coastal Beaches and Rocky Shores, sand and mud flats	Coastal Towns
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Threatened Final 4(d) Rule	Winter- mines and caves, Summer – wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide
Berkshire	Bog Turtle	Threatened	Wetlands	Egremont and Sheffield
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Threatened Final 4(d) Rule	Winter- mines and caves, Summer – wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide
Bristol	Piping Plover	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	Fairhaven, Dartmouth, Westport
	Roseate Tern	Endangered	Coastal beaches and the Atlantic Ocean	Fairhaven, New Bedford, Dartmouth, Westport
	Northern Red-bellied Cooter	Endangered	Inland Ponds and Rivers	Taunton
	Red Knot[1]	Threatened	Coastal Beaches and Rocky Shores, sand and mud flats	Coastal Towns
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Threatened Final 4(d) Rule	Winter- mines and caves, Summer – wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide
Dukes	Roseate Tern	Endangered	Coastal beaches and the Atlantic Ocean	All Towns
	Piping Plover	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	All Towns
	Northeastern beach tiger beetle	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	Aquinnah and Chilmark
	Sandplain gerardia	Endangered	Open areas with sandy soils.	West Tisbury
	Red Knot[1]	Threatened	Coastal Beaches and Rocky Shores, sand and mud flats	Coastal Towns
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Threatened Final 4(d) Rule	Winter- mines and caves, Summer – wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide

Updated 02/05/2016

FEDERALLY LISTED ENDANGERED AND THREATENED SPECIES IN MASSACHUSETTS

COUNTY	SPECIES	FEDERAL STATUS	GENERAL LOCATION/HABITAT	TOWNS
Essex	Small whorled Pogonia	Threatened	Forests with somewhat poorly drained soils and/or a seasonally high water table	Gloucester, Essex and Manchester
	Piping Plover	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	Gloucester, Essex, Ipswich, Rowley, Revere, Newbury, Newburyport and Salisbury
	Red Knot[1]	Threatened	Coastal Beaches and Rocky Shores, sand and mud flats	Coastal Towns
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Threatened Final 4(d) Rule	Winter- mines and caves, Summer – wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide
Franklin	Northeastern bulrush	Endangered	Wetlands	Montague, Warwick
	Dwarf wedgemussel	Endangered	Mill River	Whately
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Threatened Final 4(d) Rule	Winter- mines and caves, Summer – wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide
Hampshire	Small whorled Pogonia	Threatened	Forests with somewhat poorly drained soils and/or a seasonally high water table	Hadley
	Puritan tiger beetle	Threatened	Sandy beaches along the Connecticut River	Northampton and Hadley
	Dwarf wedgemussel	Endangered	Rivers and Streams.	Hatfield, Amherst and Northampton
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Threatened Final 4(d) Rule	Winter- mines and caves, Summer – wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide
Hampden	Small whorled Pogonia	Threatened	Forests with somewhat poorly drained soils and/or a seasonally high water table	Southwick
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Threatened Final 4(d) Rule	Winter- mines and caves, Summer – wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide
Middlesex	Small whorled Pogonia	Threatened	Forests with somewhat poorly drained soils and/or a seasonally high water table	Groton
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Threatened Final 4(d) Rule	Winter- mines and caves, Summer – wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide
Nantucket	Piping Plover	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	Nantucket
	Roseate Tern	Endangered	Coastal beaches and the Atlantic Ocean	Nantucket
	American burying beetle	Endangered	Upland grassy meadows	Nantucket
	Red Knot[1]	Threatened	Coastal Beaches and Rocky Shores, sand and mud flats	Coastal Towns
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Threatened Final 4(d) Rule	Winter- mines and caves, Summer – wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide

Updated 02/05/2016

FEDERALLY LISTED ENDANGERED AND THREATENED SPECIES IN MASSACHUSETTS

COUNTY	SPECIES	FEDERAL STATUS	GENERAL LOCATION/HABITAT	TOWNS
Plymouth	Piping Plover	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	Scituate, Marshfield, Duxbury, Plymouth, Wareham and Mattapoisett
	Northern Red-bellied Cooter	Endangered	Inland Ponds and Rivers	Kingston, Middleborough, Carver, Plymouth, Bourne, Wareham, Halifax, and Pembroke
	Roseate Tern	Endangered	Coastal beaches and the Atlantic Ocean	Plymouth, Marion, Wareham, and Mattapoisett.
	Red Knot[1]	Threatened	Coastal Beaches and Rocky Shores, sand and mud flats	Coastal Towns
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Threatened Final 4(d) Rule	Winter- mines and caves, Summer – wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide
Suffolk	Piping Plover	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	Revere, Winthrop
	Red Knot[1]	Threatened	Coastal Beaches and Rocky Shores, sand and mud flats	Coastal Towns
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Threatened Final 4(d) Rule	Winter- mines and caves, Summer – wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide
Worcester	Small whorled Pogonia	Threatened	Forests with somewhat poorly drained soils and/or a seasonally high water table	Leominster
	Northern Long-eared Bat	Threatened Final 4(d) Rule	Winter- mines and caves, Summer – wide variety of forested habitats	Statewide

[1]Migratory only, scattered along the coast in small numbers

-Eastern cougar and gray wolf are considered extirpated in Massachusetts.
-Endangered gray wolves are not known to be present in Massachusetts, but dispersing individuals from source populations in Canada may occur statewide.
-Critical habitat for the Northern Red-bellied Cooter is present in Plymouth County.

Updated 02/05/2016

IPaC **U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service**

IPaC resource list

This report is an automatically generated list of species and other resources such as critical habitat (collectively referred to as *trust resources*) under the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) jurisdiction that are known or expected to be on or near the project area referenced below. The list may also include trust resources that occur outside of the project area, but that could potentially be directly or indirectly affected by activities in the project area. However, determining the likelihood and extent of effects a project may have on trust resources typically requires gathering additional site-specific (e.g., vegetation/species surveys) and project-specific (e.g., magnitude and timing of proposed activities) information.

Below is a summary of the project information you provided and contact information for the USFWS office(s) with jurisdiction in the defined project area. Please read the introduction to each section that follows (Endangered Species, Migratory Birds, USFWS Facilities, and NWI Wetlands) for additional information applicable to the trust resources addressed in that section.

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Location

Norfolk and Plymouth counties, Massachusetts



Local office

New England Ecological Services Field Office

(603) 223-2541
(603) 223-0104

70 Commercial Street, Suite 300
Concord, NH 03301-5094

http://www.fws.gov/newengland

Endangered species

This resource list is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an analysis of project level impacts.

The primary information used to generate this list is the known or expected range of each species. Additional areas of influence (AOI) for species are also considered. An AOI includes areas outside of the species range if the species could be indirectly affected by activities in that area (e.g., placing a dam upstream of a fish population, even if that fish does not occur at the dam site, may indirectly impact the species by reducing or eliminating water flow downstream). Because species can move, and site conditions can change, the species on this list are not guaranteed to be found on or near the project area. To fully determine any potential effects to species, additional site-specific and project-specific information is often required.

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act **requires** Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of such proposed action" for any project that is conducted, permitted, funded, or licensed by any Federal agency. A letter from the local office and a species list which fulfills this requirement can **only** be obtained by requesting an official species list from either the Regulatory Review section in IPaC (see directions below) or from the local field office directly.

For project evaluations that require USFWS concurrence/review, please return to the IPaC website and request an official species list by doing the following:

1. Draw the project location and click CONTINUE.
2. Click DEFINE PROJECT.
3. Log in (if directed to do so).
4. Provide a name and description for your project.
5. Click REQUEST SPECIES LIST.

Listed species[1] and their critical habitats are managed by the Ecological Services Program of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the fisheries division of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries[2]).

Species and critical habitats under the sole responsibility of NOAA Fisheries are **not** shown on this list. Please contact NOAA Fisheries for species under their jurisdiction.

---

1. Species listed under the Endangered Species Act are threatened or endangered; IPaC also shows species that are candidates, or proposed, for listing. See the listing status page for more information.
2. NOAA Fisheries, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

The following species are potentially affected by activities in this location:

Mammals

NAME	STATUS

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Northern Long-eared Bat Myotis septentrionalis Threatened
No critical habitat has been designated for this species.
https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9045

Critical habitats

Potential effects to critical habitat(s) in this location must be analyzed along with the endangered species themselves.

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS AT THIS LOCATION.

Migratory birds

Certain birds are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act[1] and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act[2].

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to migratory birds, eagles, and their habitats should follow appropriate regulations and consider implementing appropriate conservation measures, as described below.

1. The Migratory Birds Treaty Act of 1918.
2. The Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act of 1940.

Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Birds of Conservation Concern http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/managed-species/birds-of-conservation-concern.php
- Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to birds http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/project-assessment-tools-and-guidance/conservation-measures.php
- Nationwide conservation measures for birds http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/pdf/management/nationwidestandardconservationmeasures.pdf

The birds listed below are birds of particular concern either because they occur on the USFWS Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC) list or warrant special attention in your project location. To learn more about the levels of concern for birds on your list and how this list is generated, see the FAQ below. This is not a list of every bird you may find in this location, nor a guarantee that every bird on this list will be found in your project area. To see exact locations of where birders and the general public have sighted birds in and around your project area, visit the E-bird data mapping tool (Tip: enter your location, desired date range and a species on your list). For projects that occur off the Atlantic Coast, additional maps and models detailing the relative occurrence and abundance of bird species on your list are available. Links to additional information about Atlantic Coast birds, and other important information about your migratory bird list, including how to properly interpret and use your migratory bird report, can be found below.

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

For guidance on when to schedule activities or implement avoidance and minimization measures to reduce impacts to migratory birds on your list, click on the PROBABILITY OF PRESENCE SUMMARY at the top of your list to see when these birds are most likely to be present and breeding in your project area.

NAME	BREEDING SEASON (IF A BREEDING SEASON IS INDICATED FOR A BIRD ON YOUR LIST, THE BIRD MAY BREED IN YOUR PROJECT AREA SOMETIME WITHIN THE TIMEFRAME SPECIFIED, WHICH IS A VERY LIBERAL ESTIMATE OF THE DATES INSIDE WHICH THE BIRD BREEDS ACROSS ITS ENTIRE RANGE. "BREEDS ELSEWHERE" INDICATES THAT THE BIRD DOES NOT LIKELY BREED IN YOUR PROJECT AREA.)
American Oystercatcher Haematopus palliatus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/8935	Breeds Apr 15 to Aug 31
Bald Eagle Haliaeetus leucocephalus This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1626	Breeds Oct 15 to Aug 31
Black Guillemot Cepphus grylle This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.	Breeds May 15 to Sep 10
Black Scoter Melanitta nigra This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.	Breeds elsewhere
Black-billed Cuckoo Coccyzus erythropthalmus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9399	Breeds May 15 to Oct 10

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Black-legged Kittiwake Rissa tridactyla — Breeds elsewhere

This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.

Bobolink Dolichonyx oryzivorus — Breeds May 20 to Jul 31

This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.

Bonaparte's Gull Chroicocephalus philadelphia — Breeds elsewhere

This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.

Canada Warbler Cardellina canadensis — Breeds May 20 to Aug 10

This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.

Cerulean Warbler Dendroica cerulea — Breeds Apr 29 to Jul 20

This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.
https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/2974

Common Eider Somateria mollissima — Breeds Jun 1 to Sep 30

This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.

Common Loon gavia immer — Breeds Apr 15 to Oct 31

This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.
https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/4464

Common Tern Sterna hirundo — Breeds May 10 to Sep 10

This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.
https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/4963

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Cory's Shearwater Calonectris diomedea This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.	Breeds elsewhere
Double-crested Cormorant phalacrocorax auritus This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/3478	Breeds Apr 20 to Aug 31
Dunlin Calidris alpina arcticola This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA	Breeds elsewhere
Evening Grosbeak Coccothraustes vespertinus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds elsewhere
Great Black-backed Gull Larus marinus This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.	Breeds Apr 15 to Aug 20
Herring Gull Larus argentatus This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.	Breeds Apr 20 to Aug 31
Kentucky Warbler Oporornis formosus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds Apr 20 to Aug 20
Leach's Storm-petrel Oceanodroma leucorhoa This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.	Breeds May 15 to Nov 20
Least Tern Sterna antillarum This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA	Breeds Apr 20 to Sep 10

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Lesser Yellowlegs Tringa flavipes — Breeds elsewhere

This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.
https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9679

Long-tailed Duck Clangula hyemalis — Breeds elsewhere

This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.
https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/7238

Northern Fulmar Fulmarus glacialis — Breeds elsewhere

This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.

Northern Gannet Morus bassanus — Breeds elsewhere

This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.

Prairie Warbler Dendroica discolor — Breeds May 1 to Jul 31

This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.

Purple Sandpiper Calidris maritima — Breeds elsewhere

This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.

Razorbill Alca torda — Breeds Jun 15 to Sep 10

This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.

Red-breasted Merganser Mergus serrator — Breeds elsewhere

This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.

Red-throated Loon Gavia stellata — Breeds elsewhere

This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Ring-billed Gull Larus delawarensis — Breeds elsewhere

This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.

Royal Tern Thalasseus maximus — Breeds Apr 15 to Aug 31

This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.

Ruddy Turnstone Arenaria interpres morinella — Breeds elsewhere

This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA

Rusty Blackbird Euphagus carolinus — Breeds elsewhere

This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.

Semipalmated Sandpiper Calidris pusilla — Breeds elsewhere

This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.

Short-billed Dowitcher Limnodromus griseus — Breeds elsewhere

This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.
https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9480

Snowy Owl Bubo scandiacus — Breeds elsewhere

This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.

Surf Scoter Melanitta perspicillata — Breeds elsewhere

This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.

Thick-billed Murre Uria lomvia — Breeds Apr 15 to Aug 15

This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Whimbrel Numenius phaeopus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9483	Breeds elsewhere
White-winged Scoter Melanitta fusca This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.	Breeds elsewhere
Willet Tringa semipalmata This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds Apr 20 to Aug 5
Wilson's Storm-petrel Oceanites oceanicus This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.	Breeds elsewhere
Wood Thrush Hylocichla mustelina This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds May 10 to Aug 31

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Probability of Presence Summary

The graphs below provide our best understanding of when birds of concern are most likely to be present in your project area. This information can be used to tailor and schedule your project activities to avoid or minimize impacts to birds. Please make sure you read and understand the FAQ "Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report" before using or attempting to interpret this report.

Probability of Presence (■)

Each green bar represents the bird's relative probability of presence in the 10km grid cell(s) your project overlaps during a particular week of the year. (A year is represented as 12 4-week months.) A taller bar indicates a higher probability of species presence. The survey effort (see below) can be used to establish a level of confidence in the presence score. One can have higher confidence in the presence score if the corresponding survey effort is also high.

How is the probability of presence score calculated? The calculation is done in three steps:

1. The probability of presence for each week is calculated as the number of survey events in the week where the species was detected divided by the total number of survey events for that week. For example, if in week 12 there were 20 survey events and the Spotted Towhee was found in 5 of them, the probability of presence of the Spotted Towhee in week 12 is 0.25.
2. To properly present the pattern of presence across the year, the relative probability of presence is calculated. This is the probability of presence divided by the maximum probability of presence

across all weeks. For example, imagine the probability of presence in week 20 for the Spotted Towhee is 0.05, and that the probability of presence at week 12 (0.25) is the maximum of any week of the year. The relative probability of presence on week 12 is 0.25/0.25 = 1; at week 20 it is 0.05/0.25 = 0.2.

3. The relative probability of presence calculated in the previous step undergoes a statistical conversion so that all possible values fall between 0 and 10, inclusive. This is the probability of presence score.

To see a bar's probability of presence score, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

Breeding Season (■)
Yellow bars denote a very liberal estimate of the time-frame inside which the bird breeds across its entire range. If there are no yellow bars shown for a bird, it does not breed in your project area.

Survey Effort (|)
Vertical black lines superimposed on probability of presence bars indicate the number of surveys performed for that species in the 10km grid cell(s) your project area overlaps. The number of surveys is expressed as a range, for example, 33 to 64 surveys.

To see a bar's survey effort range, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

No Data (–)
A week is marked as having no data if there were no survey events for that week.

Survey Timeframe
Surveys from only the last 10 years are used in order to ensure delivery of currently relevant information. The exception to this is areas off the Atlantic coast, where bird returns are based on all years of available data, since data in these areas is currently much more sparse.

NOT FOR CONSULTATION



Black Guillemot
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)



Black Scoter
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)

Black-billed Cuckoo
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)



Black-legged Kittiwake
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)

Bobolink
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Bonaparte's Gull
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)

Canada Warbler
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)

Cerulean Warbler
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)

Common Eider
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)

Common Loon
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)



NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Common Tern
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)



Cory's Shearwater
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)

Double-crested Cormorant
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)

Dunlin
BCC - BCR (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA)

Evening Grosbeak
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Great Black-backed Gull
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)



Herring Gull
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)



Kentucky Warbler
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)

Leach's Storm-petrel
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)



Least Tern
BCC - BCR (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA)



Lesser Yellowlegs
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Long-tailed Duck
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)

SPECIES	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC

Northern Fulmar
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)

Northern Gannet
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)

Prairie Warbler
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)

Purple Sandpiper
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Razorbill
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)



Red-breasted Merganser
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)

Red-throated Loon
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)

Ring-billed Gull
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)

Royal Tern
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)



NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Ruddy Turnstone
BCC - BCR (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA)

Rusty Blackbird
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)

Semipalmated Sandpiper
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)

SPECIES	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC

Short-billed Dowitcher
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)

Snowy Owl
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)

Surf Scoter
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Thick-billed Murre
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)



Whimbrel
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)

White-winged Scoter
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)

Willet
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)



Wilson's Storm-petrel
Non-BCC Vulnerable (This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.)

Wood Thrush
BCC Rangewide (CON) (This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.)



NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Tell me more about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds.

Nationwide Conservation Measures describes measures that can help avoid and minimize impacts to all birds at any location year round. Implementation of these measures is particularly important when birds are most likely to occur in the project area. When birds may be breeding in the area, identifying the locations of any active nests and avoiding their destruction is a very helpful impact minimization measure. To see when birds are most likely to occur and be breeding in your project area, view the Probability of Presence Summary. Additional measures and/or permits may be advisable depending on the type of activity you are conducting and the type of infrastructure or bird species present on your project site.

What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The Migratory Bird Resource List is comprised of USFWS Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC) and other species that may warrant special attention in your project location.

The migratory bird list generated for your project is derived from data provided by the Avian Knowledge Network (AKN). The AKN data is based on a growing collection of survey, banding, and citizen science datasets and is queried and filtered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) which your project intersects, and that have been identified as warranting special attention because they are a BCC species in that area, an eagle (Eagle Act requirements may apply), or a species that has a particular vulnerability to offshore activities or development.

Again, the Migratory Bird Resource list includes only a subset of birds that may occur in your project area. It is not representative of all birds that may occur in your project area. To get a list of all birds potentially present in your project area, please visit the AKN Phenology Tool.

What does IPaC use to generate the probability of presence graphs for the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The probability of presence graphs associated with your migratory bird list are based on data provided by the Avian Knowledge Network (AKN). This data is derived from a growing collection of survey, banding, and citizen science datasets .

Probability of presence data is continuously being updated as new and better information becomes available. To learn more about how the probability of presence graphs are produced and how to interpret them, go the Probability of Presence Summary and then click on the "Tell me about these graphs" link.

How do I know if a bird is breeding, wintering, migrating or present year-round in my project area?

To see what part of a particular bird's range your project area falls within (i.e. breeding, wintering, migrating or year-round), you may refer to the following resources: The Cornell Lab of Ornithology All About Birds Bird Guide, or (if you are unsuccessful in locating the bird of interest there), the Cornell Lab of Ornithology Neotropical Birds guide. If a bird on your migratory bird species list has a breeding season associated with it, if that bird does occur in your project area, there may be nests present at some point within the timeframe specified. If "Breeds elsewhere" is indicated, then the bird likely does not breed in your project area.

What are the levels of concern for migratory birds?

Migratory birds delivered through IPaC fall into the following distinct categories of concern:

1. "BCC Rangewide" birds are Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC) that are of concern throughout their range anywhere within the USA (including Hawaii, the Pacific Islands, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands);
2. "BCC - BCR" birds are BCCs that are of concern only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA; and
3. "Non-BCC - Vulnerable" birds are not BCC species in your project area, but appear on your list either because of the Eagle Act requirements (for eagles) or (for non-eagles) potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities (e.g. offshore energy development or longline fishing).

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Although it is important to try to avoid and minimize impacts to all birds, efforts should be made, in particular, to avoid and minimize impacts to the birds on this list, especially eagles and BCC species of rangewide concern. For more information on conservation measures you can implement to help avoid and minimize migratory bird impacts and requirements for eagles, please see the FAQs for these topics.

Details about birds that are potentially affected by offshore projects

For additional details about the relative occurrence and abundance of both individual bird species and groups of bird species within your project area off the Atlantic Coast, please visit the Northeast Ocean Data Portal. The Portal also offers data and information about other taxa besides birds that may be helpful to you in your project review. Alternately, you may download the bird model results files underlying the portal maps through the NOAA NCCOS Integrative Statistical Modeling and Predictive Mapping of Marine Bird Distributions and Abundance on the Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf project webpage.

Bird tracking data can also provide additional details about occurrence and habitat use throughout the year, including migration. Models relying on survey data may not include this information. For additional information on marine bird tracking data, see the Diving Bird Study and the nanotag studies or contact Caleb Spiegel or Pam Loring.

What if I have eagles on my list?

If your project has the potential to disturb or kill eagles, you may need to obtain a permit to avoid violating the Eagle Act should such impacts occur.

Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report

The migratory bird list generated is not a list of all birds in your project area, only a subset of birds of priority concern. To learn more about how your list is generated, and see options for identifying what other birds may be in your project area, please see the FAQ “What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location”. Please be aware this report provides the “probability of presence” of birds within the 10 km grid cell(s) that overlap your project; not your exact project footprint. On the graphs provided, please also look carefully at the survey effort (indicated by the black vertical bar) and for the existence of the “no data” indicator (a red horizontal bar). A high survey effort is the key component. If the survey effort is high, then the probability of presence score can be viewed as more dependable. In contrast, a low survey effort bar or no data bar means a lack of data and, therefore, a lack of certainty about presence of the species. This list is not perfect; it is simply a starting point for identifying what birds of concern have the potential to be in your project area, when they might be there, and if they might be breeding (which means nests might be present). The list helps you know what to look for to confirm presence, and helps guide you in knowing when to implement conservation measures to avoid or minimize potential impacts from your project activities, should presence be confirmed. To learn more about conservation measures, visit the FAQ “Tell me about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds” at the bottom of your migratory bird trust resources page.

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Facilities

National Wildlife Refuge lands

Any activity proposed on lands managed by the National Wildlife Refuge system must undergo a 'Compatibility Determination' conducted by the Refuge. Please contact the individual Refuges to discuss any questions or concerns.

THERE ARE NO REFUGE LANDS AT THIS LOCATION.

Fish hatcheries

THERE ARE NO FISH HATCHERIES AT THIS LOCATION.

Wetlands in the National Wetlands Inventory

Impacts to NWI wetlands and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local U.S. Army Corps of Engineers District.

Please note that the NWI data being shown may be out of date. We are currently working to update our NWI data set. We recommend you verify these results with a site visit to determine the actual extent of wetlands on site.

This location overlaps the following wetlands:

The area of this project is too large for IPaC to load all NWI wetlands in the area. The list below may be incomplete. Please contact the local U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service office or visit the NWI map for a full list.

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

ESTUARINE AND MARINE DEEPWATER

- E1UBLx
- E1UBL

ESTUARINE AND MARINE WETLAND

- E2USM
- E2EM1P
- E2USN
- E2EM1Pd
- E2USP
- E2EM1/SS1Pd
- E2FO1P
- E2RS1N
- E2SS1P
- M2USN

FRESHWATER EMERGENT WETLAND

- PEM1E
- PEM1F
- PEM1Ed
- PEM1C

PEM1R
PEM1A

FRESHWATER FORESTED/SHRUB WETLAND

PFO1E
PFO1/4E
PSS1E
PFO1Ed
PFO4E
PFO1/4Ed
PFO1C
PSS1Ed
PFO1F
PFO1Fh
PFO1/4C
PSS1F
PSS1Bd
PSS1C
PFO1S
PFO1Af
PFO1R
PFO1Cd

FRESHWATER POND

PUBHh
PUBHx
PABHh
PUBH

LAKE

L1UBH
L2EM2F

RIVERINE

R5UBH
R4SBC
R2UBH

A full description for each wetland code can be found at the National Wetlands Inventory website

Data limitations

The Service's objective of mapping wetlands and deepwater habitats is to produce reconnaissance level information on the location, type and size of these resources. The maps are prepared from the analysis of high altitude imagery. Wetlands are identified based on vegetation, visible hydrology and geography. A margin of error is inherent in the use of imagery; thus, detailed on-the-ground inspection of any particular site may result in revision of the wetland boundaries or classification established through image analysis.

The accuracy of image interpretation depends on the quality of the imagery, the experience of the image analysts, the amount and quality of the collateral data and the amount of ground truth verification work conducted. Metadata should be consulted to determine the date of the source imagery used and any mapping problems.

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

Wetlands or other mapped features may have changed since the date of the imagery or field work. There may be occasional differences in polygon boundaries or classifications between the information depicted on the map and the actual conditions on site.

Data exclusions

Certain wetland habitats are excluded from the National mapping program because of the limitations of aerial imagery as the primary data source used to detect wetlands. These habitats include seagrasses or submerged aquatic vegetation that are found in the intertidal and subtidal zones of estuaries and nearshore coastal waters. Some deepwater reef communities (coral or tuberficid worm reefs) have also been excluded from the inventory. These habitats, because of their depth, go undetected by aerial imagery.

Data precautions

Federal, state, and local regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands may define and describe wetlands in a different manner than that used in this inventory. There is no attempt, in either the design or products of this inventory, to define the limits of proprietary jurisdiction of any Federal, state, or local government or to establish the geographical scope of the regulatory programs of government agencies. Persons intending to engage in activities involving modifications within or adjacent to wetland areas should seek the advice of appropriate federal, state, or local agencies concerning specified agency regulatory programs and proprietary jurisdictions that may affect such activities.

NOT FOR CONSULTATION

United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
New England Ecological Services Field Office
70 Commercial Street, Suite 300
Concord, NH 03301-5094
Phone: (603) 223-2541 Fax: (603) 223-0104
http://www.fws.gov/newengland

In Reply Refer To: August 20, 2018
Consultation Code: 05E1NE00-2018-SLI-2826
Event Code: 05E1NE00-2018-E-06615
Project Name: Hingham NOI Filing

Subject: List of threatened and endangered species that may occur in your proposed project location, and/or may be affected by your proposed project

To Whom It May Concern:

The enclosed species list identifies threatened, endangered, proposed and candidate species, as well as proposed and final designated critical habitat, that may occur within the boundary of your proposed project and/or may be affected by your proposed project. The species list fulfills the requirements of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) under section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

New information based on updated surveys, changes in the abundance and distribution of species, changed habitat conditions, or other factors could change this list. Please feel free to contact us if you need more current information or assistance regarding the potential impacts to federally proposed, listed, and candidate species and federally designated and proposed critical habitat. Please note that under 50 CFR 402.12(e) of the regulations implementing section 7 of the Act, the accuracy of this species list should be verified after 90 days. This verification can be completed formally or informally as desired. The Service recommends that verification be completed by visiting the ECOS-IPaC website at regular intervals during project planning and implementation for updates to species lists and information. An updated list may be requested through the ECOS-IPaC system by completing the same process used to receive the enclosed list.

The purpose of the Act is to provide a means whereby threatened and endangered species and the ecosystems upon which they depend may be conserved. Under sections 7(a)(1) and 7(a)(2) of the Act and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 402 *et seq.*), Federal agencies are required to utilize their authorities to carry out programs for the conservation of threatened and endangered species and to determine whether projects may affect threatened and endangered species and/or designated critical habitat.

A Biological Assessment is required for construction projects (or other undertakings having similar physical impacts) that are major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment as defined in the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(c)). For projects other than major construction activities, the Service suggests that a biological evaluation similar to a Biological Assessment be prepared to determine whether the project may affect listed or proposed species and/or designated or proposed critical habitat. Recommended contents of a Biological Assessment are described at 50 CFR 402.12.

If a Federal agency determines, based on the Biological Assessment or biological evaluation, that listed species and/or designated critical habitat may be affected by the proposed project, the agency is required to consult with the Service pursuant to 50 CFR 402. In addition, the Service recommends that candidate species, proposed species and proposed critical habitat be addressed within the consultation. More information on the regulations and procedures for section 7 consultation, including the role of permit or license applicants, can be found in the "Endangered Species Consultation Handbook" at:

http://www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/pdf/TOC-GLOS.PDF

Please be aware that bald and golden eagles are protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 668 *et seq.*), and projects affecting these species may require development of an eagle conservation plan (http://www.fws.gov/windenergy/eagle_guidance.html). Additionally, wind energy projects should follow the wind energy guidelines (http://www.fws.gov/windenergy/) for minimizing impacts to migratory birds and bats.

Guidance for minimizing impacts to migratory birds for projects including communications towers (e.g., cellular, digital television, radio, and emergency broadcast) can be found at: http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/towers.htm; http://www.towerkill.com; and http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/comtow.html.

We appreciate your concern for threatened and endangered species. The Service encourages Federal agencies to include conservation of threatened and endangered species into their project planning to further the purposes of the Act. Please include the Consultation Tracking Number in the header of this letter with any request for consultation or correspondence about your project that you submit to our office.

Attachment(s):

- Official Species List

Official Species List

This list is provided pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, and fulfills the requirement for Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary of the Interior information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of a proposed action".

This species list is provided by:

New England Ecological Services Field Office
70 Commercial Street, Suite 300
Concord, NH 03301-5094
(603) 223-2541

Project Summary

Consultation Code: 05E1NE00-2018-SLI-2826

Event Code: 05E1NE00-2018-E-06615

Project Name: Hingham NOI Filing

Project Type: ** OTHER **

Project Description: Review of threatened and endangered species with urbanized area of Hingham MA as part of NPDES MS4 Stormwater Permit

Project Location:

Approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: https://www.google.com/maps/place/42.21882916843581N70.89443117216501W



Counties: Norfolk, MA | Plymouth, MA

Endangered Species Act Species

There is a total of 1 threatened, endangered, or candidate species on this species list.

Species on this list should be considered in an effects analysis for your project and could include species that exist in another geographic area. For example, certain fish may appear on the species list because a project could affect downstream species.

IPaC does not display listed species or critical habitats under the sole jurisdiction of NOAA Fisheries[1], as USFWS does not have the authority to speak on behalf of NOAA and the Department of Commerce.

See the "Critical habitats" section below for those critical habitats that lie wholly or partially within your project area under this office's jurisdiction. Please contact the designated FWS office if you have questions.

---

1. NOAA Fisheries, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

Mammals

NAME	STATUS
Northern Long-eared Bat *Myotis septentrionalis* No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9045	Threatened

Critical habitats

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS WITHIN YOUR PROJECT AREA UNDER THIS OFFICE'S JURISDICTION.

Attachment D:

Key to the Northern Long-Eared Bat 4(d)
Rule for Federal Actions that May Affect Northern Long-Eared Bats

Key to the Northern Long-Eared Bat 4(d) Rule for Federal Actions that May Affect Northern Long-Eared Bats

A separate key is available for non-federal activities

Federal agency actions that involve incidental take not prohibited under the final 4(d) rule may result in effects to individual northern long-eared bats. Per section 7 of the Act, if a federal agency's action may affect a listed species, consultation with the Service is required. This requirement does not change when a 4(d) rule is implemented. However, for this 4(d) rule, the Service proposed a framework to streamline section 7 consultations when federal actions may affect the northern long-eared bat but will not cause prohibited take. Federal agencies have the option to rely upon the finding of the programmatic biological opinion for the final 4(d) rule to fulfill their project-specific section 7 responsibilities by using the framework. This key will help federal agencies determine if their actions may cause prohibited incidental take of northern long-eared bats as defined in the 4(d) rule under the Endangered Species Act and if separate section 7 consultation may be necessary. Also, the framework for streamlining northern long-eared bat section 7 consultation is provided.

1. **Have you determined that the proposed action will have "no effect" on the northern long-eared bat?**

   Yes, the proposed action will have "no effect" on the northern long-eared bat. When the action agency determines its proposed action will not affect a listed species, there is no need to coordinate further with the Service. If the northern long-eared bat will not be exposed directly or indirectly to the proposed action or any resulting environmental changes, an agency should conclude "no effect" and document the finding and this completes the section 7 process. For example, if suitable habitat is not present in the action area and the project does not otherwise present a risk to the species, conclude "species not present" and document your finding.

   No, the proposed action "may affect" the northern long-eared bat or individual northern long-eared bats.
   Continue to #2

2. **Will your activity purposefully take (see Definitions below) northern long-eared bats? For example, are you removing bats from a human structure or capturing bats for research?**

   Yes, my activity includes purposefully taking northern long-eared bats.

   - Removing bats from human structures is not prohibited and take of northern long-eared bats as required for public health monitoring (disease testing) is not prohibited. The federal agency can rely upon the finding of the programmatic biological opinion for the final 4(d) rule to fulfill their project-specific section 7 responsibilities if they use the framework described below. This framework is

optional, if the federal agency chooses not to follow the framework, standard section 7 consultation procedures apply.

- Research that involves handling bats does require a permit after May 4, 2016; if you are conducting research that includes capturing and handling northern long-eared bats, you should contact the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to apply for a permit. www.fws.gov/endangered/regions

- Other purposeful take (see Definitions below) of northern long-eared bats is prohibited. You should contact the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as the standard section 7 consultation procedures apply.

No, my activity does not include purposefully taking northern long-eared bats.
Continue to #3.

3. Is the action area (i.e., the area affected by all direct and indirect project effects) located wholly outside the White-nose Syndrome Zone? For the most current version of the White-nose Syndrome Zone map, please see www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/mammals/nleb/pdf/WNSZone.pdf

Yes, the action area is located wholly outside the white-nose syndrome zone.
Incidental take (see Definitions below) of northern long-eared bats is not prohibited in areas outside the White-nose Syndrome Zone. The federal agency can rely upon the finding of the programmatic biological opinion for the final 4(d) rule to fulfill their project-specific section 7 responsibilities if they use the framework described below. This framework is optional, if the federal agency chooses not to follow the framework, standard section 7 consultation procedures apply.

No, the action area is located partially or wholly inside the white-nose syndrome zone.
Continue to #4

4. Will the action take affect caves or mines where northern long-eared bats are known to hibernate (i.e., hibernaculum) or could it alter the entrance or the environment (physical or other alteration) of a hibernaculum?

Yes, the action will affect a northern long-eared bat hibernaculum or it could alter the entrance or the environment (physical or other alteration) of a hibernaculum.
Take (see Definitions below) of northern long-eared bats within hibernacula is prohibited, including actions that may change the nature of the hibernaculum's environment or entrance to it, even when the bats are not present. If your activity includes work in a hibernaculum or it could alter its entrance or environment, please contact the Service's Ecological Services Field Office located nearest to the project area. To find contact information for the Ecological Services Field Offices, please see www.fws.gov/offices.

No, the action will not take place within a northern long-eared bat hibernaculum or alter its entrance or environment.
Continue to #5

5. Will the action involve tree removal (see definition below)?

No, the action does not include tree removal.
Incidental take (see Definitions below) from activities that do not involve tree removal and do not take place within hibernacula or would not alter the hibernaculum's entrance or environment (see Question #4), is not prohibited. The federal agency can rely upon the finding of the programmatic biological opinion for the final 4(d) rule to fulfill their project-specific section 7 responsibilities if they use the framework described below. This framework is optional, if the federal agency chooses not to follow the framework, standard section 7 consultation procedures apply.

Yes, the action involves tree removal.
Continue to #6

6. Is the action the removal of hazardous trees for protection of human life or property?

Yes, the action is removing hazardous trees.
Incidental take (see Definitions below) of northern long-eared bats as a result of hazardous tree removal is not prohibited. The federal agency can rely upon the finding of the programmatic biological opinion for the final 4(d) rule to fulfill their project-specific section 7 responsibilities if they use the framework described below. This framework is optional, if the federal agency chooses not to follow the framework, standard section 7 consultation procedures apply.

No, the action is not removing hazardous trees.
Continue to #7

7. Will the action include one or both of the following: 1) removing a northern long-eared bat known occupied maternity roost tree or any trees within 150 feet of a known occupied maternity roost tree from June 1 through July 31; or 2) removing any trees within 0.25 miles of a northern long-eared bat hibernaculum at any time of year?

No
Incidental take (see Definitions below) from tree removal activities is not prohibited unless it results from removing a known occupied maternity roost tree or from tree removal activities within 150 feet of a known occupied maternity roost tree from June 1 through July 31 or results from tree removal activities within 0.25 mile of a hibernaculum at any time. The federal agency can rely upon the finding of the programmatic biological opinion for the final 4(d) rule to fulfill their project-specific section 7 responsibilities if they use the framework described below. This framework is optional, if the federal agency chooses not to follow the framework, standard section 7 consultation procedures apply.

Yes
Incidental take (see Definitions below) of northern long-eared bats is prohibited if it occurs as a result of removing a known occupied maternity roost tree or removing trees within 150 feet of a known occupied maternity roost tree during the pup season from June 1 through July 31 or as a result of removing trees from within 0.25 mile of a hibernaculum at any time of year. This does not mean that you cannot conduct your action; however, standard section 7 consultation procedures apply. Please contact your nearest Ecological Services Field Office. To find contact information for the Ecological Services Field Offices, please see www.fws.gov/offices

How do I know if there is a maternity roost tree or hibernacula in the action area?
We acknowledge that it can be difficult to determine if a maternity roost tree or a hibernaculum is in your project area. Location information for both resources is generally kept in state Natural Heritage Inventory databases – the availability of this data varies state-by-state. Many states provide online access to their data, either directly by providing maps or by providing the opportunity to make a data request. In some cases, to protect those resources, access to the information may be limited. A web page with links to state Natural Heritage Inventory databases is available at www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/mammals/nleb/nhisites.html.

When looking for information on the presence of maternity roost trees or hibernacula within your project area, our expectation is that the federal action agency will complete due diligence to determine if date is available. If information is not available, document your attempt to find the information and send it with your determination under step 1 of the framework (see below).

We do not require federal agencies to conduct surveys; however, we recommend that surveys be conducted whenever possible. Surveys will help federal agencies meet their responsibilities under section 7(a)(1) of the Act. Active participation of federal agencies in survey efforts will lead to a more effective conservation strategy for the northern long-eared bat. In addition, should the Service reclassify the species as endangered in the future, an agency with a good understanding of how the species uses habitat based on surveys within its action areas could have greater flexibility under section 7(a)(2) of the Act. Recommended survey methods are available at www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/mammals/nleb.

Definitions

"Incidental take" is defined by the Endangered Species Act as take that is "incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity." For example, harvesting trees can kill bats that are roosting in the trees, but the purpose of the activity is not to kill bats.

"Known hibernacula" are defined as locations where one or more northern long-eared bats have been detected during hibernation or at the entrance during fall swarming or spring emergence. Given the challenges of surveying for northern long-eared bats in the winter, any hibernacula with northern long-eared bats observed at least once, will continue to be considered "known hibernacula" as long as the hibernacula remains suitable for northern long-eared bat.

"Known occupied maternity roost trees" is defined in the 4(d) rule as trees that have had female northern long-eared bats or juvenile bats tracked to them or the presence of female or juvenile bats is known as a result of other methods. Once documented, northern-long eared bats are known to continue to use the same roosting areas. Therefore, a tree will be considered to be a "known occupied maternity roost" as long as the tree and surrounding habitat remain suitable for northern long-eared bat. The incidental take prohibition for known occupied maternity roosts trees applies only during the during the pup season (June 1 through July 31).

"Purposeful take" is when the reason for the activity or action is to conduct some form of take. For instance, conducting a research project that includes collecting and putting bands on bats is a form of purposeful take. Intentionally killing or harming bats is also purposeful take and is prohibited.

"Take" is defined by the ESA as 'to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect" any endangered species. Purposeful take is when the reason for the activity or action is to conduct some form of take. For instance, conducting a research project that includes collecting and putting bands on bats is a form of purposeful take.

"Tree removal" is defined in the 4(d) rule as cutting down, harvesting, destroying, trimming, or manipulating in any other way the trees, saplings, snags, or any other form of woody vegetation likely to be used by northern long-eared bats.

Optional Framework to Streamline Section 7 Consultation for the Northern Long-Eared Bat

The primary objective of the framework is to provide an efficient means for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service verification of federal agency determinations that their proposed actions are consistent with those evaluated in the programmatic intra-Service consultation for the final 4(d) rule and do not require separate consultation. Such verification is necessary because incidental take is prohibited in the vicinity of known hibernacula and known roosts, and these locations are continuously updated. Federal agencies may rely on this Biological Opinion to fulfill their project-specific section 7(a)(2) responsibilities under the following framework:

1. For all federal activities that may affect the northern long-eared bat, the action agency will provide project-level documentation describing the activities that are excepted from incidental take prohibitions and addressed in this consultation. The federal agency must provide written documentation to the appropriate Service Field Office when it is determined their action may affect (i.e., not likely to adversely affect or likely to adversely affect) the northern long-eared bat, but would not cause prohibited incidental take. This documentation must follow these procedures:

    a. In coordination with the appropriate Service Field Office, each action agency must make a determination as to whether their activity is excepted from incidental taking prohibitions in the final 4(d) rule. Activities that will occur within 0.25 mile of a known hibernacula or within 150 feet of known, occupied maternity roost trees during the pup season (June 1 to July 31) are not excepted pursuant to the final 4(d) rule. This determination must be updated annually for multi-year activities.
    b. At least 30 days in advance of funding, authorizing, or carrying out an action, the federal agency must provide written notification of their determination to the appropriate Service Field Office.
    c. For this determination, the action agency will rely on the definitions of prohibited activities provided in the final 4(d) rule and the activities considered in this consultation.
    d. The determination must include a description of the proposed project and the action area (the area affected by all direct and indirect project effects) with sufficient detail to support the determination.
    e. The action agency must provide its determination as part of a request for coordination or consultation for other listed species or separately if no other species may be affected.
    f. Service concurrence with the action agency determination is not required, but the Service may advise the action agency whether additional information indicates consultation for the northern long-eared bat is required; i.e., where the proposed project includes an activity not covered by the 4(d) rule and thus not addressed in the Biological Opinion and is subject to additional consultation.

g. If the Service does not respond within 30 days under (f) above, the action agency may presume its determination is informed by best available information and consider its project responsibilities under section 7(a)(2) with respect to the northern long-eared bat fulfilled through this programmatic Biological Opinion.

2. Reporting

    a. For monitoring purposes, the Service will assume all activities are conducted as described. If an agency does not conduct an activity as described, it must promptly report and describe such departures to the appropriate Service Field Office.
    b. The action agency must provide the results of any surveys for the northern long-eared bat to the appropriate Service Field Office within their jurisdiction.
    c. Parties finding a dead, injured, or sick northern long-eared bat must promptly notify the appropriate Service Field Office.

If a Federal action agency chooses not to follow this framework, standard section 7 consultation procedures will apply.

Section 7(a)(1) of the Act directs Federal agencies, in consultation with and with the assistance of the Secretary (a function delegated to the Service), to utilize their authorities to further the purposes of the Act by carrying out conservation programs for the benefit of endangered and threatened species. Service Headquarters provides to federal action agencies who choose to implement the framework described above several conservation recommendations for exercising their 7(a)(1) responsibility in this context. Conservation recommendations are discretionary federal agency activities to minimize or avoid adverse effects of a proposed action on listed species or critical habitat, to help implement recovery plans, or to develop information. Service Headquarters recommends that the following conservation measures to all Federal agencies whose actions may affect the northern long-eared bat:

1. Perform northern long-eared bat surveys according to the most recent Range-wide Indiana Bat/ northern long-eared bat Summer Survey Guidelines. Benefits from agencies voluntarily performing northern long-eared bat surveys include:

    a. Surveys will help federal agencies meet their responsibilities under section 7(a)(1) of the Act. The Service and partners will use the survey data to better understand habitat use and distribution of northern long-eared bats, track the status of the species, evaluate threats and impacts, and develop effective conservation and recovery actions. Active participation of federal agencies in survey efforts will lead to a more effective conservation strategy for the northern long-eared bat.
    b. Should the Service reclassify the species as endangered in the future, an agency with a good understanding of how the species uses habitat based on surveys within its action areas could inform greater flexibility under section 7(a)(2) of the Act. Such information could facilitate an expedited consultation and incidental take statement that may, for example, exempt taking associated with tree removal during the active season, but outside of the pup season, in known occupied habitat.

2. Apply additional voluntary conservation measures, where appropriate, to reduce the impacts of activities on northern long-eared bats. Conservation measures include:

    a. Conduct tree removal activities outside of the northern long-eared bat pup season (June 1 to July 31) and/or the active season (April 1 to October 31). This will minimize impacts to pups at roosts not yet identified.
    b. Avoid clearing suitable spring staging and fall swarming habitat within a 5-mile radius of known or assumed northern long-eared bat hibernacula during the staging and swarming seasons (April 1 to May 15 and August 15 to November 14, respectively).
    c. Manage forests to ensure a continual supply of snags and other suitable maternity roost trees.
    d. Conduct prescribed burns outside of the pup season (June 1 to July 31) and/or the active season (April 1 to October 31). Avoid high-intensity burns (causing tree scorch higher than northern long-eared bat roosting heights) during the summer maternity season to minimize direct impacts to northern long-eared bat.
    e. Perform any bridge repair, retrofit, maintenance, and/or rehabilitation work outside of the northern long-eared bat active season (April 1 to October 31) in areas where northern long-eared bats are known to roost on bridges or where such use is likely.
    f. Do not use military smoke and obscurants within forested suitable northern long-eared bat habitat during the pup season (June 1 to July 31) and/or the active season (April 1 to October 31).
    g. Minimize use of herbicides and pesticides. If necessary, spot treatment is preferred over aerial application.
    h. Evaluate the use of outdoor lighting during the active season and seek to minimize light pollution by angling lights downward or via other light minimization measures.
    i. Participate in actions to manage and reduce the impacts of white-nose syndrome on northern long-eared bat. Actions needed to investigate and manage white-nose syndrome are described in a national plan the Service developed in coordination with other state and federal agencies.

Attachment E:

USFWS 4(d) Rule Streamlined Consultation Form (blank)

APPENDIX A

MASSACHUSETTS HISTORICAL COMMISSION
220 MORRISSEY BOULEVARD
BOSTON, MASS. 02125
617-727-8470, FAX: 617-727-5128

PROJECT NOTIFICATION FORM

Project Name: _____

Location / Address: _____

City / Town: _____

Project Proponent

Name: _____

Address: _____

City/Town/Zip/Telephone: _____

Agency license or funding for the project (list all licenses, permits, approvals, grants or other entitlements being sought from state and federal agencies).

Agency Name	Type of License or funding (specify)

Project Description (narrative):

Does the project include demolition? If so, specify nature of demolition and describe the building(s) which are proposed for demolition.

Does the project include rehabilitation of any existing buildings? If so, specify nature of rehabilitation and describe the building(s) which are proposed for rehabilitation.

Does the project include new construction? If so, describe (attach plans and elevations if necessary).

APPENDIX A (continued)

To the best of your knowledge, are any historic or archaeological properties known to exist within the project's area of potential impact? If so, specify.

What is the total acreage of the project area?

Woodland _____	acres	Productive Resources:	
Wetland_____	acres	Agriculture _____	acres
Floodplain_____	acres	Forestry _____	acres
Open space_____	acres	Mining/Extraction _____	acres
Developed _____	acres	Total Project Acreage_____	acres

What is the acreage of the proposed new construction? _____ acres

What is the present land use of the project area?

Please attach a copy of the section of the USGS quadrangle map which clearly marks the project location.

This Project Notification Form has been submitted to the MHC in compliance with 950 CMR 71.00.

Signature of Person submitting this form: _____Date: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

City/Town/Zip: _____

Telephone: _____

REGULATORY AUTHORITY

950 CMR 71.00: M.G.L. c. 9, §§ 26-27C as amended by St. 1988, c. 254.

Attachment F:

MACRIS List of Properties in Hingham on the National Register of Historic Places

Massachusetts Cultural Resource Information System
MACRIS

MACRIS Search Results

Search Criteria: Town(s): Hingham; Resource Type(s): ü, Area, Building, Burial Ground, Object, Structure;

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.B	Beal Area		Hingham	
HIN.C	Fort Hill Street Area		Hingham	
HIN.D	Glad Tidings Historic District		Hingham	
HIN.E	World's End		Hingham	
HIN.F	Hingham Naval Station		Hingham	
HIN.G	Lincoln Historic District		Hingham	
HIN.H	Hingham Centre Historic District		Hingham	
HIN.I	Lincoln Historic District		Hingham	
HIN.J	Lincoln Historic District Extension (Phase I)		Hingham	
HIN.K	Lincoln Historic District Extension (Phase II)		Hingham	
HIN.L	Lincoln Historic District Extension (Phase III)		Hingham	
HIN.M	Liberty Plain Historic District		Hingham	
HIN.N	Bachelor Row - Pear Tree - Lower Main St District		Hingham	
HIN.O	Tower - Wilder Historic District		Hingham	
HIN.P	Tower - Wilder Historic District Extension		Hingham	
HIN.Q	Boston Harbor Islands Archaeological District		Hingham	
HIN.R	Hingham-Cohasset Training Annex		Hingham	
HIN.S	South Hingham Historic District		Hingham	
HIN.T	Skilton Estate - Glastonbury Abbey		Hingham	
HIN.U	Barnes - Old Colony Hill Area		Hingham	
HIN.V	Kilby Street Area		Hingham	
HIN.W	East - Summer Streets Area		Hingham	
HIN.X	Lincoln Historic District Extension		Hingham	
HIN.Y	Hersey - Elm - Central Streets Area		Hingham	
HIN.Z	Fresh River Avenue Area		Hingham	
HIN.AA	U. S. Army Reserve Center		Hingham	

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.AB	Hingham Cemetery		Hingham	
HIN.1463		5 Alden Rd	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1465		8 Alden Rd	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1464		10 Alden Rd	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1466		16 Alden Rd	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.4	Churchill, James House	10 Bank Ave	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.5	Lincoln, M. House	12 Bank Ave	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.6	Moore House	16 Bank Ave	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.7	Thompson, Daniel J. House	18 Bank Ave	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.1416		15 Beal St	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.1417		19 Beal St	Hingham	c 1934
HIN.1418		21 Beal St	Hingham	c 1930
HIN.1419		25 Beal St	Hingham	c 1935
HIN.1420		33 Beal St	Hingham	c 1930
HIN.1421		35 Beal St	Hingham	c 1930
HIN.1422		43 Beal St	Hingham	c 1920
HIN.1423		47 Beal St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1424		49 Beal St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1425		51 Beal St	Hingham	c 1930
HIN.1426		53 Beal St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1427		55 Beal St	Hingham	c 1920
HIN.1428		61 Beal St	Hingham	c 1890
HIN.1429		73 Beal St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1430		77 Beal St	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.1431		81 Beal St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1432		85 Beal St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.9		2 Bishop's Ln	Hingham	1929
HIN.10		3 Blaisdell Rd	Hingham	1949
HIN.1468		6 Bradford Rd	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1467		7 Bradford Rd	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1469		12 Bradford Rd	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1470		14 Bradford Rd	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1471		18 Bradford Rd	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1352	Bradley - Glover, C. B. House	64 Bradley Hill Rd	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1433		5 Burtons Ln	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1434		7 Burtons Ln	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1435		9 Burtons Ln	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1436		11 Burtons Ln	Hingham	r 1850

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.807	Canterbury Street Cemetery	Canterbury St	Hingham	1827
HIN.25	Thaxter - Whiton Building	9 Central St	Hingham	1879
HIN.26		22 Central St	Hingham	c 1780
HIN.1472		29 Central St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1473		33 Central St	Hingham	r 1950
HIN.27		37 Central St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.28		41 Central St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.29		47 Central St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.30		55 Central St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.31		77 Central St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1474		79 Central St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1475		83 Central St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.32	AF & M Old Colony Lodge	85 Central St	Hingham	1928
HIN.1476	Lincoln School	86 Central St	Hingham	1912
HIN.1477		95 Central St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.33	Hingham Massachusetts Army National Guard Armory	96 Central St	Hingham	1910
HIN.1565	Hingham Army National Guard Armory Garage	96 Central St	Hingham	1949
HIN.34	Roseneath Cottage	102 Central St	Hingham	c 1680
HIN.1478		105 Central St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1479		106 Central St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1480		107 Central St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1481		108 Central St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1482		111 Central St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1483		112 Central St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.35	Weston House	120 Central St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.42	Peterson, Dr. John A. House	6 Clark Rd	Hingham	c 1890
HIN.45	Leavitt, John House	5 Common St	Hingham	1799
HIN.46	Whiton, Charles B. House	9 Common St	Hingham	1898
HIN.47	Lane, Frederick L. House	11 Common St	Hingham	1897
HIN.900	Governor Long Bird Sanctuary	Cottage St	Hingham	1958
HIN.48	Hickey - Bouve House	7 Cottage St	Hingham	1871
HIN.49	Nelson, William J. House	10 Cottage St	Hingham	1874
HIN.50	Newcomb, Stephen House	11 Cottage St	Hingham	1872
HIN.51	Nelson, James House	12 Cottage St	Hingham	1876
HIN.52	Nelson, Lois A. House	14 Cottage St	Hingham	1924
HIN.53	Nye, Atkinson House	15 Cottage St	Hingham	1888
HIN.54	Cobb, Andrew House	18 Cottage St	Hingham	1871

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.55		21 Cottage St	Hingham	1949
HIN.56	Lincoln, Alfred L. House	22 Cottage St	Hingham	1896
HIN.57	Humphrey, Capt. Moses L. House	38 Cottage St	Hingham	c 1790
HIN.58	Gay, Martin House	42 Cottage St	Hingham	1909
HIN.59	Glover Cottage	43 Cottage St	Hingham	1888
HIN.60	Branham, Roger L. House	48 Cottage St	Hingham	1924
HIN.61	Leavitt, Joshua House	52 Cottage St	Hingham	1845
HIN.62	Whiton, Peter L. House	55 Cottage St	Hingham	c 1857
HIN.63	Clark, Cyrus T. House	58 Cottage St	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.64	Mayhew, John M. House	59 Cottage St	Hingham	1845
HIN.65		3 Creswell Ln	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.66		4 Creswell Ln	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.67	Fearing, Francis J. Barn	2 Crooked Meadow Ln	Hingham	1878
HIN.68	Fearing, Francis J. House	4 Crooked Meadow Ln	Hingham	1878
HIN.69	Lane, Peter House	22 Cross St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.1312		22 Cross St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.1484		1 Crowes Ln	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1485		5 Crowes Ln	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1486		17 Crowes Ln	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1487		27 Crowes Ln	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1397		95 Cushing Way	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.901	Boston to Plymouth Milestone	East St	Hingham	r 1935
HIN.79	Caryle, Aram House	16 East St	Hingham	r 1775
HIN.83	Corthell, Levi House	28 East St	Hingham	c 1740
HIN.85	Cushing, Joseph House	33 East St	Hingham	1750
HIN.86	Leavitt, Joseph House	34 East St	Hingham	1755
HIN.77	Barnes, Caleb House	88 East St	Hingham	c 1800
HIN.1398	Welch House	98 East St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1399		102 East St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1400		108 East St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1401	Beals House	112 East St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1402	Beals House	114 East St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1403	Webber, Daniel House	118 East St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1404	Colbath House	120 East St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1405		123 East St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.90	Bates Homestead	125 East St	Hingham	1857
HIN.1406		153 East St	Hingham	r 1935
HIN.91	Cushing, Stephen - Lincoln, Gorham House	156 East St	Hingham	c 1751

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1407		162 East St	Hingham	c 1932
HIN.1408		163 East St	Hingham	c 1788
HIN.1409		169 East St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1410		172 East St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1411		175 East St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1412	Torrey, Robert House	187 East St	Hingham	r 1900
HIN.92	Cushing Homestead	210 East St	Hingham	1678
HIN.1413	Weir River Farm	227 East St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.94	Black Horse Tavern Guesthouse	307 East St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.95	Black Horse Tavern - Beal, John House	313 East St	Hingham	c 1700
HIN.96	Higbee, Edward W. House	317 East St	Hingham	1946
HIN.97	Beal, John House	327 East St	Hingham	1877
HIN.98	Christie, James - Benet House	328 East St	Hingham	1918
HIN.99	Jerald, O. F. House	338 East St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.100	Nichols, Eben B. House	342 East St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.101	Beal, Samuel House	348 East St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.102	Pearce, Walter S. House	359 East St	Hingham	1922
HIN.103		360 East St	Hingham	1965
HIN.104	McKenzie, John J. House	368 East St	Hingham	1937
HIN.105	Ayers, Frank P. House	374 East St	Hingham	1924
HIN.106	Wilbur, Leonard A. House	378 East St	Hingham	1922
HIN.107	Duff, Lemuel F. House	390 East St	Hingham	c 1910
HIN.108	Leclair, B. N. House	404 East St	Hingham	1924
HIN.109		6 Eldridge Ct	Hingham	c 1890
HIN.110	Daley, Daniel House	9 Eldridge Ct	Hingham	1894
HIN.111	Ryan, Cornelius House	14 Eldridge Ct	Hingham	c 1898
HIN.112	Breen House	16 Eldridge Ct	Hingham	1828
HIN.113	Eldredge, Reuben House	18 Eldridge Ct	Hingham	1823
HIN.114	Eldridge, Charles H. House	22 Eldridge Ct	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.115	Hingham Shellfish Company	25 Eldridge Ct	Hingham	c 1930
HIN.116	Wherity, Henry E. House	26 Eldridge Ct	Hingham	c 1898
HIN.117	Magnor, Thomas House	32 Eldridge Ct	Hingham	1894
HIN.118		9 Elm St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.119		10 Elm St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.120		14 Elm St	Hingham	r 1950
HIN.121		15 Elm St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.124		19 Elm St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.125		19 Elm St	Hingham	r 1865

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1488		23 Elm St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.122		24 Elm St	Hingham	r 1890
HIN.1489		29 Elm St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.126		30 Elm St	Hingham	1850
HIN.1490		33 Elm St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.127		36 Elm St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1491		37 Elm St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1492		39 Elm St	Hingham	r 1900
HIN.128		40 Elm St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.129		42 Elm St	Hingham	r 1890
HIN.1493		43 Elm St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1494		47 Elm St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1495		48 Elm St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1496		49 Elm St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1497		51 Elm St	Hingham	1923
HIN.130		53 Elm St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1498	Morrisey, Mary House	54 Elm St	Hingham	1899
HIN.1499		56 Elm St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1500		57 Elm St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1502		58 Elm St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1501		59 Elm St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1503		62 Elm St	Hingham	r 1890
HIN.1504		63 Elm St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1505		67 Elm St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1506		71 Elm St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.131		83 Elm St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1507		84 Elm St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1509		7 Emerald St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1508		8 Emerald St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1510		14 Emerald St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1511		17 Emerald St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1512		21 Emerald St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.132		23 Emerald St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1513		24 Emerald St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1514		29 Emerald St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1515		31 Emerald St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1516		32 Emerald St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1517		33 Emerald St	Hingham	r 1920

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1518		34 Emerald St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.134	Lincoln Trust Company	11 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1966
HIN.135	Saint Paul's School	18 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1951
HIN.136	Whiton, Bela House	20 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1857
HIN.137	Southworth, Charles A. House	24 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1885
HIN.138	Barnes, Benjamin House	25 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1845
HIN.139	Tilden, Elijah D. House	28 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1868
HIN.140	Cushing, David House	33 Fearing Rd	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.141	Rice, William B. House	34 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1924
HIN.142	Stevens, Charles E. House	37 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1884
HIN.143	Philbrook, Joseph W. House	38 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1868
HIN.144	Remington, John D. House	39 Fearing Rd	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.145	Hollis, John T. House	41 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1911
HIN.146	Thaxter, Joseph B. House	44 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1912
HIN.147	Litchfield, Frank House	45 Fearing Rd	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.148	Norton, Samuel House	47 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1785
HIN.149	Carr, Earle W. House	52 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1961
HIN.150	Whiting, Walter R. House	53 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1911
HIN.151	MacHale, Richard L. House	58 Fearing Rd	Hingham	1938
HIN.153		1 Forget-me-not Ln	Hingham	1939
HIN.154		2 Forget-me-not Ln	Hingham	1942
HIN.155		3 Forget-me-not Ln	Hingham	1942
HIN.156		4 Forget-me-not Ln	Hingham	1942
HIN.157		5 Forget-me-not Ln	Hingham	1942
HIN.800	Fort Hill Street Cemetery	Fort Hill St	Hingham	1751
HIN.158	Marsh, John House	1 Fort Hill St	Hingham	1749
HIN.160	Marsh, John Jr. House	5-11 Fort Hill St	Hingham	1790
HIN.159	Litchfield, Samuel House	6 Fort Hill St	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.161	Litchfield, Samuel H. House	10 Fort Hill St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.164	Lincoln House	35-37 Fort Hill St	Hingham	
HIN.165	Litchfield, Samuel House	36-38 Fort Hill St	Hingham	1850
HIN.166	Hersey, Edmund House	39 Fort Hill St	Hingham	c 1820
HIN.168	Stodder, Ichabod House	54 Fort Hill St	Hingham	1803
HIN.169	Fort Hill School	56 Fort Hill St	Hingham	1850
HIN.175	Lincoln, Nathaniel House	79 Fort Hill St	Hingham	1761
HIN.179	Stodder, Stephen House	91-93 Fort Hill St	Hingham	1781
HIN.180	Damstra, Sytze House	92 Fort Hill St	Hingham	1906
HIN.183	Peterson, Alfred House	103 Fort Hill St	Hingham	1884

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.184	Stowell, Samuel House	106 Fort Hill St	Hingham	1693
HIN.186	Stowell, Adam House	111-113 Fort Hill St	Hingham	c 1750
HIN.187	Lincoln, William House	117 Fort Hill St	Hingham	c 1860
HIN.188	French, Samuel House	119-123 Fort Hill St	Hingham	1724
HIN.192	Cain, Eben W. House	129 Fort Hill St	Hingham	1867
HIN.195	Stodder, Martin T. House	136 Fort Hill St	Hingham	1870
HIN.196	Hayward House	137 Fort Hill St	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.197	Stodder, Martin B. House	140 Fort Hill St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.200	Cain, Daniel House	146 Fort Hill St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.201	Cain, Daniel House	156 Fort Hill St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.203	Bicknell, Ezra House	164 Fort Hill St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.204	Lincoln, George House	165 Fort Hill St	Hingham	c 1820
HIN.206	Our, William House	171 Fort Hill St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.207		172 Fort Hill St	Hingham	r 1885
HIN.208	Cain, D. - Bicknell, E. L. House	175 Fort Hill St	Hingham	c 1802
HIN.212	Our, Isaac W. House	181-183 Fort Hill St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.227	Whiton, Elijah House	2-4 Friend St	Hingham	1857
HIN.228	Dunn, Michael House	6 Friend St	Hingham	c 1880
HIN.232		82 Gardner St	Hingham	c 1825
HIN.233	Gardner, Lewis House	152 Gardner St	Hingham	c 1825
HIN.234	Gardner, Stephen House	178 Gardner St	Hingham	1776
HIN.236	Gardner, Warren House	218 Gardner St	Hingham	1814
HIN.237	Whiting, Hosea House	261 Gardner St Extension	Hingham	1804
HIN.939	Washington, George Boulevard Bridge	George Washington Bd	Hingham	c 1931
HIN.242	Welch, John House	6 Green St	Hingham	c 1874
HIN.243	Kalah House	9 Green St	Hingham	c 1860
HIN.244	Bassett, Martin House	10 Green St	Hingham	1811
HIN.245		11 Green St	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.246	Driscoll, John E. House	15 Green St	Hingham	c 1890
HIN.247		16 Green St	Hingham	c 1820
HIN.248	Dill, Lemuel House	17 Green St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.249	Daley, Daniel House	19 Green St	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.250	Casey, Jonas A. House	21 Green St	Hingham	c 1860
HIN.251	Magnor, John House	23 Green St	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.252		25 Green St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.253		26 Green St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.254	Palmer, Ebenezer House	29 Green St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.255	Coatsworth, Elizabeth Studio	4 Green St Ct	Hingham	c 1850

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.256	Pyne House	5 Green St Ct	Hingham	c 1860
HIN.257		7 Green St Ct	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.258	Donovan, Jeremiah House	8 Green St Ct	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.259	Kehoe, Thomas House	9 Green St Ct	Hingham	1905
HIN.260		11 Green St Ct	Hingham	c 1910
HIN.261	Wallace House	12 Green St Ct	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.801	Saint Paul's Cemetery	Hersey St	Hingham	1859
HIN.1519		Hersey St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.263		7 Hersey St	Hingham	1889
HIN.264		8 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.265		11 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.266		12 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.267		13 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.268		14 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.269		17 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1865
HIN.270		19 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.271		20 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.272		21 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.273		25 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.274		27 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1520		33 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1950
HIN.1521		35 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1950
HIN.1522		37 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1950
HIN.275		38 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.276		40 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.277		42 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.278		42 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1523		46 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1524		47 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1865
HIN.279		48 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1860
HIN.1525		51 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1865
HIN.280		52 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1526		53 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1527	O'Connell House	55 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.281		56 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1528		58 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1529		62 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1530		65 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1850

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.282		66 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1531		71 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1532		73 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1533		75 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1534		77 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1535		81 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1950
HIN.1536		85 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.283		87 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1865
HIN.284		89 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1865
HIN.1537		93 Hersey St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.310	Whiting Memorial Chapel	High St	Hingham	1905
HIN.802	High Street Cemetery	High St	Hingham	1688
HIN.311	Wilder, Edward F. House	4 High St	Hingham	1901
HIN.315	Clapp, Michael T. House	35 High St	Hingham	c 1800
HIN.316	Wilder, Crocker House	39 High St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.320	Tower House	68 High St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.325	Bates - Wilder, Isaiah House	103 High St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.332	Lane, Ebenezer House	269 High St	Hingham	c 1800
HIN.333	Loud, Ebenezer House	295 High St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.338		344 High St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.341		101 Hobart St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.342		2 Home Meadows Ln	Hingham	1953
HIN.343	Richardson, Rev. Joseph Barn	3 Home Meadows Ln	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.344		4 Home Meadows Ln	Hingham	1955
HIN.345		6 Home Meadows Ln	Hingham	1955
HIN.346		8 Home Meadows Ln	Hingham	1956
HIN.1345	Breen, George T. - Ripley House	8 Hull St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.806	Glastonbury Abbey Cemetery	16 Hull St	Hingham	1978
HIN.947	Skilton, William F. Observation Tower	16 Hull St	Hingham	1920
HIN.948	Glastonbury Abbey Pergola	16 Hull St	Hingham	r 1945
HIN.949	Glastonbury Abbey - Saint Benedict Statue	16 Hull St	Hingham	r 1955
HIN.950	Glastonbury Abbey Stations of the Cross	16 Hull St	Hingham	r 1955
HIN.951	Glastonbury Abbey Grotto	16 Hull St	Hingham	r 1955
HIN.952	Glastonbury Abbey Outdoor Stage	16 Hull St	Hingham	1987
HIN.953	Glastonbury Abbey - Japanese Garden	16 Hull St	Hingham	1973
HIN.1342	Skilton, William F. House	16 Hull St	Hingham	c 1904
HIN.1343	Glastonbury Abbey Chapel	16 Hull St	Hingham	1962
HIN.1344	Skilton, William F. Stables	16 Hull St	Hingham	r 1875

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1348	Glastonbury Abbey Barn	16 Hull St	Hingham	1988
HIN.1349	Glastonbury Abbey Garage	16 Hull St	Hingham	r 1945
HIN.1350	Fisher, Fred B. House - Stonecrest	35 Hull St	Hingham	r 1925
HIN.1351	Fisher Garage - Stonecrest Garage	35 Hull St	Hingham	r 1935
HIN.1346	Skilton Estate Caretaker's House	40 Hull St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1347	Whiting Garage	40 Hull St	Hingham	r 1965
HIN.355	Andrews, Benjamin House	5 Jones St	Hingham	1787
HIN.1582		7 Jones St	Hingham	1997
HIN.356	Lovis, Joseph House	10 Jones St	Hingham	1768
HIN.357		16 Jones St	Hingham	r 1925
HIN.358	Henderson, S. J. House	20 Jones St	Hingham	1872
HIN.359	Faber, Ann W. House	21 Jones St	Hingham	1949
HIN.360	Henderson, Jarvis K. House	22 Jones St	Hingham	1940
HIN.361	Glancy, William House	28 Jones St	Hingham	1947
HIN.362	Jones, Benjamin V. House	29 Jones St	Hingham	1922
HIN.363	Weston, Brad Jr. House	32 Jones St	Hingham	1947
HIN.364	Jones, Benjamin House	38 Jones St	Hingham	1721
HIN.1567	Van Der Zee, Rein House	39 Jones St	Hingham	1932
HIN.1568	Visser, J. Frederick House	43 Jones St	Hingham	1926
HIN.1569	Glasur, John D. House	47 Jones St	Hingham	r 1885
HIN.1570	Damstra, Yute House	47A Jones St	Hingham	r 1925
HIN.1374		88 Justice Cushing Hwy	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.1437		7 Kent's Ln	Hingham	c 1849
HIN.1438		11 Kent's Ln	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.1439		13 Kent's Ln	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.1440		19 Kent's Ln	Hingham	c 1925
HIN.1414		4 Kilby St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1375		69 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1914
HIN.1376		70 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1914
HIN.1377		72 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1378		74 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1379		76 Kilby St	Hingham	r 1910
HIN.1380		78 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1381		80 Kilby St	Hingham	1915
HIN.1382		86 Kilby St	Hingham	1919
HIN.1383		90 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1384		98 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1385		100 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1900

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1386		101 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1933
HIN.1387		102 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1880
HIN.1388		103 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1389		108 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1912
HIN.1390		110 Kilby St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1391		120 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1392		124 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1393		128 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1394		129 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1880
HIN.1395		142 Kilby St	Hingham	r 1950
HIN.1396		156 Kilby St	Hingham	c 1950
HIN.1538		12 Lafayette Ave	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.366		18 Lafayette Ave	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.367	Rich, Atkins S. House	19 Lafayette Ave	Hingham	r 1900
HIN.1539		20 Lafayette Ave	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.368	Wilder, E. F. House	26 Lafayette Ave	Hingham	r 1900
HIN.369		27 Lafayette Ave	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1540		32 Lafayette Ave	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.370		33 Lafayette Ave	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1541		35 Lafayette Ave	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1542		39 Lafayette Ave	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1543		42 Lafayette Ave	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1544		47 Lafayette Ave	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1545		53 Lafayette Ave	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.371	Bates, Caleb House	5 Lantern Ln	Hingham	r 1820
HIN.1546		9 Lantern Ln	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.372	Fearing, Shubal House	25 Lazell St	Hingham	c 1818
HIN.902	Leavitt Street Bridge over Weir River	Leavitt St	Hingham	1954
HIN.1313	Training Annex Bldg #SH5 - Inert Materials Storage	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1314	Training Annex Rocket Motor Loading Plant	Leavitt St	Hingham	1940
HIN.1315	Training Annex - Lunch - Locker - Laundry Building	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1316	Training Annex Oil-fired Heating Plant	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1317	Training Annex Rocket Motor Storage and Unboxing	Leavitt St	Hingham	
HIN.1318	Training Annex Rocket Motor Inhibiting Plant	Leavitt St	Hingham	
HIN.1319	Training Annex Rocket Motor Inspection & Box Plant	Leavitt St	Hingham	

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1320	Training Annex Flammable Materials Storage	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1321	Training Annex Inert Materials Storage Building	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1322	Training Annex Inert Materials Storage Building	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1323	Training Annex Supply Service Administration Bldg	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1324	Training Annex General Storehouse	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1325	Training Annex Vehicle Storage Garage	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1326	Training Annex Fire House - Maintenance Shop	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1327	Training Annex Paint Shop - Maintenance Shop	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1328	Training Annex Coal-fired Heating Plant	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1329	Training Annex Locomotive Maintenance Shop	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1330	Training Annex Water Pump House	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1331	Training Annex Battalion Administration Classrooms	Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1332	Training Annex Petroleum, Oils & Lubricants Shed	Leavitt St	Hingham	
HIN.1579	Boy Scout Troup 1 House	0 Leavitt St	Hingham	1936
HIN.905	Buttonwood Tree, The	1 Leavitt St	Hingham	1793
HIN.373	Corthell, John King House	4 Leavitt St	Hingham	1858
HIN.374	Chimney Corner Gift Shop	5-7 Leavitt St	Hingham	c 1931
HIN.375	Burr, Seth L. House	6 Leavitt St	Hingham	1887
HIN.378	Burr, Levi House	17 Leavitt St	Hingham	1783
HIN.379	Burr, Seth L. House	18 Leavitt St	Hingham	1842
HIN.385	Burr, Pyam C. House	31 Leavitt St	Hingham	1846
HIN.388	Burr, Robert House	39 Leavitt St	Hingham	1850
HIN.390	Burr, John House	43 Leavitt St	Hingham	1755
HIN.392	Ripley, William House	51 Leavitt St	Hingham	1899
HIN.393	Leavitt, David House	57 Leavitt St	Hingham	1861
HIN.394	Burr, Elisha House	61 Leavitt St	Hingham	1740
HIN.396	Burr, Allston P. House	67 Leavitt St	Hingham	1941
HIN.397	Leavitt, John House	73 Leavitt St	Hingham	1752
HIN.398	Sprague, Elijah House	77 Leavitt St	Hingham	1771
HIN.399	Loring, Benjamin House	80 Leavitt St	Hingham	r 1745
HIN.400		84 Leavitt St	Hingham	1989
HIN.401	Hardwick, Charles H. II House	86 Leavitt St	Hingham	1961
HIN.402	Gardner, Caleb F. House	97 Leavitt St	Hingham	r 1885
HIN.403	Souther, Benjamin S. House	101 Leavitt St	Hingham	1861
HIN.404	Souther, Elijah Jr. House	107 Leavitt St	Hingham	1841
HIN.405	Souther, Daniel House	111 Leavitt St	Hingham	1781

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.406	Souther, John S. House	115 Leavitt St	Hingham	1851
HIN.407	Corthell, Fred L. House	123 Leavitt St	Hingham	1892
HIN.408	Crosby, Alanson House	124 Leavitt St	Hingham	1856
HIN.409	Kress, Albert H. House	125 Leavitt St	Hingham	1930
HIN.410	Leavitt, John Homestead	133 Leavitt St	Hingham	1712
HIN.411	Pratt House	138 Leavitt St	Hingham	1963
HIN.1571	Corthell, Joseph House	149 Leavitt St	Hingham	r 1875
HIN.1572		152 Leavitt St	Hingham	1975
HIN.1573		153 Leavitt St	Hingham	1995
HIN.1574		155 Leavitt St	Hingham	1989
HIN.906	Lincoln, Benjamin Marker	Lincoln St	Hingham	1930
HIN.907	Lincoln Statue	Lincoln St	Hingham	1922
HIN.413	New North Meeting House	1 Lincoln St	Hingham	1807
HIN.414	McCarthy, Bridget A. House	5 Lincoln St	Hingham	1912
HIN.415	Beal, Benjamin House	15-17 Lincoln St	Hingham	1804
HIN.416	Crosby, Genevieve House	20 Lincoln St	Hingham	1951
HIN.182	Old Fort House - Indian Fort House	21 Lincoln St	Hingham	1685
HIN.417	Old Ordinary, The	21 Lincoln St	Hingham	c 1688
HIN.418	Hobart, Thomas L. House	22 Lincoln St	Hingham	1850
HIN.419	Thompson, Jessie House	24 Lincoln St	Hingham	1939
HIN.420	Miles, Rev. Henry A. House	25 Lincoln St	Hingham	1881
HIN.421	Wilder, Abiel House	29 Lincoln St	Hingham	1835
HIN.422	Thayer, Albert E. House	30 Lincoln St	Hingham	1875
HIN.423		30R Lincoln St	Hingham	1941
HIN.424	Crosby, Samuel L. House	32 Lincoln St	Hingham	c 1880
HIN.425	Lincoln, Job House	33 Lincoln St	Hingham	1799
HIN.426	Hudson, Dea. Scarlet House	37 Lincoln St	Hingham	1833
HIN.427	Loring, Enos House	38 Lincoln St	Hingham	1868
HIN.428	Sears, Orin House	39 Lincoln St	Hingham	1848
HIN.429	Wilder, William W. House	42 Lincoln St	Hingham	1852
HIN.430	Lincoln, Job House	45 Lincoln St	Hingham	1757
HIN.431	Lincoln Inn Cottage	46 Lincoln St	Hingham	r 1890
HIN.432	Buttimer, Thomas A. House	49 Lincoln St	Hingham	1898
HIN.433	Lincoln House	50 Lincoln St	Hingham	r 1845
HIN.434	Beal, Benjamin House	53 Lincoln St	Hingham	c 1796
HIN.435	Lincoln, Emily Gilbert House	54 Lincoln St	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.436	Wise, Arthur C. House	63 Lincoln St	Hingham	1909
HIN.437	Lincoln, David House	66 Lincoln St	Hingham	1737

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.438	Fearing, Albert Farm	71 Lincoln St	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.439	Gill, Charles House	72 Lincoln St	Hingham	c 1690
HIN.440	Gill, Thomas House	76 Lincoln St	Hingham	1743
HIN.441	Hingham Police Station	169 Lincoln St	Hingham	1937
HIN.804	Hingham Center Cemetery	Main St	Hingham	1684
HIN.911	World War I Memorial	Main St	Hingham	c 1920
HIN.912	World War II Memorial	Main St	Hingham	1946
HIN.913	Korean War Memorial	Main St	Hingham	c 1973
HIN.914	Vietnam War Memorial	Main St	Hingham	c 1975
HIN.919	Boston to Plymouth Milestone	Main St	Hingham	r 1935
HIN.920	Bacon, Cyrus Valentine Fountain	Main St	Hingham	1915
HIN.935	Derby Academy Marker	Main St	Hingham	1819
HIN.940	Home Meadows Conservation Land	Main St	Hingham	
HIN.442	Lincoln Building	5 Main St	Hingham	1859
HIN.443	Southworth, C. A. Building	8 Main St	Hingham	1878
HIN.444	Hingham Town Office Building	14 Main St	Hingham	1913
HIN.446	Sprague Block - Pride Building	19 Main St	Hingham	c 1931
HIN.447		25-29 Main St	Hingham	1933
HIN.448	Derby Academy	34 Main St	Hingham	1818
HIN.908	First Meeting House Marker	34 Main St	Hingham	1939
HIN.449	Joy, Ruth House	48 Main St	Hingham	1757
HIN.450	Hingham Mutual Fire Insurance Company	49 Main St	Hingham	1860
HIN.451	Hingham Institution for Savings	55 Main St	Hingham	1950
HIN.452	Barker, Thomas House	58 Main St	Hingham	1757
HIN.453	Loring Hall	65 Main St	Hingham	1852
HIN.454	Siders, Henry House	66 Main St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.909	Memorial Bell Tower	68R Main St	Hingham	1912
HIN.455	Hingham Cooperative Bank	71 Main St	Hingham	1957
HIN.456	Old Ship Parish House	83 Main St	Hingham	1889
HIN.457		85A Main St	Hingham	c 1920
HIN.458	First Baptist Church	89 Main St	Hingham	1829
HIN.459	Old Ship Meetinghouse	90 Main St	Hingham	1681
HIN.910	Boston to Plymouth Milestone	90 Main St	Hingham	c 1930
HIN.460	Leavitt, Josiah House	93 Main St	Hingham	1774
HIN.461	Lincoln, Mary F. House	100 Main St	Hingham	1888
HIN.462	Alden, Charles H. House	106-108 Main St	Hingham	1871
HIN.463	Whiton, Thomas F. House	107 Main St	Hingham	1867
HIN.464	Thaxter, Jacob House	112 Main St	Hingham	1774

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.465	Thaxter, Thomas House	121-123 Main St	Hingham	1786
HIN.466	Hinckley, Isaac House	126 Main St	Hingham	1811
HIN.467	Stodder, Simon House	132 Main St	Hingham	c 1720
HIN.468	Loring, Abner House	133 Main St	Hingham	1789
HIN.469	Seymour, Charles House	136 Main St	Hingham	1838
HIN.470	Loring, Jotham house	137 Main St	Hingham	1767
HIN.471	Everett, Annah W. House	144 Main St	Hingham	1926
HIN.472	Thaxter, Edward House	147 Main St	Hingham	1811
HIN.473	Cushing, Isaiah House	152-154 Main St	Hingham	1765
HIN.474	Preston, Iva S. House	161 Main St	Hingham	1939
HIN.475	Hazelton, Mary T. House	162 Main St	Hingham	1886
HIN.476	Murfitt, Thomas S. House	167 Main St	Hingham	c 1910
HIN.477	Child, Warren House	171 Main St	Hingham	1909
HIN.478	Saint John The Evangelist Church	172 Main St	Hingham	1919
HIN.479	Child, George F. House	173 Main St	Hingham	1888
HIN.480	Thaxter, Dr. Thomas House	176 Main St	Hingham	1788
HIN.481	Child, Warren H. Jr. House	179 Main St	Hingham	1947
HIN.482	Cushing, Benjamin House	182 Main St	Hingham	1753
HIN.483	Loring, Thomas House	183 Main St	Hingham	1740
HIN.484	Lewis, Joanna K. House	187 Main St	Hingham	c 1846
HIN.485	Richardson, Rev. Joseph House	197 Main St	Hingham	1817
HIN.486	Cushing, Daniel House	207 Main St	Hingham	1690
HIN.487	Howard, Waters House	215 Main St	Hingham	1827
HIN.488	Robbins, Dr. James H. House	218 Main St	Hingham	1887
HIN.489		219 Main St	Hingham	1834
HIN.490	Luce, W. B. House	221 Main St	Hingham	1902
HIN.491	Muenz, Oscar A. House	226 Main St	Hingham	1937
HIN.492	Luce, Stuart B. House	227R Main St	Hingham	c 1924
HIN.493	Gordon, Dr. William House	235 Main St	Hingham	1809
HIN.494		237 Main St	Hingham	c 1924
HIN.495	Stephenson, James House	240 Main St	Hingham	1832
HIN.496	Thomas, Benjamin House	241 Main St	Hingham	1804
HIN.497	Lincoln, John Barker - Ripley, Justin House	243 Main St	Hingham	1808
HIN.498	Stephenson, Dr. Ezra House	244 Main St	Hingham	1851
HIN.499	Farrar, Walter F. House	247 Main St	Hingham	1911
HIN.500	Leavitt, Samuel House	253 Main St	Hingham	1813
HIN.501	Meservey, Benjamin W. House	255 Main St	Hingham	1891
HIN.502	Sprague, Sidney House	259 Main St	Hingham	1874

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.503	Cushing, Henry II House	260 Main St	Hingham	1859
HIN.504	Corthell, Capt. Loring House	262 Main St	Hingham	1836
HIN.505	Burr, Matthew H. House	263 Main St	Hingham	1841
HIN.506	Burr, Matthew House	269 Main St	Hingham	1836
HIN.507	Ripley, Henry F. House	273 Main St	Hingham	1908
HIN.508	Cushing, C. H. Gas Station	274 Main St	Hingham	1935
HIN.510	Burr, Thomas House	289-291 Main St	Hingham	1806
HIN.511	Fearing, C. Store	294 Main St	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.512	Fearing, David House	297 Main St	Hingham	1818
HIN.513	Fearing, William II Store	298 Main St	Hingham	1858
HIN.514	Fearing, Hawkes House	303-309 Main St	Hingham	1784
HIN.515		317 Main St	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.516	Leavitt, Jacob House	318 Main St	Hingham	1765
HIN.517	Loud's Market	319-321 Main St	Hingham	1928
HIN.518	Leavitt, William H. House	324 Main St	Hingham	1888
HIN.519	Howard, William House	328 Main St	Hingham	1884
HIN.520		331 Main St	Hingham	c 1860
HIN.521	Leavitt, Elijah House	338 Main St	Hingham	1795
HIN.522	Hingham Central Fire Station	339 Main St	Hingham	1942
HIN.523	Fearing, Maj. Martin House	340 Main St	Hingham	1811
HIN.525	Ripley House	345-347 Main St	Hingham	1692
HIN.524	Whiton, David House	346 Main St	Hingham	1803
HIN.526	Burr, Lydia H. House	349 Main St	Hingham	1898
HIN.527	Barton, Francis C. House	357 Main St	Hingham	c 1930
HIN.528	Grand Army Memorial Hall	358 Main St	Hingham	1888
HIN.529	Studley, Liba House	360 Main St	Hingham	1911
HIN.530	Bailey, Samuel G. House	361 Main St	Hingham	1833
HIN.531		366 Main St	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.532	Loring, Isaiah W. House	367 Main St	Hingham	1872
HIN.533	Bailey, George House	373 Main St	Hingham	1886
HIN.534	Evangelical Congregational Church of Hingham	378 Main St	Hingham	1848
HIN.535	Loud, Irving L. House	380 Main St	Hingham	1933
HIN.536	Bailey, George House	383 Main St	Hingham	1855
HIN.537	Hobart, George A. House	384 Main St	Hingham	1910
HIN.538	Thompson, George M. House	385 Main St	Hingham	1902
HIN.539	First Church of Christ Scientist	386 Main St	Hingham	1939
HIN.540	Fearing, Morris House	389 Main St	Hingham	1832
HIN.541	Estabrook, Isaac House	392 Main St	Hingham	1861

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.543	Fearing, William Jr. House	408 Main St	Hingham	1860
HIN.544	Whiton, Moses House	409 Main St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.545	Whiton, William House	413 Main St	Hingham	1805
HIN.546	Cross, Moses House	414 Main St	Hingham	1850
HIN.547	Whiton, Matthew House	421 Main St	Hingham	1711
HIN.548		423 Main St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.549	Fearing, Abel House	424 Main St	Hingham	1794
HIN.551		451 Main St	Hingham	1764
HIN.552	Whiton, Israel House	452 Main St	Hingham	c 1780
HIN.557	Hobart, Edmund House	476 Main St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.558		479 Main St	Hingham	1780
HIN.559		498 Main St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.561	Hobart, Seth House	502 Main St	Hingham	1830
HIN.562	Hobart, Ebed House	506 Main St	Hingham	1857
HIN.564	Hobart, Bela House	508 Main St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.565	Sprague, Seth House	514 Main St	Hingham	1730
HIN.566		521 Main St	Hingham	1810
HIN.567	Tower Homestead	528 Main St	Hingham	1664
HIN.568	Fearing, Washington I. House	532 Main St	Hingham	1890
HIN.569		536 Main St	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.1333		549 Main St	Hingham	1977
HIN.570	Wilder, Jabez House	557-559 Main St	Hingham	1761
HIN.571	Ripley, Henry House	562 Main St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.572	Bartlett, Daniel House	565 Main St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.573		566 Main St	Hingham	1985
HIN.574	Wilder, Ezra House	567 Main St	Hingham	1841
HIN.575	Hersey, Peter III House	570 Main St	Hingham	c 1833
HIN.576	Tower, William S. House	573 Main St	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.577	Fearing, William House	574 Main St	Hingham	c 1838
HIN.578	Hardy, Stuart S. House	577 Main St	Hingham	c 1960
HIN.579	South Shore Baptist Church	578 Main St	Hingham	1956
HIN.580	Tower, Reuben House	583 Main St	Hingham	1815
HIN.581	Tower, Leavitt House	589 Main St	Hingham	1761
HIN.582	Hart, John House	592 Main St	Hingham	c 1880
HIN.583	Wilder, Edward House	597 Main St	Hingham	1650
HIN.584	Russell, Leon B. House	598 Main St	Hingham	1940
HIN.585	Torrey, William C. - Morton, John T. House	600 Main St	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.586	Wilder, Joshua House	605 Main St	Hingham	c 1760

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.587	Torrey, William Barn	606 Main St	Hingham	r 1845
HIN.588	Torrey, William House	608 Main St	Hingham	1820
HIN.589		613 Main St	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.590	Antonelli, Paul House	620 Main St	Hingham	1967
HIN.591	Burr, Chauncey House	626 Main St	Hingham	1911
HIN.592	Jacob, John House	627 Main St	Hingham	1787
HIN.593	Jacob, John Barn	629 Main St	Hingham	r 1845
HIN.594	Dunbar, Seth House	630 Main St	Hingham	r 1845
HIN.595	Hersey, Seth S. House	632 Main St	Hingham	1818
HIN.596	Beal, James S. House	638 Main St	Hingham	1838
HIN.597	Goodrich, Paul House	639 Main St	Hingham	1959
HIN.598	Cook, Charles R. House	640 Main St	Hingham	1820
HIN.599	Jacob, Peter House	648 Main St	Hingham	1752
HIN.600	Jacob, Joseph House	649 Main St	Hingham	1822
HIN.601	Lane, Quincy House	650 Main St	Hingham	1833
HIN.602	Jacob, Daniel House	651 Main St	Hingham	1801
HIN.603	Lane, Josiah House	654 Main St	Hingham	1846
HIN.604	Jacob, Peter House	657 Main St	Hingham	1799
HIN.605	Jacob, George F. House	658 Main St	Hingham	1874
HIN.606	Cushing, Jonathan House	659 Main St	Hingham	1788
HIN.607	Cushing, Alonzo House	662 Main St	Hingham	1890
HIN.608	Wilder Memorial Hall	666 Main St	Hingham	1879
HIN.609	Cushing, William G. House	667 Main St	Hingham	1835
HIN.610	Whitney, Nicholas B. House	670 Main St	Hingham	1801
HIN.611	Fearing, Ezekiel House	675 Main St	Hingham	1813
HIN.612	Lane, Quincy House	676 Main St	Hingham	1819
HIN.613	Stoddard, Caleb House	679 Main St	Hingham	1825
HIN.614	Gardner, Jeremiah House	681 Main St	Hingham	1823
HIN.615	Wilder, Crocker House	682 Main St	Hingham	1801
HIN.616	Second Parish Church	685 Main St	Hingham	1742
HIN.917	Boston to Hingham Milestone	685 Main St	Hingham	1754
HIN.617	Wilder, Edward House	688 Main St	Hingham	1805
HIN.618	Cushing, Robert House	691 Main St	Hingham	1850
HIN.619	Cushing, Lt. Elisha House	692 Main St	Hingham	1715
HIN.620	Shute, Isaiah House	693 Main St	Hingham	1878
HIN.621	Jacob, Joseph Jr. House	694 Main St	Hingham	1868
HIN.622	Cushing, Pyam House	699 Main St	Hingham	1840
HIN.623	Cushing, John House	700 Main St	Hingham	1839

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.944	Glad Tidings Rock	700 Main St	Hingham	
HIN.625	Wilder, William C. House	703 Main St	Hingham	1857
HIN.626	Wilder, Edward House	704 Main St	Hingham	1848
HIN.627	Cushing, Pyam House	707-711 Main St	Hingham	1748
HIN.628	Cushing, Seth House	712 Main St	Hingham	1794
HIN.629	Williams, Maude S. House	715 Main St	Hingham	1930
HIN.630	Cushing, Seth House	718 Main St	Hingham	1830
HIN.631	Wilder, Edward House	720 Main St	Hingham	1837
HIN.632	Cushing, Andrew C. House	721 Main St	Hingham	1864
HIN.633	Cushing, Seth Jr. House	726 Main St	Hingham	1819
HIN.634	Cushing, Henry House	727 Main St	Hingham	1822
HIN.635	Cushing, Seth B. House	730 Main St	Hingham	r 1835
HIN.636	Dunbar, Amos House	733 Main St	Hingham	1781
HIN.637	Cushing, Elijah H. House	735 Main St	Hingham	1924
HIN.638	Cushing, Isaac H. House	737 Main St	Hingham	1858
HIN.639	Cushing, Alonzo Store	738 Main St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.640	Cushing, Alonzo House	741 Main St	Hingham	1850
HIN.641	French, Edmund House	745 Main St	Hingham	1850
HIN.642	Cushing, Charles Whiting House	748 Main St	Hingham	1850
HIN.643	Thorn, Abner House	749 Main St	Hingham	1880
HIN.644	Cushing, Theophilus House	753 Main St	Hingham	1687
HIN.645	Young, Calvin H. House	754 Main St	Hingham	c 1852
HIN.646	Cushing, Theophilus House - Cushing Tavern	757 Main St	Hingham	1746
HIN.647	Chase, Ruth S. House	761 Main St	Hingham	1940
HIN.648	Prouty House	764 Main St	Hingham	1911
HIN.649	Duggan, Henry M. House	767 Main St	Hingham	1955
HIN.651	Shute, Rev. Daniel House	768 Main St	Hingham	1745
HIN.945	Fulling Mill Aqueduct	768 Main St	Hingham	1725
HIN.652	Loring, Job House	771 Main St	Hingham	1728
HIN.655	Loring, Elpalet House	803-805 Main St	Hingham	1827
HIN.656	Loring, Enos House	810 Main St	Hingham	1820
HIN.661		941 Main St	Hingham	c 1855
HIN.662	Grow, Isabel P. House	957 Main St	Hingham	1938
HIN.663		958 Main St	Hingham	1979
HIN.664	Gardner, Benjamin House	962 Main St	Hingham	1696
HIN.665	Wilder, Samuel House	963 Main St	Hingham	1756
HIN.666	Loring, Melatiah House	970 Main St	Hingham	1860
HIN.667	Wilder, Samuel Jr. House	971 Main St	Hingham	1782

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.668	Loring, Henry House	974 Main St	Hingham	1912
HIN.669	Wilcoxson, Harmon B. House	978 Main St	Hingham	1935
HIN.670	Powers, William H. House	979 Main St	Hingham	1921
HIN.671	Gardner, Lucy House	982 Main St	Hingham	c 1885
HIN.672	Sprague, Israel House	987 Main St	Hingham	1810
HIN.673	Loring, George M. Jr. House	988 Main St	Hingham	1952
HIN.674	Gardner, Thomas House	995 Main St	Hingham	1754
HIN.803	Liberty Plain Cemetery	996 Main St	Hingham	1739
HIN.675	Gardner, Samuel House	1006 Main St	Hingham	1737
HIN.676		1007 Main St	Hingham	1934
HIN.677	Wilder, Daniel Store	1011 Main St	Hingham	1836
HIN.678	Loud, Harrison F. House	1012 Main St	Hingham	1930
HIN.679		1018 Main St	Hingham	1933
HIN.680	Whiton, Daniel House	1019 Main St	Hingham	1768
HIN.681		1020 Main St	Hingham	1950
HIN.682	Gardner, Jesse House	1029 Main St	Hingham	1816
HIN.683	Gardner, Anthony House	1030 Main St	Hingham	1822
HIN.684	Gardner, Samuel House	1035 Main St	Hingham	1738
HIN.685	South Mixed School	1036 Main St	Hingham	1843
HIN.686		1040 Main St	Hingham	1924
HIN.687		1045 Main St	Hingham	1948
HIN.688	Wilder, Hannah House	1046 Main St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.689	Church of Resurrection Rectory	1057 Main St	Hingham	1966
HIN.690	Church of the Resurrection	1057 Main St	Hingham	1957
HIN.691	Loring, Julian C. House	1062 Main St	Hingham	1846
HIN.692	Sprague, Seth House	1075 Main St	Hingham	1865
HIN.693	Sprague, Seth House	1079 Main St	Hingham	1859
HIN.694		1082 Main St	Hingham	1774
HIN.695	Whiton, Enoch House	1083 Main St	Hingham	c 1715
HIN.696	Gardner, James L. House	1088 Main St	Hingham	1846
HIN.697	Whiton, Enoch House	1108 Main St	Hingham	1757
HIN.698	Gardiner, James House	1126 Main St	Hingham	1716
HIN.699	Whiton, Samuel Jr. House	1166 Main St	Hingham	1733
HIN.700	Cushing, David - Chubbuck House	1175 Main St	Hingham	1719
HIN.702	Chubbuck, Thomas Jr. House	1191 Main St	Hingham	1778
HIN.703	Whiton, Daniel House	1217 Main St	Hingham	1766
HIN.704	Whiting, Davis Tavern	1220-1222 Main St	Hingham	1775
HIN.705	Whiting, Perez House	1231 Main St	Hingham	1812

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.706	Whiting, Daniel and Perez Store	1237 Main St	Hingham	1866
HIN.1547		9 Maple St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1548		14 Maple St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1549		15 Maple St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1550		17 Maple St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1551		20 Maple St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1552		21 Maple St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1553		23 Maple St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.954	Martin's Well Dam	Martin's Ln	Hingham	r 1635
HIN.1353		10 Martin's Ln	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1354		20 Martin's Ln	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1355	Hill, E. A. House	22 Martin's Ln	Hingham	c 1889
HIN.1356	Hill, E. A. Carriage House	34 Martin's Ln	Hingham	c 1890
HIN.709	Coleman, Cornelius House	16 Merrill St	Hingham	c 1893
HIN.710	Leavitt, Ezra House	16 Middle St	Hingham	1805
HIN.711	Foster, Lewis W. House	20 Middle St	Hingham	1919
HIN.712	Howard, George W. House	21 Middle St	Hingham	1914
HIN.713	Stephenson, James House	24 Middle St	Hingham	1799
HIN.714	Gifford, Edward C. House	29 Middle St	Hingham	1914
HIN.715	Nickerson, Sparrow House	32 Middle St	Hingham	1810
HIN.716	Douglas, Jerome House	33 Middle St	Hingham	1924
HIN.717	Nickerson, Anson House	34 Middle St	Hingham	1849
HIN.718	Gates, Myron C. House	38 Middle St	Hingham	1914
HIN.719	Wade, Carrie L. House	39 Middle St	Hingham	1926
HIN.720	Sprague, Ephraim House	40 Middle St	Hingham	1755
HIN.721	Sprague, Martin House	44 Middle St	Hingham	1829
HIN.722	Foster, Emma F. House	45 Middle St	Hingham	1886
HIN.723	Lincoln, John House	48 Middle St	Hingham	1875
HIN.724	Ripley, Harry P. House	49 Middle St	Hingham	1899
HIN.725	Reed, Frank W. House	51 Middle St	Hingham	1897
HIN.726	Hersey, Isaiah House	54 Middle St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.727		5 Miles Rd	Hingham	c 1911
HIN.728	Keene, Henry W. House	10 Miles Rd	Hingham	1909
HIN.729	Pope, Arthur K. House	16 Miles Rd	Hingham	1909
HIN.730	Smith, Eliphalet Jr. House	17 Miles Rd	Hingham	1812
HIN.1334	Thompson, Merrill N. House	11 Mill Ln	Hingham	1927
HIN.1335		12 Mill Ln	Hingham	1938
HIN.1336	Spaulding, Lewis W. House	17 Mill Ln	Hingham	1926

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1337	Kenney, Richard House	20 Mill Ln	Hingham	1965
HIN.1338		25 Mill Ln	Hingham	1935
HIN.1339	Bell, Louis H. House	30 Mill Ln	Hingham	1926
HIN.946		36 Mill Ln	Hingham	r 1685
HIN.1340		36 Mill Ln	Hingham	1960
HIN.1341	Clemens, Chester H. House	36 Mill Ln	Hingham	1957
HIN.731		4 New Bridge St	Hingham	r 1960
HIN.732		7 New Bridge St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.733		8 New Bridge St	Hingham	r 1960
HIN.734		11-13 New Bridge St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.735		12 New Bridge St	Hingham	r 1860
HIN.736		18 New Bridge St	Hingham	c 1925
HIN.1358		31 New Bridge St	Hingham	r 1910
HIN.1359		33 New Bridge St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.1360		37 New Bridge St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1361	Higgins House	43 New Bridge St	Hingham	1890
HIN.1564		65 New Bridge St	Hingham	
HIN.737	Great Hill	161 New Bridge St	Hingham	1898
HIN.921	Hobart, Peter Marker	North St	Hingham	1913
HIN.738	Hingham Grain Mill	2-6 North St	Hingham	1643
HIN.739	Hingham Grain Mill Extension	8 North St	Hingham	1723
HIN.740	Hingham Grain Mill Warehouse	14 North St	Hingham	1914
HIN.741	Quinn, William F. Gas Station and Bicycle Shop	15-17 North St	Hingham	1950
HIN.742	Hickey, John House	16 North St	Hingham	1827
HIN.743		16 1/2 North St	Hingham	1979
HIN.744	Bailey, Caleb House	19-21 North St	Hingham	1823
HIN.745	Casey, George M. and Company	20 North St	Hingham	1893
HIN.746	Landers, Julia E. House	23 North St	Hingham	1925
HIN.747	Lincoln, Jotham Store	24 North St	Hingham	r 1835
HIN.748	Harding, Thomas House	25-27 North St	Hingham	1810
HIN.749	Crehan, Thomas L. Blacksmith Shop	28 North St	Hingham	1891
HIN.750		29 North St	Hingham	1896
HIN.751	Cobb, David House	30 North St	Hingham	r 1885
HIN.752	Cobb, David House	31 North St	Hingham	1853
HIN.753	Beal, Leavitt B. House	34 North St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.754	Howard, Ned House	35 North St	Hingham	1817
HIN.755		36 North St	Hingham	r 1935
HIN.756		38 North St	Hingham	1875

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.757	Bake House, The	40 North St	Hingham	r 1825
HIN.758	Stockwell, Otis House	41 North St	Hingham	1871
HIN.759		44 North St	Hingham	c 1810
HIN.760	Leavitt, Israel House	45 North St	Hingham	1716
HIN.761	Litchfield Barn	45R North St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.762	Lowe, Samuel House	51-53 North St	Hingham	1737
HIN.763	Whalen, Ellen House	55 North St	Hingham	c 1900
HIN.764	Lincoln, Jotham Jr. House	57 North St	Hingham	1818
HIN.765	Waters, Elijah Tavern	67-71 North St	Hingham	1753
HIN.766		72-74 North St	Hingham	c 1955
HIN.767	Waters, Elijah Jr. Tavern	73 North St	Hingham	1762
HIN.768	Nye, Cornelius House	79-81 North St	Hingham	c 1687
HIN.769		81R North St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.770		84 North St	Hingham	1948
HIN.771	Loud, Thomas House	88 North St	Hingham	1822
HIN.772	Gay, Rev. Ebenezer House	89 North St	Hingham	1728
HIN.773		102 North St	Hingham	r 1965
HIN.774	Leavitt, Elisha House	103-105 North St	Hingham	r 1650
HIN.775	Thayer, Joshua House	110-112 North St	Hingham	1822
HIN.776	Thayer, Joshua Building	114 North St	Hingham	1822
HIN.777	Hingham Bank Building	115 North St	Hingham	1833
HIN.778		118 North St	Hingham	1969
HIN.779	U. S. Post Office - Hingham Branch	121 North St	Hingham	1964
HIN.780	Loring, Abner Building	124 North St	Hingham	r 1785
HIN.781		140 North St	Hingham	1956
HIN.782	Saint Paul's Church	147 North St	Hingham	1871
HIN.783	Fearing, Albert House	147 North St	Hingham	r 1725
HIN.784	Lincoln, Enoch House	164 North St	Hingham	1784
HIN.785	Dix, Roger S. House	166 North St	Hingham	1926
HIN.786	Lincoln, Samuel House	170 North St	Hingham	1721
HIN.787	Fiske, Robert Treat Paine House	174 North St	Hingham	1830
HIN.788	Andrew, Gov. John A. House	178 North St	Hingham	1916
HIN.789	Lincoln, Gen. Benjamin House	181 North St	Hingham	c 1715
HIN.790	Lincoln, Samuel House	182 North St	Hingham	r 1750
HIN.791	Fearing, Israel House	185 North St	Hingham	c 1673
HIN.792		188 North St	Hingham	r 1865
HIN.793		190 North St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.794	Overton, Francis House	191 North St	Hingham	1874

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.795		192 North St	Hingham	r 1865
HIN.796	First Universalist Society Meeting House	196 North St	Hingham	1829
HIN.797	Cushing, David II House	197 North St	Hingham	1849
HIN.798	Kimball, James H. House	198 North St	Hingham	c 1922
HIN.799	Lane, Isaac House	199 North St	Hingham	1810
HIN.1000		200 North St	Hingham	c 1922
HIN.1001		202 North St	Hingham	c 1922
HIN.1002	Lane, Isaac House	203 North St	Hingham	1837
HIN.1003		206 North St	Hingham	c 1922
HIN.1004	Gross, Simon House	207 North St	Hingham	1698
HIN.1005	Kingman, George House	209-211 North St	Hingham	1832
HIN.1006	Hersey, Laban House	210-212 North St	Hingham	1840
HIN.1007		213 North St	Hingham	1927
HIN.1008	Hersey, Laban Store	214-216 North St	Hingham	r 1825
HIN.1009	Thaxter, Caleb House	215 North St	Hingham	1775
HIN.1010	Hobart, Samuel House	217 North St	Hingham	r 1750
HIN.1011	Hunt, George House	219 North St	Hingham	1851
HIN.1012		222 North St	Hingham	r 1885
HIN.1013	Thaxter, Joseph House	223 North St	Hingham	1743
HIN.1014	Hersey, Ira G. House	229 North St	Hingham	1750
HIN.1015	Hersey, Ira G. Barn	229 North St	Hingham	r 1865
HIN.1016	Torrent Fire Station	232 North St	Hingham	1942
HIN.1017	Methodist Episcopal Meeting House	235 North St	Hingham	1828
HIN.1018	Lincoln, Josiah Jr. House	239 North St	Hingham	1760
HIN.1019	Goold House	241 North St	Hingham	r 1835
HIN.1020	Hobart, David House	243-245 North St	Hingham	1752
HIN.1021		243A North St	Hingham	r 1895
HIN.1022	Wilder, Seth S. House	246 North St	Hingham	1829
HIN.1023	Stoddard, Martin House	247 North St	Hingham	r 1845
HIN.1024	Wilder, Jane Marsh House	248 North St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.1025	Wilder, Nathaniel House	249-251 North St	Hingham	1799
HIN.1026	Lincoln, Martin House	250-254 North St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.1027	Peakes, Joseph House	253 North St	Hingham	c 1820
HIN.1028	French House	255 North St	Hingham	c 1800
HIN.1029		255R North St	Hingham	r 1905
HIN.1030		256 North St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.1031		257 North St	Hingham	r 1845
HIN.1032	Marsh, Peter House	259 North St	Hingham	r 1825

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1033	MacNaughton Cottage	259A North St	Hingham	c 1905
HIN.1034	Marsh, Stephen House	261 North St	Hingham	1805
HIN.1035	Humphrey, Frederick House	263 North St	Hingham	1889
HIN.1036	Humphrey, Frederick House	267 North St	Hingham	1897
HIN.1037		269-271 North St	Hingham	1750
HIN.1038		270 North St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.1039	Whiton Barn	272 North St	Hingham	r 1865
HIN.1040	Lincoln, Stephen House	279 North St	Hingham	1726
HIN.1041	Beal, Joseph House	287 North St	Hingham	1781
HIN.1042	Pearmain House	290 North St	Hingham	1962
HIN.1043	Beal, Jacob Jr. House	295 North St	Hingham	1796
HIN.1044	Lane, Jeremiah House	299 North St	Hingham	1752
HIN.1045	Thayer, Jairus House	302 North St	Hingham	r 1845
HIN.1046	Beal, David Jr. House	303 North St	Hingham	1760
HIN.1047		304 North St	Hingham	r 1925
HIN.1048	Lincoln, James L. House	305 North St	Hingham	1874
HIN.1049		305A North St	Hingham	c 1921
HIN.1050	French, Levi House	306 North St	Hingham	1828
HIN.1051	Beal, Elijah House	307-309 North St	Hingham	1737
HIN.1052		308-310 North St	Hingham	r 1825
HIN.1053		314 North St	Hingham	1937
HIN.1054	Dawes House	315-317 North St	Hingham	r 1825
HIN.1055	Lincoln, Thomas House	319 North St	Hingham	r 1680
HIN.1056	Hudson, Augustus L. House	323 North St	Hingham	1857
HIN.1057	Lane, George House	329 North St	Hingham	1756
HIN.1058	Marsh, Lot House	335 North St	Hingham	1785
HIN.1365		31 Old Colony Rd	Hingham	c 1925
HIN.1554		11 Oregon Ct	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1555		13 Oregon Ct	Hingham	r 1865
HIN.1556		15 Oregon Ct	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1557		17 Oregon Ct	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.922	Hingham Town Pier	Otis St	Hingham	c 1857
HIN.923	Memorial Park	Otis St	Hingham	1926
HIN.924	Soldiers and Sailors of All Wars Memorial	Otis St	Hingham	c 1922
HIN.925	Iron Horse Marker	Otis St	Hingham	1929
HIN.926	Cole, Herbert Bandstand	Otis St	Hingham	1966
HIN.927	Hingham Bathing Beach	Otis St	Hingham	1747
HIN.1059	Papgeorge Diner - Page's Diner	2-4 Otis St	Hingham	1948

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1060		3 Otis St	Hingham	c 1950
HIN.1065	Loring, Jonathan House	3 Pleasant St	Hingham	1788
HIN.1066	Thom, James R. House	5 Pleasant St	Hingham	1904
HIN.1067	Loring, Zenas House	10 Pleasant St	Hingham	r 1835
HIN.1068	Sprague, Josiah House	11 Pleasant St	Hingham	r 1875
HIN.1069		11A Pleasant St	Hingham	1883
HIN.1070	Ripley, John House	15 Pleasant St	Hingham	1825
HIN.1071	Hersey, Ezekial House	17 Pleasant St	Hingham	1796
HIN.1072	Sprague, Blossom House	19 Pleasant St	Hingham	1813
HIN.1073	Bailey, Samuel G. House	24-26 Pleasant St	Hingham	1845
HIN.1074	Lincoln, Ebenezer House	29 Pleasant St	Hingham	1733
HIN.1075	Bibby, George M. House	30 Pleasant St	Hingham	1925
HIN.1076	Fearing, Thomas and John House	36 Pleasant St	Hingham	1808
HIN.1077	Eaton, Charles Jr. House	37 Pleasant St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1079	Fearing, John House	44 Pleasant St	Hingham	1755
HIN.1080	Downey, Charles R. House	47 Pleasant St	Hingham	1899
HIN.1081	Treadway, Thomas A. House	49 Pleasant St	Hingham	1874
HIN.1082	Kelley, Forbes W. House	50 Pleasant St	Hingham	1955
HIN.1083	Trowbridge, Henry House	51 Pleasant St	Hingham	1892
HIN.1084	Lincoln, John House	54 Pleasant St	Hingham	1781
HIN.1085	Stoddard, Martin T. House	55 Pleasant St	Hingham	1894
HIN.1086	Gill, Samuel House	59-61 Pleasant St	Hingham	1769
HIN.1087	James, Olive C. House	60 Pleasant St	Hingham	1949
HIN.1088	Cushing, Capt. Adna House	63 Pleasant St	Hingham	1811
HIN.1089	Lewis, George House	65 Pleasant St	Hingham	1850
HIN.1581	Greenfield, Abraham House	69 Pleasant St	Hingham	1920
HIN.1090	Cushing, Francis House	72 Pleasant St	Hingham	1820
HIN.1091	Lewis, John B. House	74 Pleasant St	Hingham	1869
HIN.1580	Cole, Herbert A. Jr. House	79 Pleasant St	Hingham	1954
HIN.1092		80 Pleasant St	Hingham	1985
HIN.1575	Perkins, Arnold M. Jr. House	85 Pleasant St	Hingham	1952
HIN.1093	Cushing, Jacob House	86 Pleasant St	Hingham	1750
HIN.1576	Bean, Charles J. House	89 Pleasant St	Hingham	1951
HIN.1577	Hatch, William R. House	92 Pleasant St	Hingham	1925
HIN.1094	Sprague, Peter House	2 Pond St	Hingham	1799
HIN.1095	Lincoln, Ebenezer House	8 Pond St	Hingham	1756
HIN.1096	Hatch, Edward House	10 Pond St	Hingham	1925
HIN.1097	Sprague, Moses House	12 Pond St	Hingham	1843

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1098	Hersey, Jacob House	13 Pond St	Hingham	1854
HIN.1099	Bailey, Samuel G. House	17-19 Pond St	Hingham	1849
HIN.1100	Bailey, Samuel G. House	18 Pond St	Hingham	1850
HIN.1101	Sprague, J and S Shop	21 Pond St	Hingham	r 1875
HIN.1102	Hutchins, Abbie B. House	22 Pond St	Hingham	1894
HIN.1104		7 Prospect St	Hingham	1967
HIN.1105	Hersey, Joshua Jr. House	185 Prospect St	Hingham	r 1775
HIN.1106	Dunbar, Samuel House	208 Prospect St	Hingham	r 1750
HIN.1107	Hersey, Benjamin House	215 Prospect St	Hingham	c 1780
HIN.1108	Hersey, Joshua Jr. House	218-220 Prospect St	Hingham	r 1775
HIN.1362		2 Quincy Ave	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1363		4 Quincy Ave	Hingham	r 1900
HIN.1364		6 Quincy Ave	Hingham	r 1900
HIN.1110	Ritter, Fred A. House	2 Ritter Rd	Hingham	1934
HIN.938	Route 3A Bridge	Route 3A	Hingham	1932
HIN.941	Route 3A Bridge	Route 3A	Hingham	1942
HIN.956	Route 3A Bridge over Weymouth Back River	Rt 3A	Hingham	
HIN.1111	Lane, Edgar M. House	23 School St	Hingham	1889
HIN.1112	Leavitt, William H. House	27 School St	Hingham	1876
HIN.1113	Antoine, George E. House	31 School St	Hingham	r 1925
HIN.1114	Farrar, Herbert W. House	35 School St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1115		39 School St	Hingham	r 1925
HIN.1116		41 School St	Hingham	r 1925
HIN.1117	Stephenson, Luther House	45 School St	Hingham	1831
HIN.1118	Sprague House	47 School St	Hingham	1878
HIN.1119	King, Benjamin House	48 School St	Hingham	1752
HIN.1120	Sprague, Daniel House	49 School St	Hingham	1857
HIN.1121	Bezanson, Paul A. House	50 School St	Hingham	1951
HIN.1122	Burr, David House	53 School St	Hingham	1847
HIN.1123	Higgins, Joshua House	54 School St	Hingham	1857
HIN.1124	Burr, Joseph C. House	56 School St	Hingham	r 1875
HIN.1125	Sprague, Seth House	59 School St	Hingham	1861
HIN.1126	Gates, Anna F. House	64 School St	Hingham	1950
HIN.1127	Hodges, William H. House	66 School St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1128	Litchfield, Wilbur T. Barn	68 School St	Hingham	1896
HIN.1129	Bacon, Ronald L. House	73 School St	Hingham	1952
HIN.1130	Cushing, Col. Charles House	74 School St	Hingham	1785
HIN.1132	Corbett, John M. House	7 Ship St	Hingham	r 1845

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1133	Waters, Isaac House	8-10 Ship St	Hingham	1835
HIN.1134	Palmer, Hartwell A. House	9 Ship St	Hingham	1871
HIN.1135	Whelan, Delia M. House	11 Ship St	Hingham	1941
HIN.1136	Lincoln Barzillai House	13 Ship St	Hingham	1874
HIN.1137	Lincoln, George Jr. House	15 Ship St	Hingham	1854
HIN.1138	Hubbard, Eliot House	18 Ship St	Hingham	1929
HIN.1139	Lincoln, George House	19 Ship St	Hingham	1832
HIN.1140	Humphrey, Abner House	24 Ship St	Hingham	1874
HIN.1141	Churchill, Jonathan House	25 Ship St	Hingham	1753
HIN.1142	Beal, Capt. George - Gardner, Giles House	26 Ship St	Hingham	1831
HIN.1143	Souther, John House	31 Ship St	Hingham	1792
HIN.1144	Water, Isaac - Humphrey, Abner House	32-34 Ship St	Hingham	1828
HIN.929	Playne Monument	Short St	Hingham	1928
HIN.1145	Hingham Centre School - Central Fire Station	8 Short St	Hingham	1857
HIN.1146	Beale Barn	3 Side Hill Rd	Hingham	1878
HIN.1147	Hersey, Jeremiah House	2 South Lane	Hingham	1755
HIN.1219	Cushing, Abel House	46 South Pleasant St	Hingham	1720
HIN.1221	Cushing, David House	72 South Pleasant St	Hingham	r 1865
HIN.1222	Cushing, Hosea House	82 South Pleasant St	Hingham	1727
HIN.1223	Cushing, Ebenezer House	192 South Pleasant St	Hingham	1745
HIN.1161	Town Brook Station	South St	Hingham	1970
HIN.805	Hingham Cemetery	12 South St	Hingham	1672
HIN.903	Hingham Cemetery - Girl	12 South St	Hingham	
HIN.930	Hingham Cemetery - Soldiers and Sailors Memorial	12 South St	Hingham	1870
HIN.931	Hingham Cemetery - Andrew, Gov. John Monument	12 South St	Hingham	1875
HIN.932	Hingham Cemetery - Lincoln, Gen. Benjamin Tomb	12 South St	Hingham	1852
HIN.933	Hingham Cemetery - First Settlers Monument	12 South St	Hingham	1839
HIN.943	Hingham Cemetery - Angel of Grief Statue	12 South St	Hingham	c 1895
HIN.1148	Hingham Cemetery - Ames Memorial Chapel	12 South St	Hingham	1886
HIN.1149	Whiton, Isaiah House	24 South St	Hingham	1810
HIN.1150	Hingham Water Company Building	28 South St	Hingham	1882
HIN.1151		33 South St	Hingham	1890
HIN.1152	Thayer, Elihu Building	39 South St	Hingham	r 1865
HIN.1153	Abbott Building	57 South St	Hingham	r 1845
HIN.1154	Hersey, Abner House	60 South St	Hingham	1805
HIN.1155		64 South St	Hingham	1960

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1156	Loring, G. M. Studio	64R South St	Hingham	1961
HIN.1157	Andrews - Loring Building	65 South St	Hingham	1783
HIN.1158	Whiton, Israel House	66 South St	Hingham	1806
HIN.1159	Thaxter, John House	70 South St	Hingham	1718
HIN.1160	Hingham Journal Building	73 South St	Hingham	1899
HIN.1162		86-98 South St	Hingham	1956
HIN.1163		93 South St	Hingham	1966
HIN.1164		99 South St	Hingham	1952
HIN.1165		103 South St	Hingham	c 1910
HIN.1166	Spaulding, Dr. Samuel H. House	104 South St	Hingham	1892
HIN.1167		107 South St	Hingham	r 1875
HIN.1168	Kearn Ice Cream Parlor	114 South St	Hingham	r 1845
HIN.1169	Best Realty Trust Company	115 South St	Hingham	c 1941
HIN.1170	Thaxter, Jonathan House	118 South St	Hingham	1767
HIN.1171	Best Realty Trust Company	119 South St	Hingham	1940
HIN.1172	Hersey, Ebed House	120 South St	Hingham	1763
HIN.1173	Hersey, Ebed House	122-124 South St	Hingham	1840
HIN.1174	Best Realty Trust House	123 South St	Hingham	c 1940
HIN.1175	Lincoln, Samuel Jr. House	126 South St	Hingham	1752
HIN.1176	Vining, R. W. E. House	131 South St	Hingham	1909
HIN.1177	Hersey, George House	132 South St	Hingham	1821
HIN.1178		135-137 South St	Hingham	c 1894
HIN.1179	Hersey, Jeremiah House	139-141 South St	Hingham	c 1813
HIN.1180	Thayer, Elihu House	142 South St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.1181	Hallett, James G. House	143 South St	Hingham	1913
HIN.1182		149 South St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.1183	Burr, E. W. - Ripley, Joseph House	152 South St	Hingham	c 1851
HIN.1184	Hersey, Howard Park House	154 South St	Hingham	1889
HIN.1185	Hersey, Edmund House	158-160 South St	Hingham	1851
HIN.1186	Lincoln, Abner House	162-164 South St	Hingham	1794
HIN.1187	Hersey, Frederic M. House	172 South St	Hingham	1885
HIN.1188	Lincoln, Noah House	176-178 South St	Hingham	1795
HIN.1189		179-181 South St	Hingham	1965
HIN.1190	Lincoln, Matthew House	182 South St	Hingham	1725
HIN.1191	Beal, John House	184 South St	Hingham	r 1750
HIN.1192	Cain, David House	185 South St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.1193	Harden, Halah House	188R South St	Hingham	1859
HIN.1194	Brown, Elisha House	190 South St	Hingham	1834

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1195		191-193 South St	Hingham	r 1805
HIN.1196	Gill, James B. House	196-198 South St	Hingham	1821
HIN.1197	Lincoln - Humphrey House	199 South St	Hingham	1823
HIN.1198	Burrill, Martin House	200-202 South St	Hingham	1819
HIN.1199	Hobart House	201 South St	Hingham	r 1865
HIN.1200	Gill, Nathaniel House	204 South St	Hingham	1814
HIN.1201	Hobart Furniture Manufacturing Building	207 South St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.1202	Beal, Caleb House	210 South St	Hingham	c 1750
HIN.1203	Hersey, George General Store	211 South St	Hingham	c 1845
HIN.1204	Gill, John House	214 South St	Hingham	1771
HIN.1205	Lincoln, Marshall House	221-225 South St	Hingham	1808
HIN.1206	Old West School Building	222 South St	Hingham	1829
HIN.1207		224 South St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.1208	Lincoln, Marjorie House	226 South St	Hingham	1984
HIN.1209	Marsh, David Jr. House	227-229 South St	Hingham	1820
HIN.1210	Lincoln, James House	228 South St	Hingham	1757
HIN.1211		234 South St	Hingham	r 1775
HIN.1212	Gill, Caleb House	237-239 South St	Hingham	1798
HIN.1213	Hobart, Caleb House	241-243 South St	Hingham	1792
HIN.1214	Hersey, Noah House	247 South St	Hingham	1750
HIN.1215	Lincoln, Welcome Jr. House	248 South St	Hingham	1808
HIN.1216	Lincoln, Jeremiah House	256 South St	Hingham	1766
HIN.1217	Gay, W. Allan House	262 South St	Hingham	1737
HIN.1218	Hobart, Daniel House	267 South St	Hingham	1781
HIN.942	Otis Buttonwood Tree	274 South St	Hingham	c 1800
HIN.1224	Mitchell, Alfred Y. House	10 Spring St	Hingham	1931
HIN.1225		14 Spring St	Hingham	1955
HIN.1226	Emanuel, Basil Q. House	20 Spring St	Hingham	1949
HIN.1227	Loud, Gervase E. House	26 Spring St	Hingham	1948
HIN.1228	Lane, Frederick L. House	30 Spring St	Hingham	c 1910
HIN.1229	Lane, Leavitt Jr. House	36 Spring St	Hingham	1832
HIN.1230	Lane, Leavitt House	40 Spring St	Hingham	1799
HIN.1231	Woodward, Capt. Joseph House	44 Spring St	Hingham	1820
HIN.1232	Bare Cove Apartments	1 Station St	Hingham	1973
HIN.1233		6 Station St	Hingham	c 1950
HIN.1234		21 Station St	Hingham	1965
HIN.1235	Melville Gardens Ticket Kiosk	62 Station St	Hingham	r 1875
HIN.934	Glacial Boulder Monument	Summer St	Hingham	

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.955	Whitney's Wharf	2-4 Summer St	Hingham	1893
HIN.1240	Casey, Jason House	45 Summer St	Hingham	r 1875
HIN.1241	Hudson, Scarlet House	51 Summer St	Hingham	1824
HIN.1242	Barnes, John Jr. House	73 Summer St	Hingham	1756
HIN.1244	Barnes, Curtis House	83 Summer St	Hingham	1806
HIN.1245	Turner, Frederick A. House	84 Summer St	Hingham	1891
HIN.1246	Barnes, John House	88 Summer St	Hingham	1734
HIN.1247	Barnes, John House	91 Summer St	Hingham	1772
HIN.1248	Hersey, Alfred C. House	104 Summer St	Hingham	c 1857
HIN.1366		105 Summer St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1367		109 Summer St	Hingham	c 1926
HIN.1249	Hersey, Rev. Henry House	110 Summer St	Hingham	r 1835
HIN.1368		111 Summer St	Hingham	c 1926
HIN.1369		115 Summer St	Hingham	c 1950
HIN.1370		123 Summer St	Hingham	c 1930
HIN.1250	Ulman, Mary A. and Harriet E. House	143 Summer St	Hingham	c 1930
HIN.1371		146 Summer St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1372		152 Summer St	Hingham	c 1928
HIN.1357		158 Summer St	Hingham	c 1885
HIN.1251	Blaney, Emily F. and Annette S. House	159 Summer St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.1415		225 Summer St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1252	Macomber, Eva M. House	235 Summer St	Hingham	r 1895
HIN.1254		6 Thaxter St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1441		8 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1850
HIN.1256		9-11 Thaxter St	Hingham	r 1820
HIN.1257		12 Thaxter St	Hingham	r 1850
HIN.1258		15 Thaxter St	Hingham	r 1820
HIN.1442		16 Thaxter St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1443		19 Thaxter St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1259		22 Thaxter St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1444		27 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1890
HIN.1260		31 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1890
HIN.1445		33 Thaxter St	Hingham	r 1890
HIN.1446		36 Thaxter St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1447		37 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1840
HIN.1448		38 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1910
HIN.1449		43-45 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1800
HIN.1262		50 Thaxter St	Hingham	r 1880

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1450		51 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1925
HIN.1451		53 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1925
HIN.1452		60 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1890
HIN.1453		61 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1810
HIN.1263		64 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1806
HIN.1454		65 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1830
HIN.1455		68 Thaxter St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1456	Quinn, Bartholemew House	69 Thaxter St	Hingham	1867
HIN.1457		73 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.1458		76 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.1459		81 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.1460		85 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1870
HIN.1461		89 Thaxter St	Hingham	c 1942
HIN.1462		103 Thaxter St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1558		10 Thayer St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1559		11 Thayer St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1264		14 Thayer St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1265		16 Thayer St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1266		17-17A Thayer St	Hingham	r 1880
HIN.1578		1 Village Ln	Hingham	1972
HIN.1272	Clement, James F. House	6 Water St	Hingham	1875
HIN.1273	Seymour, Charles W. House	8 Water St	Hingham	1850
HIN.1274	Gardner, Calvin House	11-13 Water St	Hingham	r 1845
HIN.1275	Fuller, Issacher House	12 Water St	Hingham	1846
HIN.1276	Stephenson, Luther Jr. House	14 Water St	Hingham	1854
HIN.1277	Foster, William House	16 Water St	Hingham	1833
HIN.1278	Tracy, Jacob House	17 Water St	Hingham	1931
HIN.1279	Stephenson, Charles House	19 Water St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.1280	Howard, Helen House	20 Water St	Hingham	1924
HIN.1281	Cushing, Allyne House	22 Water St	Hingham	1837
HIN.1282	Sargent, Ignatius House	24 Water St	Hingham	1833
HIN.1283	Beal, Caleb House	25-27 Water St	Hingham	1832
HIN.1284	Eldredge, Nathan House	29 Water St	Hingham	1848
HIN.1285		39 Water St	Hingham	1928
HIN.1286		55-61 Water St	Hingham	c 1960
HIN.1287		69 Water St	Hingham	c 1910
HIN.936	Old Colony Railroad - Weir River Bridge	Weir River	Hingham	1905
HIN.1291	Lincoln, Robert W. Currier Shop	3 West St	Hingham	r 1855

Inv. No.	Property Name	Street	Town	Year
HIN.1292		6 West St	Hingham	c 1910
HIN.1293		10 West St	Hingham	r 1855
HIN.1294		11 West St	Hingham	r 1925
HIN.1295	Bicknell, Anson F. House	12 West St	Hingham	1890
HIN.1296		15 West St	Hingham	1940
HIN.1297	Lincoln, Israel House	16 West St	Hingham	1794
HIN.1298		17 West St	Hingham	1947
HIN.1299		18 West St	Hingham	r 1845
HIN.1300		20 West St	Hingham	r 1825
HIN.1301	Bicknell, Quincy House	24-26 West St	Hingham	r 1825
HIN.1560		2 Weston Rd	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1561		3 Weston Rd	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1562		5 Weston Rd	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1563		12 Weston St	Hingham	r 1920
HIN.1302		173 Whiting St	Hingham	
HIN.1303	Sycamore Farm	246 Whiting St	Hingham	1719
HIN.1304		410 Whiting St	Hingham	r 1830

Attachment G:

Massachusetts Historical Commission Project Notification Form (blank)

Northern Long-Eared Bat 4(d) Rule Streamlined Consultation Form

Federal agencies should use this form for the optional streamlined consultation framework for the northern long-eared bat (NLEB). This framework allows federal agencies to rely upon the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) January 5, 2016, intra-Service Programmatic Biological Opinion (BO) on the final 4(d) rule for the NLEB for section 7(a)(2) compliance by: (1) notifying the USFWS that an action agency will use the streamlined framework; (2) describing the project with sufficient detail to support the required determination; and (3) enabling the USFWS to track effects and determine if reinitiation of consultation is required per 50 CFR 402.16.

This form is not necessary if an agency determines that a proposed action will have no effect to the NLEB or if the USFWS has concurred in writing with an agency's determination that a proposed action may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect the NLEB (i.e., the standard informal consultation process). Actions that may cause prohibited incidental take require separate formal consultation. Providing this information does not address section 7(a)(2) compliance for any other listed species.

Information to Determine 4(d) Rule Compliance:	**YES**	**NO**
1. Does the project occur wholly outside of the WNS Zone[1]?	☐	☐
2. Have you contacted the appropriate agency[2] to determine if your project is near known hibernacula or maternity roost trees?	☐	☐
3. Could the project disturb hibernating NLEBs in a known hibernaculum?	☐	☐
4. Could the project alter the entrance or interior environment of a known hibernaculum?	☐	☐
5. Does the project remove any trees within 0.25 miles of a known hibernaculum at any time of year?	☐	☐
6. Would the project cut or destroy known occupied maternity roost trees, or any other trees within a 150-foot radius from the maternity roost tree from June 1 through July 31.	☐	☐

You are eligible to use this form if you have answered yes to question #1 **or** yes to question #2 **and** no to questions 3, 4, 5 and 6. The remainder of the form will be used by the USFWS to track our assumptions in the BO.

Agency and Applicant[3] (Name, Email, Phone No.):

Project Name:

Project Location (include coordinates if known):

Basic Project Description (provide narrative below or attach additional information):

[1] http://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/mammals/nleb/pdf/WNSZone.pdf
[2] See http://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/mammals/nleb/nhisites.html
[3] If applicable - only needed for federal actions with applicants (e.g., for a permit, etc.) who are party to the consultation.

General Project Information	YES	NO
Does the project occur within 0.25 miles of a known hibernaculum?	☐	☐
Does the project occur within 150 feet of a known maternity roost tree?	☐	☐
Does the project include forest conversion[4]? (if yes, report acreage below)	☐	☐
Estimated total acres of forest conversion		
If known, estimated acres[5] of forest conversion from April 1 to October 31		
If known, estimated acres of forest conversion from June 1 to July 31[6]		
Does the project include timber harvest? (if yes, report acreage below)	☐	☐
Estimated total acres of timber harvest		
If known, estimated acres of timber harvest from April 1 to October 31		
If known, estimated acres of timber harvest from June 1 to July 31		
Does the project include prescribed fire? (if yes, report acreage below)	☐	☐
Estimated total acres of prescribed fire		
If known, estimated acres of prescribed fire from April 1 to October 31		
If known, estimated acres of prescribed fire from June 1 to July 31		
Does the project install new wind turbines? (if yes, report capacity in MW below)	☐	☐
Estimated wind capacity (MW)		

Agency Determination:

By signing this form, the action agency determines that this project may affect the NLEB, but that any resulting incidental take of the NLEB is not prohibited by the final 4(d) rule.

If the USFWS does not respond within 30 days from submittal of this form, the action agency may presume that its determination is informed by the best available information and that its project responsibilities under 7(a)(2) with respect to the NLEB are fulfilled through the USFWS January 5, 2016, Programmatic BO. The action agency will update this determination annually for multi-year activities.

The action agency understands that the USFWS presumes that all activities are implemented as described herein. The action agency will promptly report any departures from the described activities to the appropriate USFWS Field Office. The action agency will provide the appropriate USFWS Field Office with the results of any surveys conducted for the NLEB. Involved parties will promptly notify the appropriate USFWS Field Office upon finding a dead, injured, or sick NLEB.

Signature: _____ Date Submitted: _____

[4] Any activity that temporarily or permanently removes suitable forested habitat, including, but not limited to, tree removal from development, energy production and transmission, mining, agriculture, etc. (see page 48 of the BO).
[5] If the project removes less than 10 trees and the acreage is unknown, report the acreage as less than 0.1 acre.
[6] If the activity includes tree clearing in June and July, also include those acreage in April to October.

Appendix D - MS4 Permit-Related Standard Procedures

- Catch Basin Cleaning
- Street and Parking Lot Sweeping
- Snow Removal and Deicing
- Inspections of Best Management Practices (Including Reference)

Standard Operating Procedures *Town of Hingham* *Department of Public Works* **Catch Basin Inspection and Cleaning**	**Issue Date: 6/30/2019** **Revision 0** **Revision Date:**

Responsible Parties

Highway Superintendent
DPW Staff

Purpose of SOPs

Procedures for the operation and maintenance of catch basins and optimization of cleaning.

Equipment

The following is a list of catch basin cleaning equipment:

Equipment Number	Make	Description

Operations

- Catch basin inspection cleaning procedures address both the grate opening and the basin sump.
- The current catch basin inspection/cleaning schedule goal is to conduct routine cleanings to ensure that no catch basin will become more than 50 percent full. Each catch basin sump is assessed to determine if it is more than 50 percent full based on whether the contents exceed one half the distance between the bottom interior of the catch basin to the invert of the deepest outlet of the catch basin.
- For each catch basin cleaned, staff document date, time, location and estimated amount removed.
- Staff document all observations about the condition of the catch basin structure and water quality on the Catch Basin Inspection Form.
- If spills occur or illegal discharges are seen, the information is reported within 24 hours to Highway Supervisor.

Maintenance

- If catch basins require repair and maintenance, report to DPW office ,25 Bare Cove Park Drive, Tel 781-741-1430.
- Equipment is properly washed and stored at the DPW Barn wash bay, 25 Bare Cove Park Dr.

Schedule

- All catch basins are cleaned on a 15 month cycle. Schedule adjustments prioritize catch basins located at known problem areas (low spots) and near construction activities (roadway construction, residential, commercial, or industrial development). If inspections and maintenance activities indicate excessive sediment and debris loading, these catch basins will be marked for more frequent cleaning. To aid in minimizing catch basin loads, streets with curbing and/or catch basins will be swept a minimum of once per year in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding).

- Priority roads/areas are identified on the basis of pollutant load reduction potential, based on inspections, pollutant loads, catch basin cleaning or inspection results and land use.
- The cleaning schedule is assessed annually and updated as necessary.

Management, Storage, and Disposal

- Water from cleanings are decanted at the site of catch basin cleaning.
- Catch basin cleanings are stored at the Hingham Recycling and Trash Transfer Facility prior to off-site shipment. The cleanings are shipped to a facility that is licensed to receive these wastes. Testing and waste profiling is completed as requested from the facility and materials are tracked using a MassDEP Material Shipping Record (MSR). Final MSRs with weight tickets are stored on file at the DPW office and this information is used in annual reporting.

Record Keeping and Documentation

- Record logs are kept DPW Office, 25 Bare cove Park Drive, Hingham.
- Total number if catch basins cleaned, number inspected, and amount of waste is recorded after each cleaning and this information is summarized and used in annual MS4 reporting.

Training

- This SOP will be incorporated into annual training for applicable employees in accordance with the Town's Good Housekeeping annual training. Documentation of the training, including sign-in sheets and materials used, will be included in the Town's MS4 annual reports.

Revising the SOPs

- These procedures are reviewed annually by the Highway Department and updated as needed.

Standard Operating Procedures *Town of Hingham* *Department of Public Works* **Sweeping Streets and Parking Lots**	**Issue Date: 6/30/2019** **Revision 0** **Revision Date:**

Responsible Parties

Highway Supervisor
DPW Staff

Purpose of SOPs

Procedures for the operation and maintenance of street sweepers, frequency of sweeping, disposal of debris, and recordkeeping to keep the Town roads and parking lots clean and to minimize pollution from entering the stormwater sewer systems.

Equipment:

The following is a list of street sweeping equipment:

Equipment Number	Make	Description	Sweeper Speed (or other notes)

Operations

- Operate all sweepers and equipment according to the manufacturer's recommended settings, standards, and procedures.
- While sweeping, drive between the optimal sweeping speed limits, as recorded in the equipment list above.
- Sweeping will not typically take place during in the winter months and resume in the Spring.
- If spills occur or illegal discharges are seen, report within 24 hours to DPW Highway Superintendent.

Maintenance

- Sweepers will be checked for mechanical issues or leaks after every use]. Immediately contain and properly clean up any spills and dispose of material properly. Spill clean-up supplies are available DPW Garage, 25 Bare Cove Park Dr. Document and report any mechanical issues to …
- Equipment is washed at the DPW building in the wash bay located at 25 Bare Cove Park Dr., Hingham to trap grease, oils and sediment.
- Left-over debris shall be scraped out from the hopper daily and disposed of properly

Schedule

- Street and parking lot sweeping will primarily take place between the months of ***[starting month]*** and ***[ending month]***.
- All streets with curbing and/or catch basins and all Town parking lots shall be swept a minimum of once per year in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding). Sweeping routes are documented in logs listing public streets and parking lots swept daily.
- The sweeping schedule is assessed bi-annually and updated as necessary.

Priority Road, Parking Lot, or area	Frequency of Sweeping*
Downtown area	Biweekly or at least once a month
Accord Pond Watershed	Once per month
Environmentally sensitive areas	2-3 times annually
Transfer Station	At least once every 2 weeks

*Sweeping frequency during non-winter months

Storage and Disposal

- Temporary storage of solid sweeping debris is on an impervious surface or in a truck/dumpster that is protected from runoff. The storage location is the Hingham Transfer Station, 160 Hobart St, Hingham.
- Solid sweeping debris is brought to various locations for permanent disposal. If applicable, solid sweeping debris from various locations with in the town and will be reused as roadside loam following the MassDEP Reuse and Disposal of Street Sweepings Policy. Debris is permanently disposed of one time per year.
- Weighing process: The amount of solid sweeping debris will be weighed at the disposal facility.
- Reuse of sweepings: Some non-residential sweepings are mixed with compost at the Recycling and Trash Transfer Facility and used along non-residential roadways in Town.

Record Keeping and Documentation

- Records, including most recent manufacturer's recommended settings, standards, and procedures. are kept at DPW Office, 25 Bare Cove Park Dr., Hingham.
- Volume of sweepings, streets or parking areas swept, and dates are recorded after each sweeping.
- Miles of street and a parking areas and volume of mass removed are reported in the MS4 annual report

Training

- This SOP will be incorporated into annual training for applicable employees in accordance with the Town's Good Housekeeping annual training. Documentation of the training, including sign-in sheets and materials used, will be included in the Town's MS4 annual reports.

Revising the SOPs

- These procedures are reviewed annually by Highway Supervisor and updated as needed.

Standard Operating Procedures *Town of Hingham* *Department of Public Works* **Snow Removal and Deicing**	**Issue Date: 6/30/2019** **Revision 0** **Revision Date:**

Responsible Parties

Highway Supervisor
DPW Staff

Purpose of SOPs

Procedures for winter road maintenance, use and storage of salt and sand, minimize use of sodium chloride and other salts, and ensure snow is disposed of properly.

Equipment

The Town owns and maintains ice control and snow removal equipment listed in the table below

Equipment Number	Make	Description	Additional Equipment	Primary Use

Materials and Storage

The major materials are used in snow and ice control are coarse sand, coarse salt, and anti-icing agent. These materials are stockpiled in the DPW Stock Yard in advance of an event and are immediately available when needed and stocks are replenished between events.

- **Cover from Precipitation:** Salt and other chemical deicers will be stored in a covered structure or container at all times, unless active loading or spreading is occurring. Containment structures should be permanent in their construction and made of materials that are not subject to salt corrosion. Temporary storage of salt and other chemical deicers is not recommended; however, if materials must be stored outside of a permanent structure, the storage must be on a temporary basis only. Temporary storage piles must be covered with a tarp and adequately secured at all times when not being actively worked.
- **Impervious Bottom:** Salt and other chemical deicers will only be stored on an impervious surface such as a concrete slab or an asphalt parking lot. The use of a tarp or other material as an impervious bottom is not adequate, unless specifically designed and certified by a professional engineer.
- **Management of Run-on and Run-off:** Salt, sand, and other deicer materials must be stored away from storm drain inlets and other conveyance structures. Storage structures must provide adequate barriers to prevent run-on into the storage pile and minimize erosion from the pile. All run-off from salt and other chemical deicer piles must be eliminated at all times. Any run-off containing salt material must be captured and either returned to the storage pile, managed as salt brine, or discharged to a sanitary sewer system.

Operation

- **Deicing Material:** Prior to each winter season, the Town will assess deicing materials, and to the extent practical, will select the materials and mix that has the least impact on water quality while still effectively meeting the Town's public safety needs.
- **Anti-icing:** Liquid anti-icing materials may be applied prior to storm events to prevent the bond between winter precipitation and the road surface. This can effectively reduce the amount of deicing material

necessary for a storm event. Anti-icing applications should be conducted per manufacturer's recommendations.

- **Equipment Calibration:** All equipment will be calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and the specified applications rates for the material being applied. Calibration will include plowing speed and applicable spreader settings. The manufacturer's instructions will be kept at the Town DPW facility and referenced prior to each winter storm event.
- **Application Rate**: The Town will use the lowest application rate that will effectively treat surfaces to meet safety needs.
- **Loading:** When loading salt, sand, or other deicers, care will be taken to not overfill the truck or tank.

Maintenance

- Equipment maintenance will be conducted consistent with the Vehicles and Equipment maintenance SOP found here: DPW Wash Bay, 25 Bare Cove Park Dr**.** The wash bay/ area is located at: DPW, 25 Bare cove Park Dr.
- **Clean-Up:** Loading areas will be swept frequently to prevent salt or sand build-up and run-off. At a minimum, loading areas should be inspected and swept following each storm event or other period when handling occurs.
- **Vehicle Washing:** Spreading and other equipment used during deicing operations will only be washed inside the DPW facility in a bay designed for that purpose. Wash water will be prevented from draining to any storm drains.

Record Keeping and Documentation

- Maintain a master schedule of prioritized snow and sanding routes and the miles or roads plowed or sanded at the DPW office
- Keep copies of manufacturer's recommendations for equipment calibration, plowing speed and salt/sand application rates at the DPW office
- Keep records of the amounts of salt, sand, liquid deicer, and salt alternatives applied per season at the DPW office
- Keep records of salt/sand, and de-icer purchases
- Keep records of equipment calibrations
- Document yearly totals of materials used and evaluate to look for opportunities to minimize the use of salts and sand. Provide yearly totals in the MS4 annual report

Training

- This SOP will be incorporated into annual training for applicable employees in accordance with the Town's Good Housekeeping annual training. Documentation of the training, including sign-in sheets and materials used, will be included in the Town's MS4 annual reports.

Revising the SOPs

- These procedures are reviewed annually by DPW Superintendent and updated as needed.

Standard Operating Procedures *Town of Hingham* *Department of Public Works* **Inspecting Constructed Best Management Practices (BMPs)**	**Issue Date: 6/30/2019** **Revision 0** **Revision Date:**

Responsible Parties

DPW Superintendent
DPW Staff

Purpose of SOPs

Procedures for inspecting constructed BMPs to ensure proper functioning and to maintain BMPs.

Operations

- Inspect Town-owned BMPs for potential problems including, but not limited to, standing water; clogging; structural damage; erosion, trash or debris within basins and banks; tree growth on the embankment; damage to the emergency spillway and sediment accumulation around the outlet. Inspection staff may also refer to the Operation and Maintenance Plan as required by the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook standards or the Central Massachusetts Regional Stormwater Coalition SOP 9: Inspecting Constructed BMPs.
- Photograph BMPs and document any problems noted.
- If new BMPs are discovered during inspection, note type and location, conduct a preliminary inspection, and report them to Mike Mullin, Highway Supervisor (Tel 781-741-1430) to include them in the Town's inventory.

Maintenance

- Ensure proprietary treatment structures are operating and maintained per the manufacturer's recommended standards and any specific O&M requirements
- Maintain BMPs at appropriate frequencies based on inspection results and O&M requirements.
- Should any problems be encountered, necessary maintenance or repairs should be scheduled and completed as quickly as possible. Report to Mike Mullin, Highway Supervisor (Tel 781-741-1430.

Schedule

- All Town-owned BMPs will be inspected at a minimum of once a year. Any BMPs found to have occurring issues will be inspected at a higher frequency.

Record Keeping and Documentation

- Records, including specific O&M requirements, are kept at DPW office 25 Bare Cove Park Dr.
- Record the number of inspections completed, issues found, and follow-up actions including maintenance (if conducted). Confirm through inspections that issues have been resolved and document date of follow-up inspection.
- Total number of inspection and related maintenance and repairs should be tracked and reported in the MS4 annual report.

Training

- This SOP will be incorporated into annual training for applicable employees in accordance with the Town's Good Housekeeping annual training. Documentation of the training, including sign-in sheets and materials used, will be included in the Town's MS4 annual reports.

Revising the SOPs

- These procedures are reviewed annually DPW Superintendent and updated as needed.